

# THE NAVIER-STOKES LIMIT OF KINETIC EQUATIONS FOR LOW REGULARITY DATA

KLEBER CARRAPATOSO, ISABELLE GALLAGHER, AND ISABELLE TRISTANI

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we investigate the link between kinetic equations (including Boltzmann with or without cutoff assumption and Landau equations) and the incompressible Navier-Stokes equation. We work with strong solutions and we treat all the cases in a unified framework. The main purpose of this work is to be as accurate as possible in terms of functional spaces. More precisely, it is well-known that the Navier-Stokes equation can be solved in a lower regularity setting (in the space variable) than kinetic equations. Our main result allows to get a rigorous link between solutions to the Navier-Stokes equation with such low regularity data and kinetic equations.

## CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
1.1. Kinetic equations	2
1.2. Hydrodynamic limit	3
1.3. Functional framework and notation	5
1.4. State of the art	6
1.5. Main result	7
1.6. Sketch of the proof and plan of the paper	8
2. Preliminaries	8
3. Proof of the theorem	11
4. Some results on the operators $U^\varepsilon$ and $\Psi^\varepsilon$	15
4.1. Estimates on $U^\varepsilon$ and $\Psi^\varepsilon$	15
4.2. Refined estimates on $\Psi^\varepsilon$	16
4.3. Nonlinear estimates	18
5. The equation on $\delta^\varepsilon$ : proof of Proposition 3.2	20
5.1. Continuity estimates for $U^\varepsilon$	20
5.2. Contribution of the data $\mathcal{D}^\varepsilon$	20
5.3. Contribution of the source term $\mathcal{S}^\varepsilon$	23
5.4. Estimates on the linear term $\mathcal{L}^\varepsilon[\cdot]$	28
5.5. Estimates on the nonlinear term $\Psi^\varepsilon[\cdot, \cdot]$	30
Appendix A. Hypocoercivity	32
References	35

## 1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper, we are interested in a problem in the theory of hydrodynamical limits: our goal is to obtain a rigorous result of convergence of solutions to various kinetic equations towards solutions to the incompressible Navier-Stokes equation. This problem can be seen as a part of the program initiated by the 6th problem of Hilbert in 1900 at the International Congress of Mathematicians. Indeed, the question is to understand the link between microscopic and macroscopic descriptions of a fluid, and deriving macroscopic equations from mesoscopic ones can be seen as an intermediate step of this program. We refer for instance to the book by Saint-Raymond [55] for a detailed presentation of the subject and

for mathematical results in the field. More specifically, in this paper, we seek to get a result on the convergence of sequences of strong solutions to the rescaled mesoscopic equations in which the connection between the kinetic and the fluid equations is as accurate as possible in terms of functional spaces.

**1.1. Kinetic equations.** At the kinetic level, we shall consider Boltzmann or Landau type equations for not too soft potentials. We denote by  $F = F(t, x, v)$  the density of particles, which depends on time  $t \in \mathbb{R}^+$ , position  $x \in \mathbb{T}^3$  (the unit periodic box) and velocity  $v \in \mathbb{R}^3$ . The dimensionless version of our kinetic equation reads

$$\text{St } \partial_t F + v \cdot \nabla_x F = \frac{1}{\text{Kn}} Q(F, F),$$

where the Strouhal number  $\text{St}$  and the Knudsen number  $\text{Kn}$  are dimensionless parameters which are natural in kinetic problems. Here and below,  $Q$  can be the Boltzmann (with or without cutoff) collision operator or the Landau collision operator. The Boltzmann collision operator is an integral operator defined as

$$(1.1) \quad Q_B(f_1, f_2) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^2} B(v - v_*, \sigma) ((f_1)'_* (f_2)' - (f_1)_* f_2) \, d\sigma \, dv_*.$$

Here and below, we are using the shorthand notations  $f_2 = f_2(v)$ ,  $(f_1)_* = f_1(v_*)$ , as well as  $(f_2)' = f_2(v')$  and  $(f_1)'_* = f_1(v'_*)$ . In this expression,  $v, v_*$  and  $v', v'_*$  are the velocities of a pair of particles after and before collision. We make a choice of parametrization of the set of solutions to the conservation of momentum and energy (physical laws of elastic collisions):

$$(1.2) \quad \begin{aligned} v + v_* &= v' + v'_* \\ |v|^2 + |v_*|^2 &= |v'|^2 + |v'_*|^2 \end{aligned}$$

so that the pre-collisional velocities are given by

$$v' = \frac{v + v_*}{2} + \frac{|v - v_*|}{2} \sigma, \quad v'_* = \frac{v + v_*}{2} - \frac{|v - v_*|}{2} \sigma, \quad \sigma \in \mathbb{S}^2.$$

The Boltzmann collision kernel  $B = B(v - v_*, \sigma)$  only depends on the relative velocity  $|v - v_*|$  and on the deviation angle  $\vartheta$  through  $\cos \vartheta = \langle v - v_*, \sigma \rangle / |v - v_*|$  where  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  is the usual scalar product in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ . The form of the collision kernel depends on the type of collisions that occur between particles. In dimension 3 in the case where particles behave as billiard balls, known as the hard-spheres case, the collision kernel is proportional to the norm of the relative velocity, namely

$$B(v - v_*, \sigma) = C |v - v_*|, \quad C > 0.$$

When particles interact through inverse power law potentials of type

$$(1.3) \quad \phi(r) = r^{-(p-1)} \quad \text{with} \quad p \in (2, +\infty),$$

the collision kernel cannot be computed explicitly but Maxwell [49] has shown that the collision kernel can be computed in terms of the interaction potential  $\phi$ . More precisely, in dimension 3, the kernel  $B$  satisfies the following properties.

– It takes product form in its arguments as

$$(1.4) \quad B(v - v_*, \sigma) = |v - v_*|^\gamma b(\cos \vartheta).$$

– The angular function  $b$  is locally smooth, and has a nonintegrable singularity for  $\vartheta \rightarrow 0$ : it satisfies for some  $c_b > 0$  and any  $\vartheta \in (0, \pi/2]$ ,

$$(1.5) \quad \frac{c_b}{\vartheta^{1+2s}} \leq \sin \vartheta b(\cos \vartheta) \leq \frac{1}{c_b \vartheta^{1+2s}} \quad \text{with} \quad s := \frac{1}{p-1} \in (0, 1).$$

– The parameter  $\gamma$  is defined as

$$(1.6) \quad \gamma := \frac{p-5}{p-1} \in (-3, 1).$$

One traditionally calls hard potentials the case  $p > 5$  (for which  $0 < \gamma < 1$ ), Maxwell molecules the case  $p = 5$  (for which  $\gamma = 0$ ), moderately soft potentials the case corresponding with  $3 \leq p < 5$  (for which  $-2s \leq \gamma < 0$ ) and very soft potentials the case  $2 < p < 3$  (for which  $-3 < \gamma < -2s$ ). In this paper, we shall not consider the very soft potentials case, meaning we shall restrict to  $\gamma \geq -2s$  (see Remark 3 for a discussion on this restriction).

Grad's cut-off assumption consists in additionally supposing that the angular kernel  $b$  is integrable on the sphere by removing its singularity for small deviation angles  $\vartheta$  (see (1.5)). In that case, the Boltzmann collision operator is thus of the form (1.1) with

$$B(v - v_*, \sigma) = b(\cos \vartheta) |v - v_*|^\gamma \quad \text{with} \quad \int_{\mathbf{S}^2} b(\cos \vartheta) d\sigma < \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \gamma \in (-3, 1].$$

Notice that this in particular includes the case of hard-sphere collisions by taking the angular kernel to be constant. Here again, we do not consider the very soft potentials case, that is we restrict ourselves to  $\gamma \geq 0$ .

In the case of the Coulomb potential ( $s = 1$  and thus  $\gamma = -3$ ), the Boltzmann operator does not make any sense (see [58] for example). The Boltzmann operator has then to be replaced by the Landau one which can be obtained in the so-called grazing collision limit after having made a cut-off on the Coulomb interaction. The Landau operator, defined in 1936 by Landau [44] (independently of the Boltzmann operator), is used in plasma physics and is an integro-differential operator given by

$$(1.7) \quad Q_L(f_1, f_2)(v) := \partial_{v_i} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} a_{ij}(v - v_*) \left( f_1(v_*) \partial_{v_j} f_2(v) - f_2(v) \partial_{v_j} f_1(v_*) \right) dv_*,$$

where we use the convention of summation of repeated indices. The matrix  $a_{ij}$  is symmetric, semi-positive and is given by

$$(1.8) \quad a_{ij}(v) := |v|^{\gamma+2} \left( \delta_{ij} - \frac{v_i v_j}{|v|^2} \right), \quad -3 \leq \gamma \leq 1.$$

Similarly to the Boltzmann equation, we have the following classification according to the values of  $\gamma$ : interactions are referred to as hard potentials if  $\gamma \in (0, 1]$ , Maxwellian molecules if  $\gamma = 0$ , moderately soft potentials if  $\gamma \in [-2, 0)$ , very soft potentials if  $\gamma \in (-3, -2)$  and Coulomb potential if  $\gamma = -3$ . We mention that only the case  $\gamma = -3$  is relevant from a physical viewpoint and is the one that has been derived by Landau in [44]. Once more, we shall only consider not too soft potentials, which correspond to  $\gamma \geq -2$ .

In the three cases (Boltzmann with and without cut-off assumption and Landau), weak formulations of the collision operators allow to obtain the following conservation laws:

$$(1.9) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} Q(f, f)(v) \varphi(v) dv = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad \varphi(v) = 1, v, |v|^2,$$

as well as Boltzmann's H-theorem that asserts that Boltzmann's entropy of solutions to these equations, namely  $\int f \log f dx dv$ , is non-increasing along time. Moreover, the second part of the theorem states that any distribution minimizing the entropy is a local Maxwellian distribution in velocity.

**1.2. Hydrodynamic limit.** All kinetic models leading to incompressible models are based on a regime in which both the Strouhal and the Knudsen numbers are small. In order to reach the incompressible Navier-Stokes equation, we shall work with  $\text{St} = \text{Kn} = \varepsilon \ll 1$  (see for example [8]). Our kinetic equation then reads

$$(1.10) \quad \begin{cases} \partial_t F^\varepsilon + \varepsilon^{-1} v \cdot \nabla_x F^\varepsilon = \varepsilon^{-2} Q(F^\varepsilon, F^\varepsilon) & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{T}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \\ F^\varepsilon|_{t=0} = F_{\text{in}}^\varepsilon & \text{in } \mathbb{T}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3. \end{cases}$$

The Knudsen number is actually proportional to the inverse of the average number of collisions for each particle per unit of time. Taking  $\varepsilon$  small has thus the effect of enhancing the role of collisions. To relate our kinetic models to the incompressible Navier-Stokes equation, we then look at equation (1.10) under the following linearization of order  $\varepsilon$ :

$$F^\varepsilon = \mu + \varepsilon \mu^{\frac{1}{2}} f^\varepsilon,$$

where  $\mu$  is the global Maxwellian defined by

$$\mu(v) := \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{\frac{3}{2}}} e^{-\frac{|v|^2}{2}}.$$

The equation we are going to study on the fluctuation  $f^\varepsilon$  is thus the following:

$$(1.11) \quad \begin{cases} \partial_t f^\varepsilon + \varepsilon^{-1} v \cdot \nabla_x f^\varepsilon = \varepsilon^{-2} L f^\varepsilon + \varepsilon^{-1} \Gamma(f^\varepsilon, f^\varepsilon) & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{T}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \\ f^\varepsilon|_{t=0} = f_{\text{in}}^\varepsilon := \varepsilon^{-1} (F_{\text{in}}^\varepsilon - \mu) \mu^{-\frac{1}{2}} & \text{in } \mathbb{T}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \end{cases}$$

with

$$\Gamma(f_1, f_2) := \mu^{-\frac{1}{2}} Q(\mu^{\frac{1}{2}} f_1, \mu^{\frac{1}{2}} f_2)$$

and

$$(1.12) \quad Lf := \Gamma(\mu^{\frac{1}{2}}, f) + \Gamma(f, \mu^{\frac{1}{2}}).$$

We say that a distribution  $f = f(x, v)$  has global mass, momentum and energy when it satisfies

$$(1.13) \quad \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f(x, v) \varphi(v) \mu^{\frac{1}{2}}(v) \, dv \, dx = 0 \quad \text{for } \varphi(v) = 1, v, |v|^2.$$

Conservation laws (1.9) imply that the perturbation  $f^\varepsilon$  satisfies (1.13) for all times  $t \geq 0$  if  $F_{\text{in}}^\varepsilon$  satisfies

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} F_{\text{in}}^\varepsilon(x, v) \varphi(v) \, dv \, dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \mu(v) \varphi(v) \, dv \quad \text{for } \varphi(v) = 1, v, |v|^2.$$

For every  $f = f(x, v)$  we write the decomposition

$$f = \mathbf{P}_0^\perp f + \mathbf{P}_0 f, \quad \mathbf{P}_0^\perp := \text{Id} - \mathbf{P}_0,$$

where  $\mathbf{P}_0$  is the orthogonal projection onto

$$(1.14) \quad \text{Ker } L = \left\{ \mu^{\frac{1}{2}}(v), v_1 \mu^{\frac{1}{2}}(v), v_2 \mu^{\frac{1}{2}}(v), v_3 \mu^{\frac{1}{2}}(v), |v|^2 \mu^{\frac{1}{2}}(v) \right\}$$

given by the so-called hydrodynamic modes

$$(1.15) \quad \mathbf{P}_0 f(x, v) := \left\{ \rho[f](x) + u[f](x) \cdot v + \theta[f](x) \frac{|v|^2 - 3}{2} \right\} \mu^{\frac{1}{2}}(v)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \rho[f](x) &:= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f(x, v) \mu^{\frac{1}{2}}(v) \, dv, \\ u[f](x) &:= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f(x, v) v \mu^{\frac{1}{2}}(v) \, dv, \\ \theta[f](x) &:= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f(x, v) \frac{|v|^2 - 3}{3} \mu^{\frac{1}{2}}(v) \, dv. \end{aligned}$$

Returning to (1.11), it is expected that as  $\varepsilon$  goes to zero, the solution  $f^\varepsilon$  should converge to an element of  $\text{Ker } L$ . This is actually proved in many situations (see Paragraph 1.4 below), and in particular the hydrodynamic modes of the limit satisfy the incompressible Navier-Stokes Fourier system

$$(NSF) \quad \begin{cases} \partial_t u + u \cdot \nabla u - \nu_{\text{NS}} \Delta u = -\nabla p \\ \partial_t \theta + u \cdot \nabla \theta - \nu_{\text{heat}} \Delta \theta = 0 \\ \text{div } u = 0 \\ \nabla(\rho + \theta) = 0. \end{cases}$$

To define the viscosity coefficients, we introduce the two unique functions  $\Phi$  (which is a matrix function) and  $\Psi$  (which is a vectorial function) in  $(\text{Ker } L)^\perp$  such that

$$\mu^{-\frac{1}{2}} L(\mu^{\frac{1}{2}} \Phi) = \frac{|v|^2}{3} \text{Id} - v \otimes v \quad \text{and} \quad \mu^{-\frac{1}{2}} L(\mu^{\frac{1}{2}} \Psi) = v \left( \frac{5}{2} - \frac{|v|^2}{2} \right).$$

The viscosity coefficients are then defined by

$$\nu_{\text{NS}} := \frac{1}{10} \int \Phi : L(\mu^{\frac{1}{2}} \Phi) \mu^{\frac{1}{2}} dv \quad \text{and} \quad \nu_{\text{heat}} := \frac{2}{15} \int \Psi \cdot L(\mu^{\frac{1}{2}} \Psi) \mu^{\frac{1}{2}} dv.$$

**1.3. Functional framework and notation.** In order to treat the three cases (Boltzmann with and without cut-off assumption and Landau equations) in a unified framework, we introduce the space  $H_v^{s,*}$  with  $s \in [0, 1]$  by: for  $s = 0$  (corresponding to the Boltzmann operator with cutoff)

$$(1.16) \quad \|f\|_{H_v^{0,*}} = \|\langle v \rangle^{\frac{\gamma}{2}} f\|_{L_v^2},$$

for  $s \in (0, 1)$  (corresponding to the Boltzmann operator without cutoff)

$$(1.17) \quad \|f\|_{H_v^{s,*}}^2 := \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} b(\cos \vartheta) |v - v_*|^\gamma \mu(v_*) [f(v') - f(v)]^2 d\sigma dv_* dv \\ + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} b(\cos \vartheta) |v - v_*|^\gamma f(v_*)^2 [\mu^{\frac{1}{2}}(v') - \mu^{\frac{1}{2}}(v)]^2 d\sigma dv_* dv,$$

and finally for  $s = 1$  (corresponding to the Landau operator) we define

$$(1.18) \quad \|f\|_{H_v^{1,*}}^2 := \|\langle v \rangle^{\frac{\gamma}{2}+1} f\|_{L_v^2}^2 + \|\langle v \rangle^{\frac{\gamma}{2}} \text{pr}_v \nabla_v f\|_{L_v^2}^2 + \|\langle v \rangle^{\frac{\gamma}{2}+1} (\text{Id} - \text{pr}_v) \nabla_v f\|_{L_v^2}^2,$$

where  $\text{pr}_v$  stands for the projection on  $v$ , namely

$$\forall w \in \mathbb{R}^3, \quad \text{pr}_v w = \left( w \cdot \frac{v}{|v|} \right) \frac{v}{|v|}.$$

For every  $s \in [0, 1]$ , we also define the dual space  $(H_v^{s,*})'$  endowed with the norm

$$\|\phi\|_{(H_v^{s,*})'} := \sup_{\|f\|_{H_v^{s,*}} \leq 1} \langle \phi, f \rangle.$$

It is worth mentioning that for  $s \in [0, 1]$  there holds (see [1, 36, 40] for the case  $s \in (0, 1)$ , the other cases being immediate),

$$\|\langle v \rangle^{\frac{\gamma}{2}+s} f\|_{L_v^2} + \|\langle v \rangle^{\frac{\gamma}{2}} f\|_{H_v^s} \lesssim \|f\|_{H_v^{s,*}} \lesssim \|\langle v \rangle^{\frac{\gamma}{2}+s} f\|_{H_v^s}.$$

We recall that if  $\ell > 3/2$ , then  $H_x^\ell \subset L_x^\infty$ . For  $m \geq 0$ ,  $T > 0$  and when  $E_v$  is a Lebesgue or Sobolev space in velocity, we define the space  $\tilde{L}^\infty([0, T], H_x^m E_v)$  (with the notation introduced in [20]) through its norm

$$\|f\|_{\tilde{L}^\infty([0, T], H_x^m E_v)}^2 := \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^3} \langle k \rangle^{2m} \|\hat{f}(\cdot, k, \cdot)\|_{L^\infty([0, T], E_v)}^2.$$

We have denoted by  $(\hat{f}(k))_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^3}$  the Fourier coefficients of  $f$  in the space variable. When more convenient, we will sometimes use the notation  $\mathcal{F}_x f$  for  $\hat{f}$ . To lighten notation, we shall often write  $L_I^p H_x^m E_v$  for  $L^p(I, H_x^m E_v)$  and similarly  $\tilde{L}_I^\infty H_x^m E_v$  for  $\tilde{L}^\infty(I, H_x^m E_v)$  when  $I$  is an interval of  $\mathbb{R}^+$ . If  $I = [0, T]$  we will simply write  $L_T^p H_x^m E_v$  and  $\tilde{L}_T^\infty H_x^m E_v$ . Finally if  $T = \infty$  and in the absence of ambiguity we write  $L_t^p H_x^m E_v$  for  $L^p(\mathbb{R}^+, H_x^m E_v)$ .

We will use the notation  $\mathbb{P}$  for the Leray projector onto divergence free vector fields. For any triplet  $(\rho_{\text{in}}, u_{\text{in}}, \theta_{\text{in}})$  defined on  $\mathbb{T}^3$  (considered as initial data, whence the subscript ‘‘in’’) we denote their projection onto incompressible/Boussinesq modes by

$$(1.19) \quad \bar{\rho}_{\text{in}} := \frac{2}{5} \rho_{\text{in}} - \frac{3}{5} \theta_{\text{in}}, \quad \bar{u}_{\text{in}} := \mathbb{P} u_{\text{in}} \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{\theta}_{\text{in}} := -\rho_{\text{in}}.$$

The kinetic counterpart of  $(\bar{\rho}_{\text{in}}, \bar{u}_{\text{in}}, \bar{\theta}_{\text{in}})$  will be denoted

$$(1.20) \quad g_{\text{in}}(x, v) := \left\{ \bar{\rho}_{\text{in}}(x) + \bar{u}_{\text{in}}(x) \cdot v + \bar{\theta}_{\text{in}}(x) \frac{|v|^2 - 3}{2} \right\} \mu^{\frac{1}{2}}(v)$$

and if  $(\rho, u, \theta)$  solves (NSF) with the initial data  $(\bar{\rho}_{\text{in}}, \bar{u}_{\text{in}}, \bar{\theta}_{\text{in}})$  then we will write

$$(1.21) \quad g(t, x, v) := \left\{ \rho(t, x) + u(t, x) \cdot v + \theta(t, x) \frac{|v|^2 - 3}{2} \right\} \mu^{\frac{1}{2}}(v).$$

We will say that the initial data  $g_{\text{in}}$  is well-prepared if it writes under the form (1.20). Note that if  $\rho_{\text{in}}, u_{\text{in}}, \theta_{\text{in}}$  lie in  $H^{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbb{T}^3)$ , then the function  $g$  belongs to the functional space  $\tilde{L}_T^\infty H_x^{\frac{1}{2}} L_v^2 \cap L_T^2 H_x^{\frac{3}{2}} L_v^2$  for all  $T < T^*$  where  $T^*$  is the life span of the solution to the Navier-Stokes-Fourier system: more properties are provided at the beginning of Section 3 below. Actually (1.21) shows that  $g$  also belongs to  $\tilde{L}_T^\infty H_x^{\frac{1}{2}} H_v^{s,*} \cap L_T^2 H_x^{\frac{3}{2}} H_v^{s,*}$ . In what follows, we shall denote by  $C$  any multiplicative constant that depends only on fixed numbers and its value may change from line to line. The following shorthand notation will also be useful in the following: for any real number  $m$ , the Sobolev spaces  $H_x^{m+0}$  and  $H_x^{m-0}$  are defined by

$$f \in H_x^{m\pm 0} \iff \exists \eta > 0, \quad f \in H_x^{m\pm \eta}.$$

By abuse of notation we shall denote by  $\|f\|_{H_x^{m\pm 0}}$  the norm of  $f$  in  $H_x^{m\pm \eta}$  with  $\eta$  arbitrarily small.

**1.4. State of the art.** We give here a short overview of the existing literature on the problem of deriving fluid equations from kinetic ones.

The first justifications of the link between kinetic and fluid equations were formal and based on asymptotic expansions by Hilbert [41], Chapman, Enskog [18] and Grad [34]. The first rigorous convergence proofs based also on asymptotic expansions were given by Caflisch [13] (see also [43] and [21]). In those papers, the limit is justified up to the first singular time for the fluid equation. By using his nonlinear energy method, Guo [39] justified the limit towards the Navier-Stokes equation and beyond in Hilbert's expansion from Boltzmann and Landau equations.

There have also been some convergence proofs based on spectral analysis in the framework of strong solutions close to equilibrium introduced by Grad [35] and Ukai [57] for the Boltzmann equation. In this respect, we refer to the works by Nishida [53], Bardos and Ukai [9]. These results use the description of the spectrum of the linearized Boltzmann equation in Fourier space in the space variable performed in [52, 17, 26] by respectively Nicolaenko; Cercignani, Illner and Pulvirenti; Ellis and Pinsky. The approach in the present paper as well as in [27, 16, 29, 30, 31, 14] are reminiscent of these ones.

Finally, let us mention that this problem has been extensively studied in the framework of weak solutions, the goal being to obtain solutions for the fluid models from renormalized solutions introduced by DiPerna and Lions in [23] for the Boltzmann equation. We shall not make an extensive presentation of this program as it is out of the realm of this paper, but let us mention that it was started by Bardos, Golse and Levermore at the beginning of the nineties in [8, 7] and was continued by those authors, Saint-Raymond, Masmoudi, Lions among others. We mention here a (non exhaustive) list of papers which are part of this program [32, 33, 47, 48, 55].

More recently, some uniform in  $\varepsilon$  estimates on kinetic equations have allowed to prove (at least) weak convergence towards the Navier-Stokes equation. Let us mention [42, 54] in which the cases of the Boltzmann equation without cut-off and the Landau equations are treated by Jiang, Xu and Zhao on the one hand and by Rachid on the other hand. In [11, 12], Briant and Briant, Merino-Aceituno and Mouhot have obtained convergence to equilibrium results for the rescaled Boltzmann equation (and also the Landau equation in [11]) uniformly in the rescaling parameter using respectively hypocoercivity and enlargement methods. In [12], the authors are able to weaken the assumptions on the data down to Sobolev spaces with polynomial weights (see also [3] for the inelastic Boltzmann equation). Notice that Briant [11] has combined this with the Ellis and Pinsky result [26] to recover strong convergence in the case of the elastic Boltzmann equation. To end this part, we mention the works [16, 14] in which the authors also obtain uniform in  $\varepsilon$  estimates on the Landau equation and Boltzmann equation without cutoff respectively and also obtain a result of strong convergence towards the incompressible Navier-Stokes equation.

Finally, let us bring up more recent works that have inspired the present paper. First, the paper [27] in which the second and third authors proved that the life span of the

solutions to the rescaled Boltzmann equation (for hard-spheres collisions) is bounded from below by that of the Navier-Stokes equation for  $\varepsilon$  small enough. The main feature of the proof was to perform a fixed point argument by using information on the limit system since the starting point is the solution of the Navier-Stokes system (which is not the most common viewpoint). Gervais [29, 30] extended the functional framework in which this result holds. He proved a similar result in polynomially weighted spaces, his strategy being a combination of [27] and of the one used in [12] by Briant, Merino-Aceituno and Mouhot in order to get uniform in  $\varepsilon$  estimates on solutions in polynomially weighted spaces. We also point out the paper by Gervais and Lods [31] in which a unified framework is also provided, which encompasses a large class of kinetic equations (including in particular the result in [27]).

**1.5. Main result.** All the results mentioned in the previous paragraph concerning the convergence of strong solutions are stated in functional spaces which are usual for the study of strong solutions to nonlinear kinetic problems, namely in which there is an algebra structure in the space variable, typically  $H_x^\ell$  with  $\ell > 3/2$  (more generally  $\ell > d/2$  in dimension  $d$ ). Indeed the collision operator  $Q_B$  involves the product of  $f(x, v)$  and  $f(x, v')$  at the same point  $x$ , so continuity of  $f$  seems to be required to make sense of the product (this requirement is of course too strong: it is actually possible to relax it in some cases, see the work by Arsénio in [4] for example). However it is well-known that the Navier-Stokes equations can be solved for initial data with less regularity, namely  $H_x^{\frac{1}{2}}$  ( $H_x^{\frac{d}{2}-1}$  in dimension  $d$ ). Our goal in this work is to analyze to what extent the assumptions one makes on the initial data  $f_{\text{in}}^\varepsilon$  to the kinetic equation (1.11) can reflect this discrepancy between the kinetic and the fluid frameworks.

The main goal of our analysis is thus to show that given an initial data in  $H_x^{\frac{1}{2}}$  for the incompressible (NSF) system, the associate solution to (NSF), as long as it exists, is the limit of a sequence of solutions to the rescaled Boltzmann or Landau equation. More precisely we are able to construct, on the same life span as the solution to (NSF), a sequence of solutions to the kinetic equation associated with initial data whose hydrodynamic part converges in  $H_x^{\frac{1}{2}}$  to the given hydrodynamic profile, and whose microscopic part converges to zero in  $H_x^{\frac{1}{2}}$  and may blow up (in a controlled way) in  $H_x^\ell$  for  $\ell > 3/2$ . Let us also underline that there is no smallness assumption on the initial data of the fluid system, and we are able to treat the cases of non-global and global solutions to the fluid system in a unified framework.

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $3/2 < \ell \leq 2$  be given. Consider  $(\rho_{\text{in}}, u_{\text{in}}, \theta_{\text{in}}) \in H^{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbb{T}^3)$  that are mean-free, such that  $u_{\text{in}}$  is divergence free and  $\rho_{\text{in}} + \theta_{\text{in}} = 0$ . Let  $(\rho, u, \theta)$  be the unique solution to (NSF) associated with the initial data  $(\rho_{\text{in}}, u_{\text{in}}, \theta_{\text{in}})$  in the space  $\tilde{L}_T^\infty H_x^{\frac{1}{2}} \cap L_T^2 H_x^{\frac{3}{2}}$ , for some  $T > 0$ .*

*Consider two real numbers  $\alpha < 1/4$  and  $\beta < 1/2$ . Let  $f_{\text{in}}^\varepsilon$  be a family of functions such that*

$$\mathbf{P}_0 f_{\text{in}}^\varepsilon = \psi(\varepsilon^\alpha |D_x|) g_{\text{in}}$$

*and  $\mathbf{P}_0^\perp f_{\text{in}}^\varepsilon$  is arbitrary, going to zero in the sense that*

$$\|\mathbf{P}_0^\perp f_{\text{in}}^\varepsilon\|_{H_x^{\frac{1}{2}} L_v^2} + \varepsilon^\beta \|\mathbf{P}_0^\perp f_{\text{in}}^\varepsilon\|_{H_x^\ell L_v^2} \xrightarrow{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} 0$$

*for some smooth, compactly supported function  $\psi$ . Then, there is  $\varepsilon_0 > 0$  such that for any  $\varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_0$ , there exists a unique solution  $f^\varepsilon$  to the kinetic equation (1.11) with initial data  $f_{\text{in}}^\varepsilon$ , which belongs to the space  $\tilde{L}_T^\infty H_x^\ell L_v^2 \cap L_T^2 H_x^\ell H_v^{s,*}$ , and it moreover satisfies, with notation (1.21),*

$$\|f^\varepsilon - g\|_{\tilde{L}_T^\infty H_x^{\frac{1}{2}} L_v^2} + \|f^\varepsilon - g\|_{L_T^2 H_x^{\frac{3}{2}} H_v^{s,*}} \xrightarrow{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} 0.$$

*Remark 1.* The restriction  $\ell \leq 2$  is purely technical, the result would hold for any  $\ell > 2$  up to some adaptations in the nonlinear estimates. Note that  $\mathbf{P}_0 f_{\text{in}}^\varepsilon$  is a smoothed version

of the well-prepared data  $g_{\text{in}}$ , with the higher regularity norms allowed to blow up, in a controlled way, with  $\varepsilon$ . The threshold value  $1/4$  for the truncation parameter  $\alpha$  comes from technical considerations that appear throughout the proof. Note that such an assumption (the cut-off in frequency space) is reminiscent of the setting chosen in [25] in the context of the incompressible limit. The additional parameter  $\beta$  quantifies the possible blow up of the  $H_x^\ell H_v^{s,*}$  norm of the “microscopic” part of the initial data.

*Remark 2.* The proof of Theorem 1 shows that if the solution  $(\rho, u, \theta)$  exists globally in time, regardless of the size of the initial data, the parameter  $\varepsilon_0$  may be chosen uniformly in  $T$  (as is the case in [27]).

*Remark 3.* Throughout this paper, we only consider the case of well-prepared data in the torus and also only the case of not too soft potentials for the kinetic equations. We believe that using the same method of proof combined with arguments and estimates of [27, 14], our analysis could be extended to a more general setting by considering the problem in the whole space (also including ill-prepared data in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ ) and very soft potentials for the kinetic equations.

**1.6. Sketch of the proof and plan of the paper.** The idea of the proof follows the method of [27], consisting in solving by a fixed point argument the equation obtained by taking the difference between the kinetic and hydrodynamic equations, written in Duhamel form. The main interest of this equation is that it no longer involves the kinetic unknown but writes schematically as

$$(1.22) \quad \delta^\varepsilon(t) = \mathcal{D}^\varepsilon(t) + \mathcal{S}^\varepsilon(t) + \mathcal{L}^\varepsilon[\delta^\varepsilon](t) + \Psi^\varepsilon[\delta^\varepsilon, \delta^\varepsilon](t),$$

where  $\mathcal{D}^\varepsilon(t)$  depends only on the initial data  $g_{\text{in}}$  (recall that  $g_{\text{in}}$  is defined in (1.20)),  $\mathcal{S}^\varepsilon(t)$  is a source term depending only on the hydrodynamical solution  $g$ ,  $\mathcal{L}^\varepsilon[\cdot]$  is a linear operator depending on the hydrodynamic solution  $g$ , and  $\Psi^\varepsilon[\cdot, \cdot]$  is the usual, Boltzmann bilinear operator (see (3.10) below). The difficulty then consists in proving that  $\mathcal{D}^\varepsilon(t)$  and  $\mathcal{S}^\varepsilon(t)$  are small, and that  $\Psi^\varepsilon$  is bilinear continuous, in a low regularity framework. An additional difficulty comes from the fact that  $\mathcal{L}^\varepsilon$  is not small if  $g_{\text{in}}$  is not small: since smallness is necessary for the fixed-point to work, we devise a Gronwall-type argument to get round this difficulty (in this regard also, the proof differs from the one presented in [27]).

In Section 2, we give some useful tools to estimate each part of equation (1.22) (spectral decomposition, semi-group and nonlinear estimates). In Section 3, we reduce the proof of Theorem 1 to a number of intermediate estimates. These estimates are proved in Sections 4 and 5.

**Acknowledgments.** KC was partially supported by the Project CONVIVIALITY ANR-23-CE40-0003 of the French National Research Agency (ANR). IT was supported by the French government through the France 2030 investment plan managed by the ANR, as part of the Initiative of Excellence Université Côte d’Azur under reference number ANR-15-IDEX-01.

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

Our approach heavily relies on previous results on the spectral analysis of the linearized kinetic operator

$$\Lambda^\varepsilon := \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2}L - \frac{1}{\varepsilon}v \cdot \nabla_x$$

in Fourier space for the space variable  $x$  (see [52, 26, 29, 31]), where we recall that  $L$  is defined in (1.12). We denote by  $U^\varepsilon(t)$  the semi-group associated to  $\Lambda^\varepsilon$ .

Taking the Fourier transform in the space variable, we denote, for all  $k \in \mathbb{Z}^3$ ,

$$\widehat{\Lambda}^\varepsilon(k) := \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2}L - \frac{1}{\varepsilon}iv \cdot k$$

and  $\widehat{U}^\varepsilon(t, k) := e^{t\widehat{\Lambda}^\varepsilon(k)}$ , so that  $U^\varepsilon(t) = \mathcal{F}_x^{-1}\widehat{U}^\varepsilon(t, \cdot)\mathcal{F}_x$ . We also denote

$$(2.1) \quad \Psi^\varepsilon[f_1, f_2](t) := \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_0^t U^\varepsilon(t-t') \Gamma_{\text{sym}}(f_1(t'), f_2(t')) dt',$$

where  $\Gamma_{\text{sym}}(f_1, f_2) := (\Gamma(f_1, f_2) + \Gamma(f_2, f_1))/2$  denotes the symmetrized form of  $\Gamma$ , so that (1.11) takes the Duhamel form

$$(2.2) \quad f^\varepsilon(t) = U^\varepsilon(t)f_{\text{in}}^\varepsilon + \Psi^\varepsilon[f^\varepsilon, f^\varepsilon](t).$$

In Fourier space we have

$$\widehat{\Psi}^\varepsilon[f_1, f_2](t, k) = \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_0^t \widehat{U}^\varepsilon(t-t', k) \widehat{\Gamma}_{\text{sym}}(f_1(t'), f_2(t'))(k) dt',$$

where

$$\widehat{\Gamma}_{\text{sym}}(f_1, f_2)(k) := \sum_{k' \in \mathbb{Z}^3} \Gamma_{\text{sym}}(\widehat{f}_1(k-k'), \widehat{f}_2(k')).$$

Observe that

$$\Psi^\varepsilon[f_1, f_2](t) = \mathcal{F}_x^{-1} \widehat{\Psi}^\varepsilon[f_1, f_2](t, \cdot) \mathcal{F}_x.$$

It turns out that there is a complete description of the operator  $U^\varepsilon$ : this goes back to [52, 26] for the Boltzmann hard-spheres kernel, [59] for the Boltzmann non-cutoff (resp. Landau) kernels with hard and moderately soft potentials  $\gamma + 2s \geq 0$  (resp.  $\gamma + 2 \geq 0$ ), and [60] for the Boltzmann non-cutoff (resp. Landau) kernels with very soft potentials  $\gamma + 2s < 0$  (resp.  $\gamma + 2 < 0$ ). For the not too soft potentials, we also refer to the paper [31] in which the authors provide a more modern spectral approach.

Let us start by noticing that

$$(2.3) \quad \widehat{U}^\varepsilon(t, k) = \widehat{U}^1\left(\frac{t}{\varepsilon^2}, \varepsilon k\right).$$

Roughly speaking, for  $|k| \leq \kappa$  small enough, the operator  $\widehat{\Lambda}^1(k) := L - iv \cdot k$  can be seen as a perturbation of  $L$ . In particular it can be proved (see [26]) that the 5-dimensional kernel of  $L$  recalled in (1.14) splits into 4 eigenvalues (the first one below is double) that satisfy for all  $|k| \leq \kappa$

$$(2.4) \quad \begin{aligned} \lambda_{\text{NS}}(k) &:= -\nu_{\text{NS}}|k|^2 + \gamma_{\text{NS}}(k), \quad \nu_{\text{NS}} > 0, \quad |\gamma_{\text{NS}}(k)| \leq \frac{\nu_{\text{NS}}}{2}|k|^2 \\ \lambda_{\text{heat}}(k) &:= -\nu_{\text{heat}}|k|^2 + \gamma_{\text{heat}}(k), \quad \nu_{\text{heat}} > 0, \quad |\gamma_{\text{heat}}(k)| \leq \frac{\nu_{\text{heat}}}{2}|k|^2 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(2.5) \quad \begin{aligned} \lambda_{\text{wave}\pm}(k) &:= \pm ic|k| - \nu_{\text{wave}\pm}|k|^2 + \gamma_{\text{wave}\pm}(k), \\ c > 0, \quad \nu_{\text{wave}\pm} > 0, \quad |\gamma_{\text{wave}\pm}(k)| &\leq \frac{\nu_{\text{wave}\pm}}{2}|k|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, the associate projectors  $\mathcal{P}_\star$  can be written (where  $\star$  stands for NS, heat, or wave $\pm$ )

$$(2.6) \quad \mathcal{P}_\star = \mathcal{P}_\star^0\left(\frac{k}{|k|}\right) + |k|\mathcal{P}_\star^1\left(\frac{k}{|k|}\right) + |k|^2\mathcal{P}_\star^2(k),$$

with  $\mathcal{P}_\star^n$  bounded linear operators on  $L_v^2$  with operator norms uniform for  $|k| \leq \kappa$ . We even have that  $\mathcal{P}_\star^0(k/|k|)$ ,  $\mathcal{P}_\star^1(k/|k|)$  and  $\mathcal{P}_\star^2(k)$  are bounded from  $(H_v^{s,*})'$  into  $H_v^{s,*}$  uniformly in  $|k| \leq \kappa$ . We refer to [31, Theorem 1.6-(2)] for this property (note the following correspondance of notation  $H^\bullet = H_v^{s,*}$  and  $H^\circ = (H_v^{s,*})'$ ). We also have that if  $\star \neq \star'$ , then  $\mathcal{P}_\star^0\mathcal{P}_{\star'}^0 = 0$  and the orthogonal projector  $\mathbf{P}_0$  onto  $\text{Ker } L$  satisfies

$$(2.7) \quad \mathbf{P}_0 = \sum_{\star \in \{\text{NS}, \text{heat}, \text{wave}\pm\}} \mathcal{P}_\star^0\left(\frac{k}{|k|}\right).$$

Actually  $\mathcal{P}_{\text{NS}}^0(k/|k|)$  is the projection onto the 2-dimensional space spanned by  $v - \text{pr}_k v$  for any  $k$  (this corresponds to the divergence free condition), and

$$\mathcal{P}_{\text{heat}}^0\left(\frac{k}{|k|}\right)\widehat{f}(k, v) = \frac{2}{5}\left(-1 + \frac{1}{2}(|v|^2 - 3)\right)\mu^{\frac{1}{2}}(v) \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left(-1 + \frac{1}{2}(|w|^2 - 3)\right)\mu^{\frac{1}{2}}(w)\widehat{f}(k, w) dw.$$

Finally

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{P}_{\text{wave}\pm}^0\left(\frac{k}{|k|}\right)\hat{f}(k, v) \\ &= \frac{3}{10}\left(1 \pm \frac{k}{|k|} \cdot v + \frac{1}{3}(|v|^2 - 3)\right)\mu^{\frac{1}{2}}(v) \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left(1 \pm \frac{k}{|k|} \cdot w + \frac{1}{3}(|w|^2 - 3)\right)\mu^{\frac{1}{2}}(w)\hat{f}(k, w) dw. \end{aligned}$$

Thanks to (2.3) and to this spectral study, we deduce as in [9, 27] that  $U^\varepsilon$  can be decomposed as follows:

$$(2.8) \quad U^\varepsilon(t) = U^{\varepsilon, b}(t) + U^{\varepsilon, \#}(t)$$

where  $U^{\varepsilon, b}(t)$  corresponds to the contribution of the low frequencies in the right part of the plane:

$$(2.9) \quad \widehat{U}^{\varepsilon, b}(t, k) := \chi\left(\frac{\varepsilon|k|}{\kappa}\right) \sum_{\star \in \{\text{NS, heat, wave}\pm\}} e^{\lambda_\star(\varepsilon k) \frac{t}{\varepsilon^2}} \mathcal{P}_\star(\varepsilon k),$$

where  $\chi$  is a fixed smooth, compactly supported function. Moreover, since we consider not too soft potentials, there is  $\lambda_0 > 0$  such that uniformly in  $k \in \mathbb{Z}^3$

$$(2.10) \quad \|\widehat{U}^{\varepsilon, \#}(t, k)\|_{L_v^2 \rightarrow L_v^2} \lesssim e^{-\lambda_0 \frac{t}{\varepsilon^2}}, \quad \forall t \geq 0.$$

Notice that in the case of very soft potentials, the exponential decay should be replaced by an algebraic one (see for example [60]). In the study of the limit  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$  of (1.11), it will be useful to decompose  $U^{\varepsilon, b}(t)$  into a part independent of  $\varepsilon$  and a remainder, which will be shown to go to zero in a sense to be made precise later:

$$(2.11) \quad U^{\varepsilon, b} = U_{\text{NSF}} + \widetilde{U}_{\text{NSF}}^\varepsilon + U_{\text{wave}}^{\varepsilon, b},$$

where in Fourier variables

$$(2.12) \quad \begin{aligned} \widehat{U}_{\text{NSF}}(t, k) &:= e^{-\nu_{\text{NS}}|k|^2 t} \mathcal{P}_{\text{NS}}^0\left(\frac{k}{|k|}\right) + e^{-\nu_{\text{heat}}|k|^2 t} \mathcal{P}_{\text{heat}}^0\left(\frac{k}{|k|}\right) \\ \widehat{U}_{\text{wave}}^{\varepsilon, b}(t, k) &:= \chi\left(\frac{\varepsilon|k|}{\kappa}\right) \sum_{\pm} e^{\lambda_{\text{wave}\pm}(\varepsilon k) \frac{t}{\varepsilon^2}} \mathcal{P}_{\text{wave}\pm}(\varepsilon k). \end{aligned}$$

According to (2.1) and (2.8), we can also decompose

$$(2.13) \quad \Psi^\varepsilon = \Psi^{\varepsilon, b} + \Psi^{\varepsilon, \#}$$

where

$$(2.14) \quad \mathcal{F}_x\left(\Psi^{\varepsilon, \#}[f_1, f_2](t)\right)(k) := \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_0^t \widehat{U}^{\varepsilon, \#}(t-t') \widehat{\Gamma}_{\text{sym}}(f_1(t'), f_2(t'))(k) dt'$$

and

$$(2.15) \quad \mathcal{F}_x\left(\Psi^{\varepsilon, b}[f_1, f_2](t)\right)(k) := \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \chi\left(\frac{\varepsilon|k|}{\kappa}\right) \sum_{\star} \int_0^t e^{\lambda_\star(\varepsilon k) \frac{t-t'}{\varepsilon^2}} \mathcal{P}_\star(\varepsilon k) \widehat{\Gamma}_{\text{sym}}(f_1(t'), f_2(t'))(k) dt',$$

where the sum runs over  $\{\text{NS, heat, wave}\pm\}$ . In the interest of the limit  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ , this can be again decomposed as in (2.11), as follows:

$$(2.16) \quad \Psi^{\varepsilon, b} = \Psi_{\text{NSF}} + \widetilde{\Psi}_{\text{NSF}}^\varepsilon + \Psi_{\text{wave}}^{\varepsilon, b}$$

where writing  $\widehat{\Psi}_\star[f_1, f_2](t) = \mathcal{F}_x(\Psi_\star[f_1, f_2](t))$  and recalling that  $\mathbf{P}_0 \Gamma_{\text{sym}} = 0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{\Psi}_{\text{NSF}}[f_1, f_2](t, k) &:= \sum_{\star \in \{\text{NS, heat}\}} \int_0^t e^{-\nu_\star(t-t')|k|^2} |k| \mathcal{P}_\star^1\left(\frac{k}{|k|}\right) \widehat{\Gamma}_{\text{sym}}(f_1(t'), f_2(t'))(k) dt', \\ \widehat{\Psi}_{\text{wave}}^{\varepsilon, b}[f_1, f_2](t, k) &:= \chi\left(\frac{\varepsilon|k|}{\kappa}\right) \\ &\quad \times \sum_{\pm} \int_0^t e^{\lambda_{\text{wave}\pm}(\varepsilon k) \frac{t-t'}{\varepsilon^2}} \mathcal{P}_{\text{wave}\pm}(\varepsilon k) \widehat{\Gamma}_{\text{sym}}(f_1(t'), f_2(t'))(k) dt'. \end{aligned}$$

It can be checked that the solution  $g$  constructed in (1.21), starting from  $g_{\text{in}}$  as defined in (1.20), satisfies

$$(2.17) \quad g(t) = U_{\text{NSF}}(t)g_{\text{in}} + \Psi_{\text{NSF}}[g, g](t).$$

### 3. PROOF OF THE THEOREM

Let us start by presenting the functional framework in which we shall develop our proof. Let  $3/2 < \ell \leq 2$  be fixed. Recall that  $\alpha < 1/4$  and  $\beta < 1/2$  have been introduced in Theorem 1. In the following, we shall assume without loss of generality that  $\alpha > 0$  and  $\beta > \alpha(\ell - 1/2)$ .

We now define for any interval  $I$  of  $\mathbb{R}^+$  the space

$$(3.1) \quad \mathcal{X}_I^\varepsilon := \left\{ f \in \tilde{L}_I^\infty H_x^\ell L_v^2 \cap L_I^2 H_x^\ell H_v^{s,*} \mid \|f\|_{\mathcal{X}_I^\varepsilon} < +\infty \right\}$$

which we endow with the norm

$$(3.2) \quad \begin{aligned} \|f\|_{\mathcal{X}_I^\varepsilon} &:= \|f\|_{\tilde{L}_I^\infty H_x^{\frac{1}{2}} L_v^2} + \|\mathbf{P}_0 f\|_{L_I^2 H_x^{\frac{3}{2}} H_v^{s,*}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}} \|\mathbf{P}_0^\perp f\|_{L_I^2 H_x^{\frac{3}{2}} H_v^{s,*}} \\ &+ \varepsilon^\beta \left( \|f\|_{\tilde{L}_I^\infty H_x^\ell L_v^2} + \|\mathbf{P}_0 f\|_{L_I^2 H_x^\ell H_v^{s,*}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}} \|\mathbf{P}_0^\perp f\|_{L_I^2 H_x^\ell H_v^{s,*}} \right). \end{aligned}$$

In the following we write  $\mathcal{X}_T^\varepsilon := \mathcal{X}_{[0, T]}^\varepsilon$ .

*Remark 4.* If  $f = f(x, v)$  is a function in  $H_x^{\frac{1}{2}} L_v^2$  and if  $\psi$  is a smooth, compactly supported function on  $\mathbb{R}^3$ , then the sequence  $f^\varepsilon := \psi(\varepsilon^\alpha |D_x|)f$  goes to zero in  $\varepsilon^\beta H_x^\ell L_v^2$  because of the assumption  $\beta > \alpha(\ell - 1/2)$ : indeed

$$\varepsilon^\beta \|f^\varepsilon\|_{H_x^\ell L_v^2} \lesssim \varepsilon^{\beta - \alpha(\ell - \frac{1}{2})} \|f\|_{H_x^{\frac{1}{2}} L_v^2}.$$

Recall that we consider well-prepared initial data  $g_{\text{in}}$  in  $H_x^{\frac{1}{2}} L_v^2$  and the associated maximal fluid solution  $g \in \tilde{L}_T^\infty H_x^{\frac{1}{2}} L_v^2 \cap L_T^2 H_x^{\frac{3}{2}} L_v^2$  for  $T < T^*$ , where the maximal life span  $T^* > 0$  satisfies

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow T^*} \|g\|_{L_T^2 H_x^{\frac{3}{2}} L_v^2} = \infty.$$

This solution satisfies

$$(3.3) \quad \|g\|_{\tilde{L}_T^\infty H_x^{\frac{1}{2}} L_v^2} + \|g\|_{L_T^2 H_x^{\frac{3}{2}} L_v^2} \lesssim \|g_{\text{in}}\|_{H_x^{\frac{1}{2}} L_v^2},$$

where the constant may depend on  $T^*$  but is uniform if  $T^* = \infty$  (see [28]). We refer for instance to [5, 45, 46] for more on the Navier-Stokes equations. Note that as mentioned in Section 1.3, actually  $g$  belongs also to  $\tilde{L}_T^\infty H_x^{\frac{1}{2}} H_v^{s,*} \cap L_T^2 H_x^{\frac{3}{2}} H_v^{s,*}$ , with the same bound.

We then build a family of initial data  $f_{\text{in}}^\varepsilon$  to Equation (1.11) such that on the one hand  $\mathbf{P}_0 f_{\text{in}}^\varepsilon = \psi(\varepsilon^\alpha |D_x|)g_{\text{in}}$  for some smooth, compactly supported function  $\psi$ , and on the other hand  $\mathbf{P}_0^\perp f_{\text{in}}^\varepsilon$  is arbitrary but goes to zero in  $H_x^{\frac{1}{2}} L_v^2$  while  $\varepsilon^\beta \mathbf{P}_0^\perp f_{\text{in}}^\varepsilon$  goes to zero in  $H_x^\ell L_v^2$ . Note that as pointed out in Remark 4,  $\mathbf{P}_0 f_{\text{in}}^\varepsilon$  actually goes to 0 in  $\varepsilon^\beta H_x^\ell L_v^2$  (since  $\beta > \alpha(\ell - 1/2)$ ). Our goal is to prove that the solution  $f^\varepsilon$  of (1.11) with data  $f_{\text{in}}^\varepsilon$  converges to  $g$  as stated in Theorem 1, on the same life span as  $g$ .

The first step consists in replacing  $g$  by a smooth solution to (NSF) in the following way: let us define

$$g^\varepsilon(t, x, v) := \left\{ \rho^\varepsilon(t, x) + u^\varepsilon(t, x) \cdot v + \theta^\varepsilon(t, x) \frac{|v|^2 - 3}{2} \right\} \mu^{\frac{1}{2}}(v)$$

where  $(\rho^\varepsilon, u^\varepsilon, \theta^\varepsilon)$  solves (NSF) with the initial data  $\psi(\varepsilon^\alpha |D_x|)(\rho_{\text{in}}, u_{\text{in}}, \theta_{\text{in}})$ . It is classical (see for instance [27, Proposition B.5], and [19, 5, 45] for more), that for  $\varepsilon$  small

enough,  $(\rho^\varepsilon, u^\varepsilon, \theta^\varepsilon)$  belongs to  $\tilde{L}_T^\infty H_x^{\frac{1}{2}} L_v^2 \cap L_T^2 H_x^{\frac{3}{2}} H_v^{s,*}$ , and there holds

$$(3.4) \quad \|g^\varepsilon - g\|_{\tilde{L}_T^\infty H_x^{\frac{1}{2}} L_v^2} + \|g^\varepsilon - g\|_{L_T^2 H_x^{\frac{3}{2}} H_v^{s,*}} \xrightarrow{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} 0.$$

Note that in particular

$$(3.5) \quad \|g^\varepsilon\|_{\tilde{L}_T^\infty H_x^{\frac{1}{2}} L_v^2} \xrightarrow{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \|g\|_{\tilde{L}_T^\infty H_x^{\frac{1}{2}} L_v^2} \quad \text{and} \quad \|g^\varepsilon\|_{L_T^2 H_x^{\frac{3}{2}} H_v^{s,*}} \xrightarrow{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \|g\|_{L_T^2 H_x^{\frac{3}{2}} H_v^{s,*}}.$$

To prove Theorem 1, it thus suffices to prove that

$$\|g^\varepsilon - f^\varepsilon\|_{\tilde{L}_T^\infty H_x^{\frac{1}{2}} L_v^2} + \|g^\varepsilon - f^\varepsilon\|_{L_T^2 H_x^{\frac{3}{2}} H_v^{s,*}} \xrightarrow{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} 0.$$

Note that by propagation of regularity (see again [27, Proposition B.5]) there holds, for any  $m > 1/2$ ,

$$(3.6) \quad \begin{aligned} \|g^\varepsilon\|_{\tilde{L}_T^\infty H_x^m L_v^2} + \|g^\varepsilon\|_{L_T^2 H_x^{m+1} H_v^{s,*}} &\lesssim \|\mathbf{P}_0 f_{\text{in}}^\varepsilon\|_{H_x^m L_v^2} \exp\left(C \|g^\varepsilon\|_{L_T^2 H_x^{\frac{3}{2}} H_v^{s,*}}^2\right) \\ &\lesssim \|\mathbf{P}_0 f_{\text{in}}^\varepsilon\|_{H_x^m L_v^2} \exp\left(C \|g\|_{L_T^2 H_x^{\frac{3}{2}} H_v^{s,*}}^2\right) \\ &\lesssim \|\mathbf{P}_0 f_{\text{in}}^\varepsilon\|_{H_x^m L_v^2} \exp\left(C \|g_{\text{in}}\|_{H_x^{\frac{1}{2}} L_v^2}^2\right) \end{aligned}$$

due to (3.5) and (3.3). In particular  $g^\varepsilon$  satisfies

$$(3.7) \quad \begin{aligned} \|g^\varepsilon\|_{\tilde{L}_T^\infty H_x^m L_v^2} + \|g^\varepsilon\|_{L_T^2 H_x^{m+1} H_v^{s,*}} \\ \lesssim \varepsilon^{-\alpha(m-\frac{1}{2})} \|g_{\text{in}}\|_{H_x^{\frac{1}{2}} L_v^2} \exp\left(C \|g_{\text{in}}\|_{H_x^{\frac{1}{2}} L_v^2}^2\right). \end{aligned}$$

By the standard interpolation inequality

$$(3.8) \quad \|h\|_{\tilde{L}_T^4 H_x^n} \lesssim \|h\|_{L_T^\infty H_x^{n-\frac{1}{2}}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|h\|_{L_T^2 H_x^{n+\frac{1}{2}}}^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad \forall n \geq \frac{1}{2},$$

one also has

$$(3.9) \quad \|g^\varepsilon\|_{\tilde{L}_T^4 H_x^{m+\frac{1}{2}} H_v^{s,*}} \lesssim \varepsilon^{-\alpha(m-\frac{1}{2})} \|g_{\text{in}}\|_{H_x^{\frac{1}{2}} L_v^2} \exp\left(C \|g_{\text{in}}\|_{H_x^{\frac{1}{2}} L_v^2}^2\right).$$

It is also worth recalling that  $g^\varepsilon = \mathbf{P}_0 g^\varepsilon$  so that  $\|g^\varepsilon(t, x, \cdot)\|_{H_v^{s,*}} \lesssim \|g^\varepsilon(t, x, \cdot)\|_{L_v^2}$ .

In what follows, we shall look for a solution  $f^\varepsilon$  to (1.11) under the form  $f^\varepsilon = g^\varepsilon + \delta^\varepsilon$ . Since as recalled in (2.17)

$$g^\varepsilon(t) = U_{\text{NSF}}(t) \mathbf{P}_0 f_{\text{in}}^\varepsilon + \Psi_{\text{NSF}}[g^\varepsilon, g^\varepsilon](t),$$

elementary algebraic computations lead to the following equation on  $\delta^\varepsilon$ :

$$(3.10) \quad \begin{aligned} \delta^\varepsilon(t) &= (U^\varepsilon(t) - U_{\text{NSF}}(t)) \mathbf{P}_0 f_{\text{in}}^\varepsilon + U^\varepsilon(t) \mathbf{P}_0^\perp f_{\text{in}}^\varepsilon \\ &\quad + \Psi^\varepsilon[g^\varepsilon, g^\varepsilon](t) - \Psi_{\text{NSF}}[g^\varepsilon, g^\varepsilon](t) \\ &\quad + 2\Psi^\varepsilon[g^\varepsilon, \delta^\varepsilon](t) + \Psi^\varepsilon[\delta^\varepsilon, \delta^\varepsilon](t). \end{aligned}$$

As we shall see, the main point is to be able to solve the equation on  $\delta^\varepsilon$  although the initial data blows up (in a controlled way) as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ . Our method of proof will enable us to prove that the equation has a unique solution on the same time interval as  $g^\varepsilon$  hence as  $g$ , at least for  $\varepsilon$  small enough. In doing so we shall also prove that  $\delta^\varepsilon$  converge to 0 in  $\tilde{L}_T^\infty H_x^{\frac{1}{2}} L_v^2 \cap L_T^2 H_x^{\frac{3}{2}} L_v^2$ .

The method will rely on the following fixed point lemma.

**Lemma 3.1.** *There is a constant  $C_0 > 0$  such that the following holds. Let  $X$  be a Banach space,  $\mathcal{L}$  a continuous linear map from  $X$  to  $X$ , and  $\mathcal{B}$  a bilinear map from  $X \times X$  to  $X$ . Let us define*

$$\|\mathcal{L}\| := \sup_{\|x\|=1} \|\mathcal{L}x\| \quad \text{and} \quad \|\mathcal{B}\| := \sup_{\|x\|=\|y\|=1} \|\mathcal{B}(x, y)\|.$$

If  $\|\mathcal{L}\| < 1$ , then for any  $x_0$  in  $X$  such that

$$(3.11) \quad \|x_0\|_X < \frac{(1 - \|\mathcal{L}\|)^2}{4\|\mathcal{B}\|}$$

the equation  $x = x_0 + \mathcal{L}x + \mathcal{B}(x, x)$  has a unique solution in the ball of center 0 and radius  $\frac{1 - \|\mathcal{L}\|}{2\|\mathcal{B}\|}$  and there holds  $\|x\| \leq C_0\|x_0\|$ .

In the next sections, we shall provide all the necessary estimates in order to implement this fixed-point argument to solve (3.10), which we re-write in the following form:

$$\delta^\varepsilon(t) = \mathcal{D}^\varepsilon(t) + \mathcal{S}^\varepsilon(t) + \mathcal{L}^\varepsilon[\delta^\varepsilon](t) + \Psi^\varepsilon[\delta^\varepsilon, \delta^\varepsilon](t),$$

where the data  $\mathcal{D}^\varepsilon$ , source  $\mathcal{S}^\varepsilon$  and linear  $\mathcal{L}^\varepsilon[\delta^\varepsilon]$  terms are defined by

$$(3.12) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}^\varepsilon(t) &:= (U^\varepsilon(t) - U_{\text{NSF}}(t))\mathbf{P}_0 f_{\text{in}}^\varepsilon + U^\varepsilon(t)\mathbf{P}_0^\perp f_{\text{in}}^\varepsilon, \\ \mathcal{S}^\varepsilon(t) &:= \Psi^\varepsilon[g^\varepsilon, g^\varepsilon](t) - \Psi_{\text{NSF}}[g^\varepsilon, g^\varepsilon](t), \\ \mathcal{L}^\varepsilon[\delta^\varepsilon](t) &:= 2\Psi^\varepsilon[g^\varepsilon, \delta^\varepsilon](t). \end{aligned}$$

Sections 4 and 5 will be devoted to the proof of the following result.

**Proposition 3.2.** *Under the assumptions of Theorem 1, the following holds.*

(1) For any  $t \in (0, T)$  there holds

$$\|U^\varepsilon(\cdot - t)F(t)\|_{\mathcal{X}_{[t, T]}^\varepsilon} \lesssim \|F\|_{\mathcal{X}_{[0, t]}^\varepsilon}.$$

(2) The data term goes to zero globally in time:

$$\|\mathcal{D}^\varepsilon\|_{\mathcal{X}_\infty^\varepsilon} \lesssim \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}-\alpha} \|g_{\text{in}}\|_{H_x^{\frac{1}{2}} L_v^2} + \|\mathbf{P}_0^\perp f_{\text{in}}^\varepsilon\|_{H_x^{\frac{1}{2}} L_v^2} + \varepsilon^\beta \|\mathbf{P}_0^\perp f_{\text{in}}^\varepsilon\|_{H_x^\ell L_v^2} \xrightarrow{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} 0.$$

(3) The source term goes to zero in  $\mathcal{X}_T^\varepsilon$ : there exists a nonnegative increasing function  $\Phi$  such that

$$\|\mathcal{S}^\varepsilon\|_{\mathcal{X}_T^\varepsilon} \leq \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}-2\alpha} \Phi\left(\|g_{\text{in}}\|_{H_x^{\frac{1}{2}} L_v^2}\right) \xrightarrow{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} 0.$$

(4) The linear term satisfies the following continuity estimate for  $\varepsilon$  small enough: for all intervals  $I$ ,

$$\|\mathcal{L}^\varepsilon[f]\|_{\mathcal{X}_I^\varepsilon} \lesssim \|f\|_{\mathcal{X}_I^\varepsilon} \left( \|g^\varepsilon\|_{\tilde{L}_I^4 H_x^1 L_v^2} + \|g^\varepsilon\|_{L_T^2 H_x^{\frac{3}{2}} L_v^2} + \varepsilon^\beta \|g^\varepsilon\|_{\tilde{L}_I^\infty H_x^\ell L_v^2} + \varepsilon^\beta \|g^\varepsilon\|_{L_I^2 H_x^\ell L_v^2} \right).$$

(5) The nonlinear term satisfies the following continuity estimate: for all intervals  $I$ ,

$$\|\Psi^\varepsilon[f_1, f_2]\|_{\mathcal{X}_I^\varepsilon} \lesssim \|f_1\|_{\mathcal{X}_I^\varepsilon} \|f_2\|_{\mathcal{X}_I^\varepsilon}.$$

Let us investigate how Proposition 3.2 ensures the wellposedness of (3.10) in  $\mathcal{X}_T^\varepsilon$  and the convergence of  $\delta^\varepsilon$  to zero, thus proving Theorem 1.

*Proof of Theorem 1.* We shall check that (3.10) takes the form required by Lemma 3.1. Thanks to Proposition 3.2–(2),(3) and the assumptions of Theorem 1 we have

$$\|\mathcal{D}^\varepsilon\|_{\mathcal{X}_\infty^\varepsilon} + \|\mathcal{S}^\varepsilon\|_{\mathcal{X}_T^\varepsilon} \xrightarrow{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} 0.$$

Due to Proposition 3.2–(5), (3.11) will be satisfied as soon as we have a hold on the continuity constant on  $\mathcal{L}^\varepsilon$ : we need the linear operator  $\mathcal{L}^\varepsilon$  to be a contraction in  $\mathcal{X}_T^\varepsilon$ . As can be seen from Proposition 3.2–(4) along with (3.7) and (3.9), for that to be the case one needs  $g_{\text{in}}$  to be small, which we do not assume here.

In order to get around this difficulty, we shall apply Lemma 3.1 iteratively on small time intervals. Note that due to Proposition 3.2–(4) and (3.7), there is a constant  $C > 0$  and  $\varepsilon_0 > 0$  such that for all  $\varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_0$

$$\|\mathcal{L}^\varepsilon[f]\|_{\mathcal{X}_T^\varepsilon} \leq C \|f\|_{\mathcal{X}_T^\varepsilon} \left( \frac{1}{4C} + \|g\|_{\tilde{L}_T^4 H_x^1 L_v^2} + \|g\|_{L_T^2 H_x^{\frac{3}{2}} L_v^2} \right).$$

Now thanks to (3.3) and (3.8) there exists  $K > 0$  and times  $t_1 := 0 < t_2 < \dots < t_K := T$  such that

$$\forall 1 \leq i \leq K-1, \quad \|g\|_{\tilde{L}^4([t_i, t_{i+1}]; H_x^1 L_v^2)} + \|g\|_{L^2([t_i, t_{i+1}]; H_x^{\frac{3}{2}} L_v^2)} \leq \frac{1}{4C}.$$

Then in particular

$$(3.13) \quad \|\mathcal{L}^\varepsilon[f]\|_{\mathcal{X}_{t_2}^\varepsilon} \leq \frac{1}{2}\|f\|_{\mathcal{X}_{t_2}^\varepsilon}.$$

Applying Lemma 3.1 on  $[0, t_2]$  implies that there is a unique solution  $\delta^\varepsilon$  to (3.10) in  $\mathcal{X}_{t_2}^\varepsilon$ , which satisfies

$$(3.14) \quad \|\delta^\varepsilon\|_{\mathcal{X}_{t_2}^\varepsilon} \leq C_0 \left( D_{\text{in}}^\varepsilon + \|\mathcal{S}^\varepsilon\|_{\mathcal{X}_{t_2}^\varepsilon} \right) \xrightarrow{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} 0,$$

with thanks to Proposition 3.2–(2)

$$(3.15) \quad D_{\text{in}}^\varepsilon := \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}-\alpha} \|g_{\text{in}}\|_{H_x^{\frac{1}{2}} L_v^2} + \|\mathbf{P}_0^\perp f_{\text{in}}^\varepsilon\|_{H_x^{\frac{1}{2}} L_v^2} + \varepsilon^\beta \|\mathbf{P}_0^\perp f_{\text{in}}^\varepsilon\|_{H_x^\ell L_v^2}.$$

Then we solve (3.10) on  $[t_2, t_3]$ . We recall that (3.10) writes

$$\forall t \in [t_2, t_3], \quad \delta^\varepsilon(t) = \mathcal{D}^\varepsilon(t) + \mathcal{S}^\varepsilon(t) + \mathcal{L}^\varepsilon[\delta^\varepsilon](t) + \Psi^\varepsilon[\delta^\varepsilon, \delta^\varepsilon](t),$$

with  $\mathcal{D}^\varepsilon$ ,  $\mathcal{S}^\varepsilon$  and  $\mathcal{L}^\varepsilon$  defined in (3.12). We want to recast this equation in a form suited to a fixed point on  $[t_2, t_3]$ . According to (2.1) and since  $U^\varepsilon$  is a semigroup, we can write for all  $t \geq t_2$

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi^\varepsilon[f, g](t) &= \frac{1}{\varepsilon} U^\varepsilon(t-t_2) \int_0^{t_2} U^\varepsilon(t_2-t') \Gamma_{\text{sym}}(f(t'), g(t')) dt' \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_{t_2}^t U^\varepsilon(t-t') \Gamma_{\text{sym}}(f(t'), g(t')) dt' \\ &=: \frac{1}{\varepsilon} U^\varepsilon(t-t_2) \int_0^{t_2} U^\varepsilon(t_2-t') \Gamma_{\text{sym}}(f(t'), g(t')) dt' + \Psi^\varepsilon[f, g](t_2; t). \end{aligned}$$

We also define the operator

$$\mathcal{L}^\varepsilon[f](t_2; t) := \Psi^\varepsilon[g^\varepsilon, f](t_2; t)$$

and we set

$$\mathcal{D}_2^\varepsilon(t) := \mathcal{D}^\varepsilon(t) - U^\varepsilon(t-t_2) \mathcal{D}^\varepsilon(t_2)$$

and

$$\mathcal{S}_2^\varepsilon(t) := \mathcal{S}^\varepsilon(t) - U^\varepsilon(t-t_2) \mathcal{S}^\varepsilon(t_2).$$

Then (3.10) can be recast on  $[t_2, t_3]$  as follows:

$$\delta^\varepsilon(t) = U^\varepsilon(t-t_2) \delta^\varepsilon(t_2) + \mathcal{D}_2^\varepsilon(t) + \mathcal{S}_2^\varepsilon(t) + \mathcal{L}^\varepsilon[\delta^\varepsilon](t_2; t) + \Psi^\varepsilon[\delta^\varepsilon, \delta^\varepsilon](t_2; t).$$

Thanks to Proposition 3.2–(1), (2) and (3),  $\mathcal{D}_2^\varepsilon$  and  $\mathcal{S}_2^\varepsilon$  go to zero in  $\mathcal{X}_{[t_2, t_3]}^\varepsilon$ , with for some universal constant  $C_1$

$$\|\mathcal{D}_2^\varepsilon\|_{\mathcal{X}_{[t_2, t_3]}^\varepsilon} \leq C_1 D_{\text{in}}^\varepsilon$$

with notation (3.15), and

$$\|\mathcal{S}_2^\varepsilon\|_{\mathcal{X}_{[t_2, t_3]}^\varepsilon} \leq C_1 \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}-2\alpha} \Phi \left( \|g_{\text{in}}\|_{H_x^{\frac{1}{2}} L_v^2} \right).$$

The linear operator  $\mathcal{L}^\varepsilon[\delta^\varepsilon](t_2; t)$  is dealt with exactly as above to produce similarly to (3.13), for  $\varepsilon$  small enough,

$$\|\mathcal{L}^\varepsilon[f]\|_{\mathcal{X}_{[t_2, t_3]}^\varepsilon} \leq \frac{1}{2}\|f\|_{\mathcal{X}_{[t_2, t_3]}^\varepsilon}.$$

Finally thanks to Proposition 3.2–(1) and (3.14), we have for some universal constant  $C_2 > 0$  that

$$\begin{aligned} \|U^\varepsilon(t-t_2) \delta^\varepsilon(t_2)\|_{\mathcal{X}_{[t_2, t_3]}^\varepsilon} &\lesssim \|\mathcal{D}^\varepsilon\|_{\mathcal{X}_\infty^\varepsilon} + \|\mathcal{S}^\varepsilon\|_{\mathcal{X}_{t_2}^\varepsilon} \\ &\leq C_2 \left[ D_{\text{in}}^\varepsilon + \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}-2\alpha} \Phi \left( \|g_{\text{in}}\|_{H_x^{\frac{1}{2}} L_v^2} \right) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

We can therefore apply Lemma 3.1 which implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\delta^\varepsilon\|_{\mathcal{X}_{[t_2, t_3]}^\varepsilon} &\leq C_0 \left( \|U^\varepsilon(\cdot - t_2)\delta^\varepsilon(t_2)\|_{\mathcal{X}_{[t_2, t_3]}^\varepsilon} + \|\mathcal{D}_2^\varepsilon\|_{\mathcal{X}_{[t_2, t_3]}^\varepsilon} + \|\mathcal{S}_2^\varepsilon\|_{\mathcal{X}_{[t_2, t_3]}^\varepsilon} \right) \\ &\leq C_0(C_1 + C_2) \left[ D_{\text{in}}^\varepsilon + \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}-2\alpha} \Phi \left( \|g_{\text{in}}\|_{H_x^{\frac{1}{2}} L_v^2} \right) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Iterating this argument  $K$  times and noticing that  $K$  is of the order of

$$K(g) := \|g\|_{\tilde{L}_T^4 H_x^1 L_v^2} + \|g\|_{L_T^2 H_x^{\frac{3}{2}} L_v^2},$$

we find that there is a unique solution  $\delta^\varepsilon \in \mathcal{X}_T^\varepsilon$  to (3.10) on  $[0, T]$  which satisfies for some universal constant  $C \geq 2$

$$\|\delta^\varepsilon\|_{\mathcal{X}_T^\varepsilon} \lesssim C^{K(g)} \left[ D_{\text{in}}^\varepsilon + \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}-2\alpha} \Phi \left( \|g_{\text{in}}\|_{H_x^{\frac{1}{2}} L_v^2} \right) \right] \xrightarrow{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} 0.$$

Theorem 1 is proved.  $\square$

#### 4. SOME RESULTS ON THE OPERATORS $U^\varepsilon$ AND $\Psi^\varepsilon$

In this section, we provide useful continuity estimates on  $U^\varepsilon$ ,  $\Psi^\varepsilon$  and  $\Gamma$ .

**4.1. Estimates on  $U^\varepsilon$  and  $\Psi^\varepsilon$ .** The first series of estimates (Propositions 4.1, 4.2, 4.4 and Corollary 4.3) are very close to the ones established in [14] (and in [31]) and are based on hypocoercive energy estimates (see Appendix A for a presentation of hypocoercivity results). Since the functional framework is a little different, we reformulate them in our functional setting. Some key elements of proofs are provided in Appendix A.

**Proposition 4.1.** *Let  $m \geq 0$  and  $T > 0$ . There holds:*

(1) *Let  $f \in H_x^m L_v^2$  and assume  $f$  verifies (1.13). Then*

$$\|U^\varepsilon(\cdot)f\|_{\tilde{L}_t^\infty H_x^m L_v^2} + \|\mathbf{P}_0 U^\varepsilon(\cdot)f\|_{L_t^2 H_x^m L_v^2} + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \|\mathbf{P}_0^\perp U^\varepsilon(\cdot)f\|_{L_t^2 H_x^m H_v^{s,*}} \lesssim \|f\|_{H_x^m L_v^2},$$

*and moreover  $U^\varepsilon(t)f$  verifies (1.13) for all  $t \geq 0$ .*

(2) *Let  $S = S(t, x, v)$  satisfy  $\mathbf{P}_0 S = 0$  and  $S \in L_T^2 H_x^m (H_v^{s,*})'$ , then for any  $t \leq T$ ,*

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \int_0^t U^\varepsilon(t-t')S(t') dt' \right\|_{\tilde{L}_T^\infty H_x^m L_v^2} + \left\| \mathbf{P}_0 \int_0^t U^\varepsilon(t-t')S(t') dt' \right\|_{L_T^2 H_x^m L_v^2} \\ + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \left\| \mathbf{P}_0^\perp \int_0^t U^\varepsilon(t-t')S(t') dt' \right\|_{L_T^2 H_x^m H_v^{s,*}} \lesssim \varepsilon \|S\|_{L_T^2 H_x^m (H_v^{s,*})'}. \end{aligned}$$

From [31, Lemmas 4.8 and 4.9] we also have estimates for the semi-group  $U^{\varepsilon, \sharp}$ .

**Proposition 4.2.** *Let  $m \geq 0$  and  $T > 0$ . There holds:*

(1) *Let  $f \in H_x^m L_v^2$ , then*

$$\|U^{\varepsilon, \sharp}(\cdot)f\|_{\tilde{L}_T^\infty H_x^m L_v^2} + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \|U^{\varepsilon, \sharp}(\cdot)f\|_{L_T^2 H_x^m H_v^{s,*}} \lesssim \|f\|_{H_x^m L_v^2}.$$

(2) *Let  $S = S(t, x, v)$  satisfy  $S \in L_T^2 H_x^m (H_v^{s,*})'$ . Then for any  $t \leq T$ ,*

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \int_0^t U^{\varepsilon, \sharp}(t-t')S(t') dt' \right\|_{\tilde{L}_T^\infty H_x^m L_v^2} + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \left\| \int_0^t U^{\varepsilon, \sharp}(t-t')S(t') dt' \right\|_{L_T^2 H_x^m H_v^{s,*}} \\ \lesssim \varepsilon \|S\|_{L_T^2 H_x^m (H_v^{s,*})'}. \end{aligned}$$

From the two previous propositions, since  $\Gamma_{\text{sym}}$  is such that  $\mathbf{P}_0 \Gamma_{\text{sym}} = 0$ , it is straightforward to deduce the following result.

**Corollary 4.3.** *Consider  $m \geq 0$ ,  $f_1, f_2$  such that  $\Gamma_{\text{sym}}(f_1, f_2) \in L_T^2 H_x^m (H_v^{s,*})'$  for some given  $T > 0$ . Then, there holds:*

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Psi^\varepsilon[f_1, f_2]\|_{\tilde{L}_T^\infty H_x^m L_v^2} + \|\mathbf{P}_0 \Psi^\varepsilon[f_1, f_2]\|_{L_T^2 H_x^m L_v^2} \\ + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \|\mathbf{P}_0^\perp \Psi^\varepsilon[f_1, f_2]\|_{L_T^2 H_x^m H_v^{s,*}} \lesssim \|\Gamma_{\text{sym}}(f_1, f_2)\|_{L_T^2 H_x^m (H_v^{s,*})'} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\|\Psi^{\varepsilon, \sharp}[f_1, f_2]\|_{\tilde{L}_T^\infty H_x^m L_v^2} + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \|\Psi^{\varepsilon, \sharp}[f_1, f_2]\|_{L_T^2 H_x^m H_v^{s,*}} \lesssim \|\Gamma_{\text{sym}}(f_1, f_2)\|_{L_T^2 H_x^m (H_v^{s,*})'}.$$

The above statements show that there is some kind of smoothing effect in the velocity variable after time integration. However there is no such effect in the space variable in general, except when it comes to the operator  $U^{\varepsilon, b}$ , as shown in the following straightforward estimate for  $U^{\varepsilon, b}$ .

**Proposition 4.4.** *Let  $m \geq 0$ . For any  $f \in H_x^m L_v^2$  there holds*

$$\|U^{\varepsilon, b}(\cdot)f\|_{L_t^2 H_x^{m+1} H_v^{s,*}} \lesssim \|f\|_{H_x^m L_v^2}.$$

**4.2. Refined estimates on  $\Psi^\varepsilon$ .** We recall that as introduced in Section 2

$$(4.1) \quad \Psi^\varepsilon = \Psi^{\varepsilon, b} + \Psi^{\varepsilon, \sharp}.$$

In what follows, we give estimates on  $\Psi^{\varepsilon, b}$  and  $\Psi^{\varepsilon, \sharp}$ .

**Proposition 4.5.** *Consider  $T > 0$  and  $m \in \mathbb{R}$ . For any smooth enough functions  $f_1$  and  $f_2$ , we have*

$$(4.2) \quad \|\Psi^{\varepsilon, b}[f_1, f_2]\|_{\tilde{L}_T^\infty H_x^m L_v^2} \lesssim \|\Gamma_{\text{sym}}(f_1, f_2)\|_{\tilde{L}_T^\infty H_x^{m-1} L_v^2},$$

$$(4.3) \quad \|\Psi^{\varepsilon, b}[f_1, f_2]\|_{\tilde{L}_T^\infty H_x^m L_v^2} \lesssim \|\Gamma_{\text{sym}}(f_1, f_2)\|_{\tilde{L}_T^4 H_x^{m-\frac{1}{2}} L_v^2},$$

and also

$$(4.4) \quad \|\Psi^{\varepsilon, b}[f_1, f_2]\|_{L_T^2 H_x^m H_v^{s,*}} \lesssim \|\Gamma_{\text{sym}}(f_1, f_2)\|_{L_T^2 H_x^{m-1} (H_v^{s,*})'}.$$

*Proof.* Recalling (2.15), for any  $k \in \mathbb{Z}^3$ , there holds

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{\Psi^{\varepsilon, b}}[f_1, f_2](t, k) \\ = \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \chi\left(\frac{\varepsilon|k|}{\kappa}\right) \sum_{\star \in \{\text{NS, heat, wave}\pm\}} \int_0^t e^{\lambda_\star(\varepsilon k) \frac{t-t'}{\varepsilon^2}} \mathcal{P}_\star(\varepsilon k) \widehat{\Gamma}_{\text{sym}}(f_1(t'), f_2(t'))(k) dt'. \end{aligned}$$

Due to the form (2.4)-(2.5) of  $\lambda_\star$  and to the fact that  $\mathbf{P}_0 \Gamma_{\text{sym}} = 0$ , there is a constant  $\lambda_1 > 0$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \widehat{\Psi^{\varepsilon, b}}[f_1, f_2](t, k) \right\|_{L_v^2} \\ \lesssim |k| \chi\left(\frac{\varepsilon|k|}{\kappa}\right) \int_0^t e^{-\lambda_1 |k|^2 (t-t')} \left\| \mathcal{P}^1\left(\frac{k}{|k|}\right) \widehat{\Gamma}_{\text{sym}}(f_1, f_2)(t', k) \right\|_{L_v^2} dt' \\ + \varepsilon |k|^2 \chi\left(\frac{\varepsilon|k|}{\kappa}\right) \int_0^t e^{-\lambda_1 |k|^2 (t-t')} \left\| \mathcal{P}^2(\varepsilon k) \widehat{\Gamma}_{\text{sym}}(f_1, f_2)(t', k) \right\|_{L_v^2} dt' \\ \lesssim |k| \chi\left(\frac{\varepsilon|k|}{\kappa}\right) \int_0^t e^{-\lambda_1 |k|^2 (t-t')} \left\| \mathcal{P}^1\left(\frac{k}{|k|}\right) \widehat{\Gamma}_{\text{sym}}(f_1, f_2)(t', k) \right\|_{L_v^2} dt' \\ + |k| \chi\left(\frac{\varepsilon|k|}{\kappa}\right) \int_0^t e^{-\lambda_1 |k|^2 (t-t')} \left\| \mathcal{P}^2(\varepsilon k) \widehat{\Gamma}_{\text{sym}}(f_1, f_2)(t', k) \right\|_{L_v^2} dt' \end{aligned}$$

where we used that  $\varepsilon k$  lies in a compact set to get the last inequality and where  $\mathcal{P}^1$  and  $\mathcal{P}^2$  are bounded from  $L_v^2$  into  $L_v^2$  uniformly in  $\varepsilon|k| \leq \kappa$ . We then have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \mathcal{P}^1 \left( \frac{k}{|k|} \right) \widehat{\Gamma}_{\text{sym}}(f_1, f_2)(t', k) \right\|_{L_v^2} + \left\| \mathcal{P}^2(\varepsilon k) \widehat{\Gamma}_{\text{sym}}(f_1, f_2)(t', k) \right\|_{L_v^2} \\ & \lesssim \left\| \widehat{\Gamma}_{\text{sym}}(f_1, f_2)(t', k, \cdot) \right\|_{L_v^2}. \end{aligned}$$

We denote  $A(t', k) := \left\| \widehat{\Gamma}_{\text{sym}}(f_1, f_2)(t', k, \cdot) \right\|_{L_v^2}$ , and we use the fact that Young's inequality in time implies  $L_T^1 \star L_T^\infty \subset L_T^\infty$  to estimate

$$\left\| \widehat{\Psi}^{\varepsilon, b}[f_1, f_2](k) \right\|_{L_T^\infty L_v^2} \lesssim \left\| \int_0^t |k|^2 e^{-\lambda_1(t-t')|k|^2} |k|^{-1} A(t', k, \cdot) dt' \right\|_{L_T^\infty} \lesssim \left\| |k|^{-1} A(\cdot, k) \right\|_{L_T^\infty}.$$

Therefore we obtain

$$\left\| \Psi^{\varepsilon, b}[f_1, f_2] \right\|_{\widetilde{L}_T^\infty H_x^m L_v^2} \lesssim \left\| \langle k \rangle^{m-1} A \right\|_{L_k^2 L_T^\infty} \lesssim \left\| \Gamma_{\text{sym}}(f_1, f_2) \right\|_{\widetilde{L}_T^\infty H_x^{m-1} L_v^2},$$

which concludes the proof of (4.2). Using instead Young's inequality in time  $L_T^{4/3} \star L_T^4 \subset L_T^\infty$ , we also obtain

$$\left\| \widehat{\Psi}^{\varepsilon, b}[f_1, f_2](k) \right\|_{L_T^\infty L_v^2} \lesssim \left\| \int_0^t |k|^{\frac{3}{2}} e^{-\lambda_1(t-t')|k|^2} |k|^{-\frac{1}{2}} A(t', k, \cdot) dt' \right\|_{L_T^\infty} \lesssim \left\| |k|^{-\frac{1}{2}} A(\cdot, k) \right\|_{L_T^4},$$

from which we deduce (4.3) arguing as before.

For the  $L_T^2$  estimate (4.4), we observe that  $\mathcal{P}^1$  and  $\mathcal{P}^2$  are bounded from  $(H_v^{s,*})'$  into  $H_v^{s,*}$  uniformly in  $\varepsilon|k| \leq \kappa$ . Therefore we obtain, denoting  $B(t', k) := \left\| \widehat{\Gamma}_{\text{sym}}(f_1, f_2)(t', k, \cdot) \right\|_{(H_v^{s,*})'}$  and using Young's inequality in time  $L_T^1 \star L_T^2 \subset L_T^2$ , that

$$\left\| \widehat{\Psi}^{\varepsilon, b}[f_1, f_2](k) \right\|_{L_T^2 H_v^{s,*}} \lesssim \left\| \int_0^t |k|^2 e^{-\lambda_1(t-t')|k|^2} |k|^{-1} B(t', k, \cdot) dt' \right\|_{L_T^2} \lesssim \left\| |k|^{-1} B(\cdot, k) \right\|_{L_T^2}.$$

We then conclude the proof of (4.4) by arguing as before. Proposition 4.5 is proved.  $\square$

We now give an estimate on  $\Psi^{\varepsilon, \sharp}$  that will be useful when both entries are macroscopic.

**Proposition 4.6.** *Consider  $T > 0$  and  $m \in \mathbb{R}$ . For any smooth enough functions  $f_1$  and  $f_2$  we have:*

$$\left\| \Psi^{\varepsilon, \sharp}[f_1, f_2] \right\|_{\widetilde{L}_T^\infty H_x^m L_v^2} \lesssim \varepsilon \left\| \Gamma_{\text{sym}}(f_1, f_2) \right\|_{\widetilde{L}_T^\infty H_x^m L_v^2}.$$

*Proof.* We first write for any  $k \in \mathbb{Z}^3$ ,

$$\left\| \widehat{\Psi}^{\varepsilon, \sharp}[f_1, f_2](k) \right\|_{L_T^\infty L_v^2} \lesssim \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \left\| \int_0^t \left\| \widehat{U}^{\varepsilon, \sharp}(t-t', k) \widehat{\Gamma}_{\text{sym}}(f_1, f_2)(t', k) \right\|_{L_v^2} dt' \right\|_{L_T^\infty}.$$

Using then (2.10), we deduce that

$$\left\| \widehat{\Psi}^{\varepsilon, \sharp}[f_1, f_2](k) \right\|_{L_T^\infty L_v^2} \lesssim \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \left\| \int_0^t e^{-\lambda_0 \frac{t-t'}{\varepsilon^2}} \left\| \widehat{\Gamma}_{\text{sym}}(f_1, f_2)(t', k) \right\|_{L_v^2} dt' \right\|_{L_T^\infty},$$

and thus Young's inequality in time yields

$$\left\| \widehat{\Psi}^{\varepsilon, \sharp}[f_1, f_2](k) \right\|_{L_T^\infty L_v^2} \lesssim \varepsilon \left\| \widehat{\Gamma}_{\text{sym}}(f_1, f_2)(k) \right\|_{L_T^\infty L_v^2}.$$

This concludes the proof of Proposition 4.6.  $\square$

**4.3. Nonlinear estimates.** We now provide nonlinear estimates that are central to estimate the nonlinear collisional operator  $\Gamma$  in various functional spaces. It is well-known (see [38] for cutoff Boltzmann, [36, 2] for non-cutoff Boltzmann, [37] for Landau) that

$$|\langle \Gamma(f_1, f_2), f_3 \rangle_{L_v^2}| \lesssim \|f_1\|_{L_v^2} \|f_2\|_{H_v^{s,*}} \|f_3\|_{H_v^{s,*}},$$

from which we obtain

$$(4.5) \quad \|\Gamma(f_1, f_2)\|_{(H_v^{s,*})'} := \sup_{\|\phi\|_{H_v^{s,*}} \leq 1} \langle \Gamma(f_1, f_2), \phi \rangle_{L_v^2} \lesssim \|f_1\|_{L_v^2} \|f_2\|_{H_v^{s,*}}.$$

**Proposition 4.7.** *Let  $m \geq 0$ . For any  $r_1, r_2 \neq 3/2$ , any  $p_1, q_1, p_2, q_2 \in [1, \infty]$  that are such that  $1/p_1 + 1/q_1 = 1/p_2 + 1/q_2 = 1/2$ , and any smooth enough functions  $f_1, f_2$  there holds:*

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Gamma(f_1, f_2)\|_{L_T^2 H_x^m (H_v^{s,*})'} &\lesssim \|f_1\|_{\tilde{L}_T^{p_1} H_x^{m+(\frac{3}{2}-r_1)_+} L_v^2} \|f_2\|_{\tilde{L}_T^{q_1} H_x^{r_1} H_v^{s,*}} \\ &\quad + \|f_1\|_{\tilde{L}_T^{p_2} H_x^{r_2} L_v^2} \|f_2\|_{\tilde{L}_T^{q_2} H_x^{m+(\frac{3}{2}-r_2)_+} H_v^{s,*}}. \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* To simplify we write  $F_1(t, k) = \|\hat{f}_1(t, k, \cdot)\|_{L_v^2}$  and  $F_2(t, k) = \|\hat{f}_2(t, k, \cdot)\|_{H_v^{s,*}}$ . By (4.5) we have, for any  $k \in \mathbb{Z}^3$ ,

$$\|\widehat{\Gamma}(f_1, f_2)(k)\|_{L_T^2 (H_v^{s,*})'} \lesssim \left\{ \int_0^T \left( \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^3} F_1(t, k-n) F_2(t, n) \right)^2 dt \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

and applying Minkowski's inequality yields

$$\|\widehat{\Gamma}(f_1, f_2)(k)\|_{L_T^2 (H_v^{s,*})'} \lesssim \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^3} \left\{ \int_0^T |F_1(t, k-n)|^2 |F_2(t, n)|^2 dt \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

We now follow [46, Lemma 7.3]. We first split

$$\|\widehat{\Gamma}(f_1, f_2)(k)\|_{L_T^2 (H_v^{s,*})'} \lesssim I_1(k) + I_2(k)$$

with

$$I_1(k) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^3} \mathbf{1}_{|n| < |k-n|} \left\{ \int_0^T |F_1(t, k-n)|^2 |F_2(t, n)|^2 dt \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

and

$$I_2(k) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^3} \mathbf{1}_{|n| \leq |k-n|} \left\{ \int_0^T |F_1(t, n)|^2 |F_2(t, k-n)|^2 dt \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

We now estimate the term  $I_1$ . Thanks to Hölder's inequality in time, we obtain

$$(4.6) \quad I_1(k) \lesssim \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^3} \mathbf{1}_{|n| < |k-n|} \|F_1(\cdot, k-n)\|_{L_T^{p_1}} \|F_2(\cdot, n)\|_{L_T^{q_1}},$$

where  $1/p_1 + 1/q_1 = 1/2$ . To simply notation we introduce  $\mathcal{F}_1(k) = \|F_1(\cdot, k)\|_{L_T^{p_1}}$  and  $\mathcal{F}_2(k) = \|F_2(\cdot, k)\|_{L_T^{q_1}}$ . By the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality it follows that

$$I_1(k) \lesssim \|\langle \cdot \rangle^{r_1} \mathcal{F}_2\|_{\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^3)} \left\{ \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^3} \mathbf{1}_{|n| < |k-n|} \langle n \rangle^{-2r_1} \mathcal{F}_1(k-n)^2 \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

where we recall that  $r_1 \neq 3/2$ . Multiplying  $I_1(k)$  by  $\langle k \rangle^m$  then taking the square and summing it gives

$$\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^3} \langle k \rangle^{2m} I_1(k)^2 \lesssim \|\langle \cdot \rangle^{r_1} \mathcal{F}_2\|_{\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^3)}^2 \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^3} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^3} \mathbf{1}_{|n| < |k-n|} \langle k \rangle^{2m} \langle n \rangle^{-2r_1} \mathcal{F}_1(k-n)^2.$$

Using that  $\mathbf{1}_{|n| < |k-n|} \langle k \rangle^{2m} \lesssim \mathbf{1}_{|n| < |k-n|} \langle k-n \rangle^{2m}$ , the above sum can be bounded by

$$\sum_{n' \in \mathbb{Z}^3} \left\{ \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^3} \mathbf{1}_{|n| < |n'|} \langle n \rangle^{-2r_1} \right\} \langle n' \rangle^{2m} \mathcal{F}_1(n')^2$$

and we observe by standard arguments that

$$\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^3} \mathbf{1}_{|n| < |n'|} \langle n \rangle^{-2r_1} \lesssim \begin{cases} \langle n' \rangle^{3-2r_1} & \text{if } r_1 < \frac{3}{2}, \\ 1 & \text{if } r_1 > \frac{3}{2}. \end{cases}$$

This implies

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^3} \langle k \rangle^{2m} I_1(k)^2 &\lesssim \| \langle \cdot \rangle^{r_1} \mathcal{F}_2 \|_{\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^3)}^2 \| \langle \cdot \rangle^{m+(\frac{3}{2}-r_1)_+} \mathcal{F}_1 \|_{\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^3)}^2 \\ &= \| f_1 \|_{\widetilde{L}_T^{p_1} H_x^{m+(\frac{3}{2}-r_1)_+} L_v^2}^2 \| f_2 \|_{\widetilde{L}_T^{q_1} H_x^{r_1} H_v^{s,*}}^2. \end{aligned}$$

The term  $I_2$  can be estimated in a similar fashion, by exchanging the role of  $f_1$  and  $f_2$ . Indeed, we first apply Hölder's inequality in time with  $1/p_2 + 1/q_2 = 1/2$  to obtain

$$(4.7) \quad I_2(k) \lesssim \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^3} \mathbf{1}_{|n| \leq |k-n|} \| F_1(\cdot, n) \|_{L_T^{p_2}} \| F_2(\cdot, k-n) \|_{L_T^{q_2}}.$$

Denoting  $\mathcal{F}'_1(k) = \| F_1(\cdot, k) \|_{L_T^{p_2}}$  and  $\mathcal{F}'_2(k) = \| F_2(\cdot, k) \|_{L_T^{q_2}}$ , the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality yields

$$I_2(k) \lesssim \| \langle \cdot \rangle^{r_2} \mathcal{F}'_1 \|_{\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^3)} \left\{ \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^3} \mathbf{1}_{|n| \leq |k-n|} \langle n \rangle^{-2r_2} \mathcal{F}'_2(k-n)^2 \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Arguing as above, it follows

$$\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^3} \langle k \rangle^{2m} I_2(k)^2 \lesssim \| f_1 \|_{\widetilde{L}_T^{p_2} H_x^{r_2} L_v^2}^2 \| f_2 \|_{\widetilde{L}_T^{q_2} H_x^{m+(\frac{3}{2}-r_2)_+} H_v^{s,*}}^2,$$

which completes the proof.  $\square$

We give another estimate on  $\Gamma$  in the specific case where both entries are macroscopic (which in particular implies that there is no loss of regularity in the velocity variable).

**Proposition 4.8.** *Let  $m \geq 0$ . For any smooth enough functions  $f_1, f_2$  we have:*

(1) *For any  $r_1, r_2 \neq 3/2$  there holds*

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Gamma(\mathbf{P}_0 f_1, \mathbf{P}_0 f_2)\|_{\widetilde{L}_T^\infty H_x^m L_v^2} &\lesssim \|\mathbf{P}_0 f_1\|_{\widetilde{L}_T^\infty H_x^{m+(\frac{3}{2}-r_1)_+} L_v^2} \|\mathbf{P}_0 f_2\|_{\widetilde{L}_T^\infty H_x^{r_1} L_v^2} \\ &\quad + \|\mathbf{P}_0 f_1\|_{\widetilde{L}_T^\infty H_x^{r_2} L_v^2} \|\mathbf{P}_0 f_2\|_{\widetilde{L}_T^\infty H_x^{m+(\frac{3}{2}-r_2)_+} L_v^2}. \end{aligned}$$

(2) *For any  $r_1, r_2 \neq 3/2$  and  $p_1, q_1, p_2, q_2 \in [1, \infty]$  such that  $1/p_1 + 1/q_1 = 1/p_2 + 1/q_2 = 1/4$ , there holds*

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Gamma(\mathbf{P}_0 f_1, \mathbf{P}_0 f_2)\|_{\widetilde{L}_T^4 H_x^m L_v^2} &\lesssim \|\mathbf{P}_0 f_1\|_{\widetilde{L}_T^{p_1} H_x^{m+(\frac{3}{2}-r_1)_+} L_v^2} \|\mathbf{P}_0 f_2\|_{\widetilde{L}_T^{q_1} H_x^{r_1} L_v^2} \\ &\quad + \|\mathbf{P}_0 f_1\|_{\widetilde{L}_T^{p_2} H_x^{r_2} L_v^2} \|\mathbf{P}_0 f_2\|_{\widetilde{L}_T^{q_2} H_x^{m+(\frac{3}{2}-r_2)_+} L_v^2}. \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* Using the regularization properties of  $\mathbf{P}_0$ , thanks to [56, 16] respectively for the noncutoff Boltzmann and Landau equations, and the fact that  $\|\langle v \rangle^p \mathbf{P}_0 \phi\|_{H_v^q} \lesssim \|\mathbf{P}_0 \phi\|_{L_v^2}$  for all  $p, q \geq 0$ , we have

$$(4.8) \quad \|\Gamma(\mathbf{P}_0 f_1, \mathbf{P}_0 f_2)\|_{L_v^2} \lesssim \|\mathbf{P}_0 f_1\|_{L_v^2} \|\mathbf{P}_0 f_2\|_{L_v^2}.$$

Therefore we have for any  $k \in \mathbb{Z}^3$ ,

$$\|\widehat{\Gamma}(\mathbf{P}_0 f_1, \mathbf{P}_0 f_2)(k)\|_{L_T^\infty L_v^2} \lesssim \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^3} \|\widehat{\mathbf{P}_0 f_1}(k-n)\|_{L_T^\infty L_v^2} \|\widehat{\mathbf{P}_0 f_2}(n)\|_{L_T^\infty L_v^2}.$$

We then conclude the proof of (1) as in the proof of Proposition 4.7. The proof of (2) is similar by writing

$$\begin{aligned} \|\widehat{\Gamma}(\mathbf{P}_0 f_1, \mathbf{P}_0 f_2)(k)\|_{L_T^4 L_v^2} &\lesssim \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^3} \mathbf{1}_{|n| < |k-n|} \|\widehat{\mathbf{P}_0 f_1}(k-n)\|_{L_T^{p_1} L_v^2} \|\widehat{\mathbf{P}_0 f_2}(n)\|_{L_T^{q_1} L_v^2} \\ &\quad + \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^3} \mathbf{1}_{|k-n| \geq n} \|\widehat{\mathbf{P}_0 f_1}(n)\|_{L_T^{p_2} L_v^2} \|\widehat{\mathbf{P}_0 f_2}(k-n)\|_{L_T^{q_2} L_v^2}, \end{aligned}$$

and then arguing as in the proof of Proposition 4.7.  $\square$

### 5. THE EQUATION ON $\delta^\varepsilon$ : PROOF OF PROPOSITION 3.2

This section is devoted to the proof of Proposition 3.2.

**5.1. Continuity estimates for  $U^\varepsilon$ .** Let us prove Proposition 3.2–(1). From Proposition 4.1 we have

$$\varepsilon^\beta \|U^\varepsilon(\cdot - t)F(t)\|_{\tilde{L}_{[t,T]}^\infty H_x^\ell L_v^2} \lesssim \varepsilon^\beta \|F(t)\|_{H_x^\ell L_v^2},$$

and

$$\|U^\varepsilon(\cdot - t)F(t)\|_{\tilde{L}_{[t,T]}^\infty H_x^{\frac{1}{2}} L_v^2} \lesssim \|F(t)\|_{H_x^{\frac{1}{2}} L_v^2}.$$

Let us turn to the  $L^2$  norm in time. First we note that

$$\frac{\varepsilon^\beta}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}} \|\mathbf{P}_0^\perp U^\varepsilon(\cdot - t)F(t)\|_{L_{[t,T]}^2 H_x^\ell H_v^{s,*}} \lesssim \varepsilon^{\beta+\frac{1}{2}} \|F(t)\|_{H_x^\ell L_v^2},$$

and

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}} \|\mathbf{P}_0^\perp U^\varepsilon(\cdot - t)F(t)\|_{L_{[t,T]}^2 H_x^{\frac{3}{2}} H_v^{s,*}} \lesssim \sqrt{\varepsilon} \|F(t)\|_{H_x^{\frac{3}{2}} L_v^2}.$$

We now decompose  $\mathbf{P}_0 U^\varepsilon = \mathbf{P}_0 U^{\varepsilon,\sharp} + \mathbf{P}_0 U^{\varepsilon,\flat}$  as in (2.8). By Proposition 4.2–(1) we get

$$\varepsilon^\beta \|\mathbf{P}_0 U^{\varepsilon,\sharp}(\cdot - t)F(t)\|_{L_{[t,T]}^2 H_x^\ell H_v^{s,*}} \lesssim \varepsilon^{1+\beta} \|F(t)\|_{H_x^\ell L_v^2},$$

and also

$$\|\mathbf{P}_0 U^{\varepsilon,\sharp}(\cdot - t)F(t)\|_{L_{[t,T]}^2 H_x^{\frac{3}{2}} H_v^{s,*}} \lesssim \varepsilon \|F(t)\|_{H_x^{\frac{3}{2}} L_v^2}.$$

Furthermore, Proposition 4.4 yields

$$\varepsilon^\beta \|\mathbf{P}_0 U^{\varepsilon,\flat}(\cdot - t)F(t)\|_{L_{[t,T]}^2 H_x^\ell H_v^{s,*}} \lesssim \varepsilon^\beta \|F(t)\|_{H_x^{\ell-1} L_v^2},$$

as well as

$$\|\mathbf{P}_0 U^{\varepsilon,\flat}(\cdot - t)F(t)\|_{L_{[t,T]}^2 H_x^{\frac{3}{2}} H_v^{s,*}} \lesssim \|F(t)\|_{H_x^{\frac{1}{2}} L_v^2}.$$

Gathering the previous estimates and using that  $\beta < 1/2$  and  $\ell > 3/2$ , it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} & \|U^\varepsilon(\cdot - t)F(t)\|_{\mathcal{X}_{[t,T]}^\varepsilon} \\ & \lesssim \varepsilon^\beta \|F(t)\|_{H_x^\ell L_v^2} + \sqrt{\varepsilon} \|F(t)\|_{H_x^{\frac{3}{2}} L_v^2} + \varepsilon^\beta \|F(t)\|_{H_x^{\ell-1} L_v^2} + \|F(t)\|_{H_x^{\frac{1}{2}} L_v^2} \\ & \lesssim \varepsilon^\beta \|F\|_{\tilde{L}_{[0,t]}^\infty H_x^\ell L_v^2} + \|F\|_{\tilde{L}_{[0,t]}^\infty H_x^{\frac{1}{2}} L_v^2} \lesssim \|F\|_{\mathcal{X}_{[0,t]}^\varepsilon}. \end{aligned}$$

This concludes the proof of Proposition 3.2–(1).

**5.2. Contribution of the data  $\mathcal{D}^\varepsilon$ .** Let us prove Proposition 3.2–(2). Recall that

$$(5.1) \quad \mathcal{D}^\varepsilon(t) = (U^\varepsilon(t) - U_{\text{NSF}}(t))\mathbf{P}_0 f_{\text{in}}^\varepsilon + U^\varepsilon(t)\mathbf{P}_0^\perp f_{\text{in}}^\varepsilon.$$

Let us first prove that

$$(5.2) \quad \|(U^\varepsilon(\cdot) - U_{\text{NSF}}(\cdot))\mathbf{P}_0 f_{\text{in}}^\varepsilon\|_{\mathcal{X}_\infty^\varepsilon} \lesssim \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}-\alpha} \|g_{\text{in}}\|_{H_x^{\frac{1}{2}} L_v^2}.$$

We start that by recalling that by (2.8) and (2.11) there holds

$$(U^\varepsilon(t) - U_{\text{NSF}}(t))\mathbf{P}_0 f_{\text{in}}^\varepsilon = (\tilde{U}_{\text{NSF}}^\varepsilon + U_{\text{wave}}^{\varepsilon,\flat} + U^{\varepsilon,\sharp})(t)\mathbf{P}_0 f_{\text{in}}^\varepsilon.$$

We notice that since  $\mathbf{P}_0 f_{\text{in}}^\varepsilon$  is well-prepared, then  $U_{\text{wave}}^{\varepsilon,\flat}(t)\mathbf{P}_0 f_{\text{in}}^\varepsilon \equiv 0$  so

$$(\tilde{U}_{\text{NSF}}^\varepsilon + U_{\text{wave}}^{\varepsilon,\flat} + U^{\varepsilon,\sharp})(t)\mathbf{P}_0 f_{\text{in}}^\varepsilon = (\tilde{U}_{\text{NSF}}^\varepsilon + U^{\varepsilon,\sharp})(t)\mathbf{P}_0 f_{\text{in}}^\varepsilon.$$

Let us start by considering  $U^{\varepsilon,\sharp}(t)\mathbf{P}_0 f_{\text{in}}^\varepsilon$ . Thanks to Proposition 4.2–(1) we have

$$\|U^{\varepsilon,\sharp}(\cdot)\mathbf{P}_0 f_{\text{in}}^\varepsilon\|_{L_t^2 H_x^m H_v^{s,*}} \lesssim \varepsilon \|\mathbf{P}_0 f_{\text{in}}^\varepsilon\|_{H_x^m L_v^2}$$

for any  $m \geq 0$ . To deal with the  $\tilde{L}^\infty$  norm in time, we shall follow the arguments of [27] (see in particular the proofs of Lemmas 3.3 and 3.5). We notice as in [9, Lemma 6.2] that

$$U^{\varepsilon, \sharp}(t)f = U^\varepsilon(t)U^{\varepsilon, \sharp}(0)f = U^\varepsilon(t) \left[ \mathcal{F}_x^{-1} \left( \text{Id} - \chi \left( \frac{\varepsilon|k|}{\kappa} \right) \sum_{\star \in \{\text{NS, heat, wave} \pm\}} \mathcal{P}_\star(\varepsilon k) \right) \widehat{f}(k) \right]$$

so in particular

$$U^{\varepsilon, \sharp}(t)\mathbf{P}_0 f_{\text{in}}^\varepsilon = U^\varepsilon(t) \left[ \mathcal{F}_x^{-1} \left( \left( \text{Id} - \chi \left( \frac{\varepsilon|k|}{\kappa} \right) \right) - \varepsilon|k| \chi \left( \frac{\varepsilon|k|}{\kappa} \right) \sum_{\star \in \{\text{NS, heat, wave} \pm\}} \mathcal{P}_\star(\varepsilon k) \right) \widehat{\mathbf{P}_0 f_{\text{in}}^\varepsilon}(k) \right].$$

The  $H_x^m L_v^2$ -norm of the first term in the right-hand side can be estimated using

$$(5.3) \quad \left| \chi \left( \frac{\varepsilon|k|}{\kappa} \right) - 1 \right| \lesssim \varepsilon|k|,$$

and thanks to the fact that the projectors  $\mathcal{P}_\star$  are bounded from  $L_v^2$  to  $L_v^2$ . The same holds for the terms coming from the second part of the right-hand side, so we find that

$$\|U^{\varepsilon, \sharp}(\cdot)\mathbf{P}_0 f_{\text{in}}^\varepsilon\|_{\tilde{L}_t^\infty H_x^m L_v^2} \lesssim \varepsilon \|\mathbf{P}_0 f_{\text{in}}^\varepsilon\|_{H_x^{m+1} L_v^2}.$$

Using this estimate, along with Proposition 4.2–(1) and Remark 4 and the constraints on  $\alpha, \beta$  and  $\ell$ , we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} & \varepsilon^\beta \|U^{\varepsilon, \sharp}(\cdot)\mathbf{P}_0 f_{\text{in}}^\varepsilon\|_{\tilde{L}_t^\infty H_x^\ell L_v^2} + \frac{\varepsilon^\beta}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}} \|\mathbf{P}_0^\perp U^{\varepsilon, \sharp}(\cdot)\mathbf{P}_0 f_{\text{in}}^\varepsilon\|_{L_t^2 H_x^\ell H_v^{s, *}} + \varepsilon^\beta \|\mathbf{P}_0 U^{\varepsilon, \sharp}(\cdot)\mathbf{P}_0 f_{\text{in}}^\varepsilon\|_{L_t^2 H_x^\ell H_v^{s, *}} \\ & \lesssim \varepsilon^{1+\beta} \|\mathbf{P}_0 f_{\text{in}}^\varepsilon\|_{H_x^{\ell+1} L_v^2} + \left( \varepsilon^{\beta+\frac{1}{2}} + \varepsilon^{\beta+1} \right) \|\mathbf{P}_0 f_{\text{in}}^\varepsilon\|_{H_x^\ell L_v^2} \\ & \lesssim \left( \varepsilon^{1+\beta-\alpha(\ell+\frac{1}{2})} + \varepsilon^{\beta+\frac{1}{2}-\alpha(\ell-\frac{1}{2})} \right) \|g_{\text{in}}\|_{H_x^{\frac{1}{2}} L_v^2} \\ & \lesssim \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}-\alpha} \|g_{\text{in}}\|_{H_x^{\frac{1}{2}} L_v^2}, \end{aligned}$$

as well as

$$\begin{aligned} & \|U^{\varepsilon, \sharp}(\cdot)\mathbf{P}_0 f_{\text{in}}^\varepsilon\|_{\tilde{L}_t^\infty H_x^{\frac{1}{2}} L_v^2} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}} \|\mathbf{P}_0^\perp U^{\varepsilon, \sharp}(\cdot)\mathbf{P}_0 f_{\text{in}}^\varepsilon\|_{L_t^2 H_x^{\frac{3}{2}} H_v^{s, *}} + \|\mathbf{P}_0 U^{\varepsilon, \sharp}(\cdot)\mathbf{P}_0 f_{\text{in}}^\varepsilon\|_{L_t^2 H_x^{\frac{3}{2}} H_v^{s, *}} \\ & \lesssim (\sqrt{\varepsilon} + \varepsilon) \|\mathbf{P}_0 f_{\text{in}}^\varepsilon\|_{H_x^{\frac{3}{2}} L_v^2} \\ & \lesssim \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}-\alpha} \|g_{\text{in}}\|_{H_x^{\frac{1}{2}} L_v^2}. \end{aligned}$$

We conclude that

$$(5.4) \quad \|U^{\varepsilon, \sharp}(\cdot)\mathbf{P}_0 f_{\text{in}}^\varepsilon\|_{\mathcal{X}_\infty^\varepsilon} \lesssim \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}-\alpha} \|g_{\text{in}}\|_{H_x^{\frac{1}{2}} L_v^2}.$$

Now let us turn to  $\tilde{U}_{\text{NSF}}^\varepsilon(t)\mathbf{P}_0 f_{\text{in}}^\varepsilon$  as defined in (2.11). By construction it is made of three terms, defined in Fourier variables by

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{\tilde{U}_{\text{NSF}}^\varepsilon}(t, k) &= \widehat{\tilde{U}_{\text{NSF}}^{\varepsilon, \sharp}}(t, k) + \widehat{\tilde{U}_{\text{NSF}}^{\varepsilon 1, b}}(t, k) + \widehat{\tilde{U}_{\text{NSF}}^{\varepsilon 2, b}}(t, k) \\ &:= \left( 1 - \chi \left( \frac{\varepsilon|k|}{\kappa} \right) \right) \sum_{\star \in \{\text{NS, heat}\}} e^{-\nu_\star |k|^2 t} \mathcal{P}_\star^0 \left( \frac{k}{|k|} \right) \\ &\quad + \chi \left( \frac{\varepsilon|k|}{\kappa} \right) \sum_{\star \in \{\text{NS, heat}\}} \left( e^{\lambda_\star(\varepsilon k) \frac{t}{\varepsilon^2}} - e^{-\nu_\star |k|^2 t} \right) \mathcal{P}_\star^0 \left( \frac{k}{|k|} \right) \\ &\quad + \chi \left( \frac{\varepsilon|k|}{\kappa} \right) \sum_{\star \in \{\text{NS, heat}\}} e^{\lambda_\star(\varepsilon k) \frac{t}{\varepsilon^2}} \left[ \varepsilon |k| \mathcal{P}_\star^1 \left( \frac{k}{|k|} \right) + \varepsilon^2 |k|^2 \mathcal{P}_\star^2(\varepsilon k) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

We shall study the three contributions in turn, starting with  $\tilde{U}_{\text{NSF}}^{\varepsilon, \sharp}(t)$ . We recall again that the projectors  $\mathcal{P}_\star^j$  are bounded from  $L_v^2$  to  $L_v^2$  and from  $H_v^{s, *}$  to  $H_v^{s, *}$ . Using the fact that  $\mathbf{P}_0 f_{\text{in}}^\varepsilon$  is mean free which implies that there is no contribution to  $k = 0$ , and the

fact that the integral in time of the exponential term provides a factor  $|k|^{-2}$ , we have for any  $m \geq 0$ ,

$$\|\tilde{U}_{\text{NSF}}^{\varepsilon, \sharp}(\cdot) \mathbf{P}_0 f_{\text{in}}^\varepsilon\|_{L_t^2 H_x^m H_v^{s,*}}^2 \lesssim \sum_{\star \in \{\text{NS, heat}\}} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^3 \setminus \{0\}} \langle k \rangle^{2m} |k|^{-2} \left(1 - \chi\left(\frac{\varepsilon|k|}{\kappa}\right)\right)^2 \|\widehat{\mathbf{P}_0 f_{\text{in}}^\varepsilon}(k, \cdot)\|_{H_v^{s,*}}^2.$$

Using (5.3) and the fact that  $\|\mathbf{P}_0 f\|_{H_v^{s,*}} \lesssim \|\mathbf{P}_0 f\|_{L_v^2}$ , we get

$$\|\tilde{U}_{\text{NSF}}^{\varepsilon, \sharp}(\cdot) \mathbf{P}_0 f_{\text{in}}^\varepsilon\|_{L_t^2 H_x^m H_v^{s,*}} \lesssim \varepsilon \left( \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^3} \langle k \rangle^{2m} \|\widehat{\mathbf{P}_0 f_{\text{in}}^\varepsilon}(k, \cdot)\|_{L_v^2}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \lesssim \varepsilon \|\mathbf{P}_0 f_{\text{in}}^\varepsilon\|_{H_x^m L_v^2}.$$

Similar computations give

$$\|\tilde{U}_{\text{NSF}}^{\varepsilon, \sharp}(\cdot) \mathbf{P}_0 f_{\text{in}}^\varepsilon\|_{\tilde{L}_t^\infty H_x^m L_v^2} \lesssim \varepsilon \|\mathbf{P}_0 f_{\text{in}}^\varepsilon\|_{H_x^{m+1} L_v^2}.$$

Therefore, arguing as for obtaining estimate (5.4) for the term  $U^{\varepsilon, \sharp}$ , we also get

$$(5.5) \quad \|\tilde{U}_{\text{NSF}}^{\varepsilon, \sharp}(\cdot) \mathbf{P}_0 f_{\text{in}}^\varepsilon\|_{\mathcal{X}_\infty^\varepsilon} \lesssim \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}-\alpha} \|g_{\text{in}}\|_{H_x^{\frac{1}{2}} L_v^2}.$$

Next we turn to  $\tilde{U}_{\text{NSF}}^{\varepsilon 1, b}(t)$ . We write

$$\begin{aligned} \chi\left(\frac{\varepsilon|k|}{\kappa}\right) \left| e^{\lambda_\star(\varepsilon k) \frac{t}{\varepsilon^2}} - e^{-\nu_\star |k|^2 t} \right| &\lesssim \chi\left(\frac{\varepsilon|k|}{\kappa}\right) e^{-\frac{\nu_\star}{2} |k|^2 t} t \varepsilon |k|^3 \\ &\lesssim e^{-\frac{\nu_\star}{4} |k|^2 t} \varepsilon |k|. \end{aligned}$$

The same argument gives

$$\|\tilde{U}_{\text{NSF}}^{\varepsilon 1, b}(\cdot) \mathbf{P}_0 f_{\text{in}}^\varepsilon\|_{\tilde{L}_t^\infty H_x^m L_v^2} + \|\tilde{U}_{\text{NSF}}^{\varepsilon 1, b}(\cdot) \mathbf{P}_0 f_{\text{in}}^\varepsilon\|_{L_t^2 H_x^m L_v^2} \lesssim \varepsilon \|\mathbf{P}_0 f_{\text{in}}^\varepsilon\|_{H_x^{m+1} L_v^2}.$$

We can then again argue as in the case of the bound (5.4) to deduce

$$(5.6) \quad \|\tilde{U}_{\text{NSF}}^{\varepsilon 1, b}(\cdot) \mathbf{P}_0 f_{\text{in}}^\varepsilon\|_{\mathcal{X}_\infty^\varepsilon} \lesssim \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}-\alpha} \|g_{\text{in}}\|_{H_x^{\frac{1}{2}} L_v^2}.$$

The computations for  $\tilde{U}_{\text{NSF}}^{\varepsilon 2, b}(t)$  are very similar: we find

$$(5.7) \quad \|\tilde{U}_{\text{NSF}}^{\varepsilon 2, b}(\cdot) \mathbf{P}_0 f_{\text{in}}^\varepsilon\|_{\mathcal{X}_\infty^\varepsilon} \lesssim \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}-\alpha} \|g_{\text{in}}\|_{H_x^{\frac{1}{2}} L_v^2}.$$

Putting together the estimates on  $\tilde{U}_{\text{NSF}}^{\varepsilon, \sharp}(t) \mathbf{P}_0 f_{\text{in}}^\varepsilon$ ,  $\tilde{U}_{\text{NSF}}^{\varepsilon 1, b}(t) \mathbf{P}_0 f_{\text{in}}^\varepsilon$  and  $\tilde{U}_{\text{NSF}}^{\varepsilon 2, b}(t) \mathbf{P}_0 f_{\text{in}}^\varepsilon$  gives (5.2).

Recalling (5.1), it remains to prove that

$$(5.8) \quad \|U^\varepsilon(t) \mathbf{P}_0^\perp f_{\text{in}}^\varepsilon\|_{\mathcal{X}_\infty^\varepsilon} \lesssim \varepsilon^\beta \|\mathbf{P}_0^\perp f_{\text{in}}^\varepsilon\|_{H_x^\ell L_v^2} + \|\mathbf{P}_0^\perp f_{\text{in}}^\varepsilon\|_{H_x^{\frac{1}{2}} L_v^2}.$$

From Proposition 4.1–(1), we have

$$\|U^\varepsilon(t) \mathbf{P}_0^\perp f_{\text{in}}^\varepsilon\|_{\tilde{L}_T^\infty H_x^{\frac{1}{2}} L_v^2} \lesssim \|\mathbf{P}_0^\perp f_{\text{in}}^\varepsilon\|_{H_x^{\frac{1}{2}} L_v^2},$$

as well as

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}} \|\mathbf{P}_0^\perp U^\varepsilon(t) \mathbf{P}_0^\perp f_{\text{in}}^\varepsilon\|_{L_T^2 H_x^{\frac{3}{2}} H_v^{s,*}} \lesssim \sqrt{\varepsilon} \|\mathbf{P}_0^\perp f_{\text{in}}^\varepsilon\|_{H_x^{\frac{3}{2}} L_v^2}$$

Similarly,

$$\varepsilon^\beta \|U^\varepsilon(t) \mathbf{P}_0^\perp f_{\text{in}}^\varepsilon\|_{\tilde{L}_T^\infty H_x^\ell L_v^2} \lesssim \varepsilon^\beta \|\mathbf{P}_0^\perp f_{\text{in}}^\varepsilon\|_{H_x^\ell L_v^2},$$

and

$$\frac{\varepsilon^\beta}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}} \|\mathbf{P}_0^\perp U^\varepsilon(t) \mathbf{P}_0^\perp f_{\text{in}}^\varepsilon\|_{L_T^2 H_x^\ell H_v^{s,*}} \lesssim \varepsilon^{\beta+\frac{1}{2}} \|\mathbf{P}_0^\perp f_{\text{in}}^\varepsilon\|_{H_x^\ell L_v^2}.$$

It remains to control  $\mathbf{P}_0 U^\varepsilon \mathbf{P}_0^\perp$  in  $L_T^2 H_x^m H_v^{s,*}$  for  $m = \ell$  or  $m = 3/2$ . From the decomposition (2.8), for any  $k \in \mathbb{Z}^3$  and  $t \geq 0$ , we have

$$\|\widehat{U}^\varepsilon(t, k) \mathbf{P}_0^\perp f_{\text{in}}^\varepsilon\|_{L_v^2 \rightarrow L_v^2} \lesssim \varepsilon |k| e^{-t|k|^2} + e^{-\lambda_0 \frac{t}{\varepsilon^2}}.$$

Using that  $\mathbf{P}_0$  is bounded from  $L_v^2$  into  $H_v^{s,*}$  and that  $\mathbf{P}_0^\perp \mathbf{P}_0^\perp = \mathbf{P}_0^\perp$ , we obtain

$$\|\mathbf{P}_0 \widehat{U}^\varepsilon(\cdot, k) \mathbf{P}_0^\perp \widehat{f}_{\text{in}}^\varepsilon(k)\|_{L_T^2 H_v^{s,*}} \lesssim \varepsilon \|\mathbf{P}_0^\perp \widehat{f}_{\text{in}}^\varepsilon(k)\|_{L_v^2}.$$

We thus deduce

$$\|\mathbf{P}_0 U^\varepsilon(\cdot) \mathbf{P}_0^\perp f_{\text{in}}^\varepsilon\|_{L_T^2 H_x^{\frac{3}{2}} H_v^{s,*}} \lesssim \varepsilon \|\mathbf{P}_0^\perp f_{\text{in}}^\varepsilon\|_{H_x^{\frac{3}{2}} L_v^2},$$

as well as

$$\varepsilon^\beta \|\mathbf{P}_0 U^\varepsilon(\cdot) \mathbf{P}_0^\perp f_{\text{in}}^\varepsilon\|_{L_T^2 H_x^\ell H_v^{s,*}} \lesssim \varepsilon^{\beta+1} \|\mathbf{P}_0^\perp f_{\text{in}}^\varepsilon\|_{H_x^\ell L_v^2}.$$

Gathering the previous estimates and using that  $\sqrt{\varepsilon} \|\mathbf{P}_0^\perp f_{\text{in}}^\varepsilon\|_{H_x^{\frac{3}{2}} L_v^2} \lesssim \varepsilon^\beta \|\mathbf{P}_0^\perp f_{\text{in}}^\varepsilon\|_{H_x^\ell L_v^2}$  ends the proof of (5.8). The estimates (5.2) and (5.8) together give Proposition 3.2–(2).

**5.3. Contribution of the source term  $\mathcal{S}^\varepsilon$ .** In this paragraph, we are going to prove Proposition 3.2–(3). We recall that

$$\mathcal{S}^\varepsilon(t) = \Psi^\varepsilon[g^\varepsilon, g^\varepsilon](t) - \Psi_{\text{NSF}}[g^\varepsilon, g^\varepsilon](t),$$

and we want to prove that

$$\|\mathcal{S}^\varepsilon\|_{\mathcal{X}_T^\varepsilon} \leq \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}-2\alpha} \Phi(\|g_{\text{in}}\|_{H_x^{\frac{1}{2}} L_v^2})$$

for a nonnegative increasing function  $\Phi$ . We recall decompositions (2.13) and (2.16). We can further expand  $\Psi^{\varepsilon,b}$  by writing

$$(5.9) \quad \Psi^{\varepsilon,b} = \Psi_{\text{NSF}} + \Psi_{\text{NSF}}^{\varepsilon1,\sharp} + \Psi_{\text{wave}}^{\varepsilon1,b} + \widetilde{\Psi}^{\varepsilon1,b} + \Psi^{\varepsilon2,b}$$

where writing  $\widehat{\Psi}_\star[f_1, f_2](t) = \mathcal{F}_x(\Psi_\star[f_1, f_2](t))$  and recalling that  $\mathbf{P}_0 \Gamma_{\text{sym}} = 0$ ,

$$\widehat{\Psi}_{\text{NSF}}[f_1, f_2](t, k) := \sum_{\star \in \{\text{NS, heat}\}} \int_0^t e^{-\nu_\star(t-t')|k|^2} |k| \mathcal{P}_\star^1\left(\frac{k}{|k|}\right) \widehat{\Gamma}_{\text{sym}}(f_1(t'), f_2(t'))(k) dt',$$

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{\Psi}_{\text{NSF}}^{\varepsilon1,\sharp}[f_1, f_2](t, k) &:= \left(\chi\left(\frac{\varepsilon|k|}{\kappa}\right) - 1\right) \\ &\quad \times \sum_{\star \in \{\text{NS, heat}\}} \int_0^t e^{-\nu_\star|k|^2(t-t')} |k| \mathcal{P}_\star^1\left(\frac{k}{|k|}\right) \widehat{\Gamma}_{\text{sym}}(f_1(t'), f_2(t'))(k) dt', \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{\Psi}_{\text{wave}}^{\varepsilon1,b}[f_1, f_2](t, k) &:= \chi\left(\frac{\varepsilon|k|}{\kappa}\right) \\ &\quad \times \sum_{\pm} \int_0^t e^{(\pm ic_\star|k| - \nu_{\text{wave}} \pm \varepsilon^2|k|^2) \frac{t-t'}{\varepsilon^2}} |k| \mathcal{P}_{\text{wave}\pm}^1\left(\frac{k}{|k|}\right) \widehat{\Gamma}_{\text{sym}}(f_1(t'), f_2(t'))(k) dt', \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{\Psi}^{\varepsilon1,b}[f_1, f_2](t, k) &:= \chi\left(\frac{\varepsilon|k|}{\kappa}\right) \sum_{\star \in \{\text{NS, heat, wave}\pm\}} \int_0^t e^{(\pm ic_\star \varepsilon|k| - \nu_\star \varepsilon^2|k|^2) \frac{t-t'}{\varepsilon^2}} \\ &\quad \times \left(e^{(t-t') \frac{\gamma_\star(\varepsilon|k|)}{\varepsilon^2}} - 1\right) |k| \mathcal{P}_\star^1\left(\frac{k}{|k|}\right) \widehat{\Gamma}_{\text{sym}}(f_1(t'), f_2(t'))(k) dt', \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{\Psi}^{\varepsilon2,b}[f_1, f_2](t, k) &:= \chi\left(\frac{\varepsilon|k|}{\kappa}\right) \\ &\quad \times \sum_{\star \in \{\text{NS, heat, wave}\pm\}} \int_0^t e^{\lambda_\star(\varepsilon k) \frac{t-t'}{\varepsilon^2}} \varepsilon |k|^2 \mathcal{P}_\star^2(\varepsilon k) \widehat{\Gamma}_{\text{sym}}(f_1(t'), f_2(t'))(k) dt'. \end{aligned}$$

We have used the notation  $c_\star := 0$  if  $\star \in \{\text{NS, heat}\}$  and  $c_\star := c$  if  $\star \in \{\text{wave}\pm\}$ . So let us write

$$(5.10) \quad \mathcal{S}^\varepsilon(t) = \left(\Psi^{\varepsilon,\sharp} + \Psi_{\text{NSF}} + \Psi_{\text{NSF}}^{\varepsilon1,\sharp} + \Psi_{\text{wave}}^{\varepsilon1,b} + \widetilde{\Psi}^{\varepsilon1,b} + \Psi^{\varepsilon2,b}\right)[g^\varepsilon, g^\varepsilon](t),$$

and let us estimate each term separately. We start with the first term in (5.10).

**Lemma 5.1.** *There holds*

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Psi^{\varepsilon, \#}[g^\varepsilon, g^\varepsilon]\|_{\mathcal{X}_T^\varepsilon} &\lesssim \varepsilon^{1+\beta} \|\Gamma(g^\varepsilon, g^\varepsilon)\|_{\widetilde{L}_T^\infty H_x^\ell L_v^2} + \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}+\beta} \|\Gamma(g^\varepsilon, g^\varepsilon)\|_{L_T^2 H_x^\ell (H_v^{s,*})'} \\ &\quad + \varepsilon \|\Gamma(g^\varepsilon, g^\varepsilon)\|_{\widetilde{L}_T^\infty H_x^{\frac{1}{2}} L_v^2} + \sqrt{\varepsilon} \|\Gamma(g^\varepsilon, g^\varepsilon)\|_{L_T^2 H_x^{\frac{3}{2}} (H_v^{s,*})'}. \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* From Proposition 4.6 and Corollary 4.3 we have directly that

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon^\beta \|\Psi^{\varepsilon, \#}[g^\varepsilon, g^\varepsilon]\|_{\widetilde{L}_T^\infty H_x^\ell L_v^2} &+ \frac{\varepsilon^\beta}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}} \|\mathbf{P}_0^\perp \Psi^{\varepsilon, \#}[g^\varepsilon, g^\varepsilon]\|_{L_T^2 H_x^\ell H_v^{s,*}} + \varepsilon^\beta \|\mathbf{P}_0 \Psi^{\varepsilon, \#}[g^\varepsilon, g^\varepsilon]\|_{L_T^2 H_x^\ell H_v^{s,*}} \\ &\lesssim \varepsilon^{1+\beta} \|\Gamma(g^\varepsilon, g^\varepsilon)\|_{\widetilde{L}_T^\infty H_x^\ell L_v^2} + \left(\varepsilon^{\beta+\frac{1}{2}} + \varepsilon^{\beta+1}\right) \|\Gamma(g^\varepsilon, g^\varepsilon)\|_{L_T^2 H_x^\ell (H_v^{s,*})'}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Psi^{\varepsilon, \#}[g^\varepsilon, g^\varepsilon]\|_{\widetilde{L}_T^\infty H_x^{\frac{1}{2}} L_v^2} &+ \frac{1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}} \|\mathbf{P}_0^\perp \Psi^{\varepsilon, \#}[g^\varepsilon, g^\varepsilon]\|_{L_T^2 H_x^{\frac{3}{2}} H_v^{s,*}} + \|\mathbf{P}_0 \Psi^{\varepsilon, \#}[g^\varepsilon, g^\varepsilon]\|_{L_T^2 H_x^{\frac{3}{2}} H_v^{s,*}} \\ &\lesssim \varepsilon \|\Gamma(g^\varepsilon, g^\varepsilon)\|_{\widetilde{L}_T^\infty H_x^{\frac{1}{2}} L_v^2} + (\sqrt{\varepsilon} + \varepsilon) \|\Gamma(g^\varepsilon, g^\varepsilon)\|_{L_T^2 H_x^{\frac{3}{2}} (H_v^{s,*})'}, \end{aligned}$$

and we conclude the proof by gathering these estimates.  $\square$

Before looking at the other contributions, let us remark that from (5.9), for  $k = 0$ , we have

$$\widehat{\Psi}^\varepsilon[g^\varepsilon, g^\varepsilon](t, 0) = \widehat{\Psi}^{\varepsilon, \#}[g^\varepsilon, g^\varepsilon](t, 0),$$

it is thus enough to analyze the other contributions in (5.10) for  $k \in \mathbb{Z}^3 \setminus \{0\}$  i.e. for  $|k| \geq 1$ .

For the term  $\Psi_{\text{wave}}^{\varepsilon 1, b}$  in (5.10), we follow the arguments of [27, 14]: one needs to exploit the oscillations of the phase by integrations by parts in time. Thus with notation inspired from [27, 14] we define

$$H_\pm^\varepsilon(t, t', x) := \mathcal{F}_x^{-1} \left( \chi\left(\frac{\varepsilon|k|}{\kappa}\right) e^{-\nu_{\text{wave}\pm}(t-t')|k|^2} |k| \mathcal{P}_{\text{wave}\pm}^1\left(\frac{k}{|k|}\right) \widehat{\Gamma}(g^\varepsilon, g^\varepsilon)(t', k) \right)$$

so that after an integration by parts in time

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{\Psi}_{\text{wave}}^{\varepsilon 1, b}[g^\varepsilon, g^\varepsilon](t, k) \\ = \sum_{\pm} \frac{\varepsilon}{ic|k|} \left( \int_0^t e^{\pm ic|k|\frac{t-t'}{\varepsilon}} \partial_{t'} \widehat{H}_\pm^\varepsilon(t, t', k) dt' - \widehat{H}_\pm^\varepsilon(t, t, k) + e^{\pm ic|k|\frac{t}{\varepsilon}} \widehat{H}_\pm^\varepsilon(t, 0, k) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Let us define

$$(5.11) \quad \widehat{J}_\pm^\varepsilon(t, k) := \chi\left(\frac{\varepsilon|k|}{\kappa}\right) \frac{\varepsilon}{ic} \int_0^t e^{\pm ic|k|\frac{t-t'}{\varepsilon} - \nu_{\text{wave}\pm}(t-t')|k|^2} \mathcal{P}_{\text{wave}\pm}^1\left(\frac{k}{|k|}\right) \partial_{t'} \widehat{\Gamma}(g^\varepsilon, g^\varepsilon)(t', k) dt'$$

and

$$(5.12) \quad \widehat{I}_\pm^\varepsilon(t, k) := \widehat{\Psi}_{\text{wave}}^{\varepsilon 1, b}[g^\varepsilon, g^\varepsilon](t, k) - \widehat{J}_\pm^\varepsilon(t, k),$$

which will be estimated separately.

For the term  $I_\pm^\varepsilon$  we have:

**Lemma 5.2.** *There holds*

$$\begin{aligned} \|I_\pm^\varepsilon\|_{\mathcal{X}_T^\varepsilon} &\lesssim \varepsilon^{1+\beta} \|\Gamma(g^\varepsilon, g^\varepsilon)\|_{\widetilde{L}_T^\infty H_x^\ell L_v^2} + \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}+\beta} \left( \|\Gamma(g^\varepsilon, g^\varepsilon)\|_{L_T^2 H_x^\ell (H_v^{s,*})'} + \|\Gamma(g_{\text{in}}^\varepsilon, g_{\text{in}}^\varepsilon)\|_{H_x^\ell (H_v^{s,*})'} \right) \\ &\quad + \varepsilon \|\Gamma(g^\varepsilon, g^\varepsilon)\|_{\widetilde{L}_T^\infty H_x^{\frac{1}{2}} L_v^2} + \sqrt{\varepsilon} \left( \|\Gamma(g^\varepsilon, g^\varepsilon)\|_{L_T^2 H_x^{\frac{3}{2}} (H_v^{s,*})'} + \|\Gamma(g_{\text{in}}^\varepsilon, g_{\text{in}}^\varepsilon)\|_{H_x^{\frac{3}{2}} (H_v^{s,*})'} \right). \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* Since  $\mathcal{P}_{\text{wave}\pm}^1$  is bounded from  $L_v^2$  into  $L_v^2$  as well as from  $(H_v^{s,*})'$  into  $H_v^{s,*}$ , we obtain from [14, Proof of Lemma 6.5] that, for all  $t \in [0, T]$  and  $k \in \mathbb{Z}^3 \setminus \{0\}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\widehat{I}_\pm^\varepsilon(t, k)\|_{L_v^2} &\lesssim \varepsilon \int_0^t |k|^2 e^{-\nu_{\text{wave}\pm}(t-t')|k|^2} \|\widehat{\Gamma}(g^\varepsilon, g^\varepsilon)(t', k)\|_{L_v^2} dt' \\ &\quad + \varepsilon \|\widehat{\Gamma}(g^\varepsilon, g^\varepsilon)(t, k)\|_{L_v^2} + \varepsilon e^{-\nu_{\text{wave}\pm}t|k|^2} \|\widehat{\Gamma}(g_{\text{in}}^\varepsilon, g_{\text{in}}^\varepsilon)(k)\|_{L_v^2}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \|\widehat{I}_{\pm}^{\varepsilon}(t, k)\|_{H_v^{s,*}} &\lesssim \varepsilon \int_0^t |k|^2 e^{-\nu_{\text{wave}\pm}(t-t')|k|^2} \|\widehat{\Gamma}(g^{\varepsilon}, g^{\varepsilon})(t', k)\|_{(H_v^{s,*})'} dt' \\ &\quad + \varepsilon \|\widehat{\Gamma}(g^{\varepsilon}, g^{\varepsilon})(t, k)\|_{(H_v^{s,*})'} + \varepsilon e^{-\nu_{\text{wave}\pm}t|k|^2} \|\widehat{\Gamma}(g_{\text{in}}^{\varepsilon}, g_{\text{in}}^{\varepsilon})(k)\|_{(H_v^{s,*})'}. \end{aligned}$$

We recall that  $k \neq 0$ . Applying Young's convolution in time  $L_T^1 * L_T^{\infty} \subset L_T^{\infty}$  and, respectively,  $L_T^1 * L_T^2 \subset L_T^2$ , we therefore obtain

$$\|\widehat{I}_{\pm}^{\varepsilon}(k)\|_{L_T^{\infty} L_v^2} \lesssim \varepsilon \|\Gamma(g^{\varepsilon}, g^{\varepsilon})(k)\|_{L_T^{\infty} L_v^2}$$

and

$$\|\widehat{I}_{\pm}^{\varepsilon}(k)\|_{L_T^2 H_v^{s,*}} \lesssim \varepsilon \|\Gamma(g^{\varepsilon}, g^{\varepsilon})(k)\|_{L_T^2 (H_v^{s,*})'} + \varepsilon \|\widehat{\Gamma}(g_{\text{in}}^{\varepsilon}, g_{\text{in}}^{\varepsilon})(k)\|_{(H_v^{s,*})'}.$$

This implies

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon^{\beta} \|I_{\pm}^{\varepsilon}\|_{\widetilde{L}_T^{\infty} H_x^{\ell} L_v^2} + \frac{\varepsilon^{\beta}}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}} \|\mathbf{P}_0^{\perp} I_{\pm}^{\varepsilon}\|_{L_T^2 H_x^{\ell} H_v^{s,*}} + \varepsilon^{\beta} \|\mathbf{P}_0 I_{\pm}^{\varepsilon}\|_{L_T^2 H_x^{\ell} H_v^{s,*}} \\ \lesssim \varepsilon^{1+\beta} \|\Gamma(g^{\varepsilon}, g^{\varepsilon})\|_{\widetilde{L}_T^{\infty} H_x^{\ell} L_v^2} + \left( \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}+\beta} + \varepsilon^{1+\beta} \right) \left( \|\Gamma(g^{\varepsilon}, g^{\varepsilon})\|_{L_T^2 H_x^{\ell} (H_v^{s,*})'} + \|\Gamma(g_{\text{in}}^{\varepsilon}, g_{\text{in}}^{\varepsilon})\|_{H_x^{\ell} (H_v^{s,*})'} \right), \end{aligned}$$

as well as

$$\begin{aligned} \|I_{\pm}^{\varepsilon}\|_{\widetilde{L}_T^{\infty} H_x^{\frac{1}{2}} L_v^2} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}} \|\mathbf{P}_0^{\perp} I_{\pm}^{\varepsilon}\|_{L_T^2 H_x^{\frac{3}{2}} H_v^{s,*}} + \|\mathbf{P}_0 I_{\pm}^{\varepsilon}\|_{L_T^2 H_x^{\frac{3}{2}} H_v^{s,*}} \\ \lesssim \varepsilon \|\Gamma(g^{\varepsilon}, g^{\varepsilon})\|_{\widetilde{L}_T^{\infty} H_x^{\frac{1}{2}} L_v^2} + (\sqrt{\varepsilon} + \varepsilon) \left( \|\Gamma(g^{\varepsilon}, g^{\varepsilon})\|_{L_T^2 H_x^{\frac{3}{2}} (H_v^{s,*})'} + \|\Gamma(g_{\text{in}}^{\varepsilon}, g_{\text{in}}^{\varepsilon})\|_{H_x^{\frac{3}{2}} (H_v^{s,*})'} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 5.2 is proved.  $\square$

Recalling the definition of  $J_{\pm}^{\varepsilon}$  in (5.11), we have the following result.

**Lemma 5.3.** *There holds*

$$\begin{aligned} \|J_{\pm}^{\varepsilon}\|_{\mathcal{X}_T^{\varepsilon}} &\lesssim \varepsilon^{1+\beta} \|\Gamma_{\text{sym}}(g^{\varepsilon}, \partial_t g^{\varepsilon})\|_{\widetilde{L}_T^{\infty} H_x^{\ell-2} L_v^2} + \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}+\beta} \|\Gamma_{\text{sym}}(g^{\varepsilon}, \partial_t g^{\varepsilon})\|_{L_T^2 H_x^{\ell-2} (H_v^{s,*})'} \\ &\quad + \varepsilon \|\Gamma_{\text{sym}}(g^{\varepsilon}, \partial_t g^{\varepsilon})\|_{\widetilde{L}_T^{\infty} H_x^{-\frac{3}{2}} L_v^2} + \sqrt{\varepsilon} \|\Gamma_{\text{sym}}(g^{\varepsilon}, \partial_t g^{\varepsilon})\|_{L_T^2 H_x^{-\frac{1}{2}} (H_v^{s,*})'}. \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* Starting from (5.11), we use the fact that  $\mathcal{P}_{\text{wave}\pm}^1$  is bounded from  $L_v^2$  into  $L_v^2$  as well as from  $(H_v^{s,*})'$  into  $H_v^{s,*}$  to obtain that, for all  $t \in [0, T]$  and  $k \in \mathbb{Z}^3 \setminus \{0\}$ ,

$$\|\widehat{J}_{\pm}^{\varepsilon}(t, k)\|_{L_v^2} \lesssim \varepsilon \int_0^t |k|^2 e^{-\nu_{\text{wave}\pm}(t-t')|k|^2} |k|^{-2} \|\partial_t \widehat{\Gamma}(g^{\varepsilon}, g^{\varepsilon})(t', k)\|_{L_v^2} dt'$$

and

$$\|\widehat{J}_{\pm}^{\varepsilon}(t, k)\|_{H_v^{s,*}} \lesssim \varepsilon \int_0^t |k|^2 e^{-\nu_{\text{wave}\pm}(t-t')|k|^2} |k|^{-2} \|\partial_t \widehat{\Gamma}(g^{\varepsilon}, g^{\varepsilon})(t', k)\|_{(H_v^{s,*})'} dt'.$$

Using Young's inequality for convolutions as in the proof of Lemma 5.2 together with the fact that  $\partial_t \widehat{\Gamma}(g^{\varepsilon}, g^{\varepsilon}) = \widehat{\Gamma}(\partial_t g^{\varepsilon}, g^{\varepsilon}) + \widehat{\Gamma}(g^{\varepsilon}, \partial_t g^{\varepsilon})$ , we thus deduce

$$\|\widehat{J}_{\pm}^{\varepsilon}(t, k)\|_{L_T^{\infty} L_v^2} \lesssim \varepsilon |k|^{-2} \|\widehat{\Gamma}_{\text{sym}}(g^{\varepsilon}, \partial_t g^{\varepsilon})(k)\|_{L_T^{\infty} L_v^2}$$

and

$$\|\widehat{J}_{\pm}^{\varepsilon}(t, k)\|_{L_T^2 H_v^{s,*}} \lesssim \varepsilon |k|^{-2} \|\widehat{\Gamma}_{\text{sym}}(g^{\varepsilon}, \partial_t g^{\varepsilon})(k)\|_{L_T^2 (H_v^{s,*})'}.$$

These two inequalities imply

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon^{\beta} \|J_{\pm}^{\varepsilon}\|_{\widetilde{L}_T^{\infty} H_x^{\ell} L_v^2} + \frac{\varepsilon^{\beta}}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}} \|\mathbf{P}_0^{\perp} J_{\pm}^{\varepsilon}\|_{L_T^2 H_x^{\ell} H_v^{s,*}} + \varepsilon^{\beta} \|\mathbf{P}_0 J_{\pm}^{\varepsilon}\|_{L_T^2 H_x^{\ell} H_v^{s,*}} \\ \lesssim \varepsilon^{1+\beta} \|\Gamma_{\text{sym}}(g^{\varepsilon}, \partial_t g^{\varepsilon})\|_{\widetilde{L}_T^{\infty} H_x^{\ell-2} L_v^2} + \left( \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}+\beta} + \varepsilon^{1+\beta} \right) \|\Gamma_{\text{sym}}(g^{\varepsilon}, \partial_t g^{\varepsilon})\|_{L_T^2 H_x^{\ell-2} (H_v^{s,*})'}, \end{aligned}$$

and also

$$\begin{aligned} & \|J_{\pm}^{\varepsilon}\|_{\tilde{L}_T^{\infty} H_x^{\frac{1}{2}} L_v^2} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}} \|\mathbf{P}_0^{\perp} J_{\pm}^{\varepsilon}\|_{L_T^2 H_x^{\frac{3}{2}} H_v^{s,*}} + \|\mathbf{P}_0 J_{\pm}^{\varepsilon}\|_{L_T^2 H_x^{\frac{3}{2}} H_v^{s,*}} \\ & \lesssim \varepsilon \|\Gamma_{\text{sym}}(g^{\varepsilon}, \partial_t g^{\varepsilon})\|_{\tilde{L}_T^{\infty} H_x^{-\frac{3}{2}} L_v^2} + (\sqrt{\varepsilon} + \varepsilon) \|\Gamma_{\text{sym}}(g^{\varepsilon}, \partial_t g^{\varepsilon})\|_{L_T^2 H_x^{-\frac{1}{2}} (H_v^{s,*})'}. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 5.3 is proved.  $\square$

The contributions of the terms  $\Psi_{\text{NSF}}^{\varepsilon 1, \sharp}$ ,  $\tilde{\Psi}^{\varepsilon 1, b}$  and  $\Psi^{\varepsilon 2, b}$  in (5.10) are easier to obtain.

**Lemma 5.4.** *There holds*

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\Psi_{\text{NSF}}^{\varepsilon 1, \sharp}[g^{\varepsilon}, g^{\varepsilon}]\|_{\mathcal{X}_{\tilde{T}}^{\varepsilon}} + \|\tilde{\Psi}^{\varepsilon 1, b}[g^{\varepsilon}, g^{\varepsilon}]\|_{\mathcal{X}_{\tilde{T}}^{\varepsilon}} + \|\Psi^{\varepsilon 2, b}[g^{\varepsilon}, g^{\varepsilon}]\|_{\mathcal{X}_{\tilde{T}}^{\varepsilon}} \\ & \lesssim \varepsilon^{1+\beta} \|\Gamma(g^{\varepsilon}, g^{\varepsilon})\|_{\tilde{L}_T^{\infty} H_x^{\ell} L_v^2} + \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}+\beta} \|\Gamma(g^{\varepsilon}, g^{\varepsilon})\|_{L_T^2 H_x^{\ell} (H_v^{s,*})'} \\ & \quad + \varepsilon \|\Gamma(g^{\varepsilon}, g^{\varepsilon})\|_{\tilde{L}_T^{\infty} H_x^{\frac{1}{2}} L_v^2} + \sqrt{\varepsilon} \|\Gamma(g^{\varepsilon}, g^{\varepsilon})\|_{L_T^2 H_x^{\frac{3}{2}} (H_v^{s,*})'}. \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* Recall that  $\mathcal{P}_{\text{wave}\pm}^1$  is bounded from  $L_v^2$  into  $L_v^2$  as well as from  $(H_v^{s,*})'$  into  $H_v^{s,*}$ . Let  $t \in [0, T]$  and  $k \in \mathbb{Z}^3 \setminus \{0\}$ . Remarking that

$$\left| \chi\left(\frac{\varepsilon|k|}{\kappa}\right) - 1 \right| \lesssim \min(1, \varepsilon|k|),$$

we obtain that, where we denote  $\nu_0 := \min(\nu_{\text{NS}}, \nu_{\text{heat}}) > 0$ ,

$$\|\widehat{\Psi}_{\text{NSF}}^{\varepsilon 1, \sharp}[g^{\varepsilon}, g^{\varepsilon}](t, k)\|_{L_v^2} \lesssim \varepsilon \int_0^t |k|^2 e^{-\nu_0(t-t')|k|^2} \|\widehat{\Gamma}(g^{\varepsilon}, g^{\varepsilon})(t', k)\|_{L_v^2} dt',$$

and

$$\|\widehat{\Psi}_{\text{NSF}}^{\varepsilon 1, \sharp}[g^{\varepsilon}, g^{\varepsilon}](t, k)\|_{H_v^{s,*}} \lesssim \varepsilon \int_0^t |k|^2 e^{-\nu_0(t-t')|k|^2} \|\widehat{\Gamma}(g^{\varepsilon}, g^{\varepsilon})(t', k)\|_{(H_v^{s,*})'} dt'.$$

Using that

$$\chi\left(\frac{\varepsilon|k|}{\kappa}\right) e^{-\nu_* t |k|^2} \left| e^{t \frac{\gamma_*(\varepsilon|k|)}{\varepsilon^2}} - 1 \right| |k| \lesssim \chi\left(\frac{\varepsilon|k|}{\kappa}\right) e^{-\frac{\nu_*}{2} t |k|^2} t \varepsilon |k|^4 \lesssim \varepsilon |k|^2 \chi\left(\frac{\varepsilon|k|}{\kappa}\right) e^{-\frac{\nu_*}{4} t |k|^2},$$

we also get, denoting  $\nu_1 = \min(\nu_{\text{NS}}, \nu_{\text{heat}}, \nu_{\text{wave}\pm}) > 0$ ,

$$\|\widehat{\Psi}^{\varepsilon 1, b}[g^{\varepsilon}, g^{\varepsilon}](t, k)\|_{L_v^2} \lesssim \varepsilon \int_0^t |k|^2 e^{-\frac{\nu_1}{4} (t-t')|k|^2} \|\widehat{\Gamma}(g^{\varepsilon}, g^{\varepsilon})(t', k)\|_{L_v^2} dt',$$

and

$$\|\widehat{\Psi}^{\varepsilon 1, b}[g^{\varepsilon}, g^{\varepsilon}](t, k)\|_{H_v^{s,*}} \lesssim \varepsilon \int_0^t |k|^2 e^{-\frac{\nu_1}{4} (t-t')|k|^2} \|\widehat{\Gamma}(g^{\varepsilon}, g^{\varepsilon})(t', k)\|_{(H_v^{s,*})'} dt'.$$

Finally, observing that

$$\chi\left(\frac{\varepsilon|k|}{\kappa}\right) e^{-\nu_* t |k|^2 + t \frac{\gamma_*(\varepsilon|k|)}{\varepsilon^2}} \varepsilon |k|^2 \lesssim \chi\left(\frac{\varepsilon|k|}{\kappa}\right) e^{-\frac{\nu_*}{2} t |k|^2} \varepsilon |k|^2 \lesssim \varepsilon |k|^2 \chi\left(\frac{\varepsilon|k|}{\kappa}\right) e^{-\frac{\nu_*}{2} t |k|^2},$$

we also deduce

$$\|\widehat{\Psi}^{\varepsilon 1, b}[g^{\varepsilon}, g^{\varepsilon}](t, k)\|_{L_v^2} \lesssim \varepsilon \int_0^t |k|^2 e^{-\frac{\nu_1}{2} (t-t')|k|^2} \|\widehat{\Gamma}(g^{\varepsilon}, g^{\varepsilon})(t', k)\|_{L_v^2} dt',$$

and

$$\|\widehat{\Psi}^{\varepsilon 1, b}[g^{\varepsilon}, g^{\varepsilon}](t, k)\|_{H_v^{s,*}} \lesssim \varepsilon \int_0^t |k|^2 e^{-\frac{\nu_1}{2} (t-t')|k|^2} \|\widehat{\Gamma}(g^{\varepsilon}, g^{\varepsilon})(t', k)\|_{(H_v^{s,*})'} dt'.$$

The term  $\Psi^{\varepsilon 2, b}$  is treated in the same way using the boundedness properties of  $\mathcal{P}_{\star}^2(\varepsilon k)$  uniformly in  $\varepsilon|k| \leq \kappa$ . We can then conclude by arguing as in the proof of Lemma 5.2.  $\square$

We can now gather the contributions of Lemmas 5.1, 5.2, 5.3 and 5.4 to conclude the proof of Proposition 3.2–(3). We first observe that from Proposition 4.8, since  $\mathbf{P}_0 g^\varepsilon = g^\varepsilon$ , we obtain

$$\|\Gamma(g^\varepsilon, g^\varepsilon)\|_{\tilde{L}_T^\infty H_x^\ell L_v^2} \lesssim \|g^\varepsilon\|_{\tilde{L}_T^\infty H_x^\ell L_v^2}^2,$$

and from Proposition 4.7 we have

$$\|\Gamma(g^\varepsilon, g^\varepsilon)\|_{L_T^2 H_x^\ell (H_v^{s,*})'} \lesssim \|g^\varepsilon\|_{\tilde{L}_T^\infty H_x^\ell L_v^2} \|g^\varepsilon\|_{L_T^2 H_x^\ell L_v^2}.$$

Moreover from Proposition 4.8 again, we obtain

$$\|\Gamma(g^\varepsilon, g^\varepsilon)\|_{\tilde{L}_T^\infty H_x^{\frac{1}{2}} L_v^2} \lesssim \|g^\varepsilon\|_{\tilde{L}_T^\infty H_x^{\frac{1}{2}} L_v^2} \|g^\varepsilon\|_{\tilde{L}_T^\infty H_x^{\frac{3}{2}} L_v^2},$$

and thanks to Proposition 4.7 again, we also get

$$\|\Gamma(g^\varepsilon, g^\varepsilon)\|_{L_T^2 H_x^{\frac{3}{2}} (H_v^{s,*})'} \lesssim \|g^\varepsilon\|_{\tilde{L}_T^\infty H_x^{\frac{1}{2}} L_v^2} \|g^\varepsilon\|_{L_T^2 H_x^{\frac{5}{2}} L_v^2}.$$

By Proposition 4.8 there holds

$$\|\Gamma(g_{\text{in}}^\varepsilon, g_{\text{in}}^\varepsilon)\|_{H_x^\ell (H_v^{s,*})'} \lesssim \|g_{\text{in}}^\varepsilon\|_{H_x^\ell L_v^2}^2.$$

and

$$\|\Gamma(g_{\text{in}}^\varepsilon, g_{\text{in}}^\varepsilon)\|_{H_x^{\frac{3}{2}} (H_v^{s,*})'} \lesssim \|g_{\text{in}}^\varepsilon\|_{H_x^{\frac{1}{2}} L_v^2} \|g_{\text{in}}^\varepsilon\|_{H_x^{\frac{5}{2}} L_v^2}.$$

Therefore estimates (3.3) and (3.7) together with Lemma 5.1 yield

$$(5.13) \quad \|\Psi^{\varepsilon, \sharp}[g^\varepsilon, g^\varepsilon]\|_{\mathcal{X}_T^\varepsilon} \lesssim \left( \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2} + \beta - 2\alpha(\ell-1)} + \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2} - \alpha} \right) \|g_{\text{in}}\|_{H_x^{\frac{1}{2}} L_v^2}^2 \exp\left( C \|g_{\text{in}}\|_{H_x^{\frac{1}{2}} L_v^2}^2 \right).$$

Moreover, estimates (3.3) and (3.7) together with Lemma 5.2 and Lemma 5.4 yield

$$(5.14) \quad \begin{aligned} & \|I_\pm^\varepsilon\|_{\mathcal{X}_T^\varepsilon} + \|\Psi_{\text{NSF}}^{\varepsilon, \sharp}[g^\varepsilon, g^\varepsilon]\|_{\mathcal{X}_T^\varepsilon} + \|\Psi^{\varepsilon, 1, b}[g^\varepsilon, g^\varepsilon]\|_{\mathcal{X}_T^\varepsilon} + \|\Psi^{\varepsilon, 2, b}[g^\varepsilon, g^\varepsilon]\|_{\mathcal{X}_T^\varepsilon} \\ & \lesssim \left( \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2} + \beta - 2\alpha(\ell-1)} + \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2} - \alpha} \right) \|g_{\text{in}}\|_{H_x^{\frac{1}{2}} L_v^2}^2 \exp\left( C \|g_{\text{in}}\|_{H_x^{\frac{1}{2}} L_v^2}^2 \right) \\ & \quad + \left( \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2} + \beta - 2\alpha(\ell-\frac{1}{2})} + \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2} - 2\alpha} \right) \|g_{\text{in}}\|_{H_x^{\frac{1}{2}} L_v^2}^2. \end{aligned}$$

It only remains to investigate the contribution of the term  $J_\pm^\varepsilon$ , and we recall that  $3/2 < \ell \leq 2$ . We write

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\Gamma_{\text{sym}}(g^\varepsilon, \partial_t g^\varepsilon)\|_{\tilde{L}_T^\infty H_x^{\ell-2} L_v^2} + \|\Gamma_{\text{sym}}(g^\varepsilon, \partial_t g^\varepsilon)\|_{\tilde{L}_T^\infty H_x^{-\frac{3}{2}} L_v^2} \\ & \lesssim \|\Gamma_{\text{sym}}(g^\varepsilon, \partial_t g^\varepsilon)\|_{\tilde{L}_T^\infty L_x^2 L_v^2} \\ & \lesssim \|g^\varepsilon\|_{\tilde{L}_T^\infty H_x^\ell L_v^2} \|\partial_t g^\varepsilon\|_{\tilde{L}_T^\infty L_x^2 L_v^2} \end{aligned}$$

thanks to Proposition 4.8, and also

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\Gamma_{\text{sym}}(g^\varepsilon, \partial_t g^\varepsilon)\|_{L_T^2 H_x^{\ell-2} (H_v^{s,*})'} + \|\Gamma_{\text{sym}}(g^\varepsilon, \partial_t g^\varepsilon)\|_{L_T^2 H_x^{-\frac{1}{2}} (H_v^{s,*})'} \\ & \lesssim \|\Gamma_{\text{sym}}(g^\varepsilon, \partial_t g^\varepsilon)\|_{L_T^2 L_x^2 (H_v^{s,*})'} \\ & \lesssim \|g^\varepsilon\|_{\tilde{L}_T^\infty H_x^\ell L_v^2} \|\partial_t g^\varepsilon\|_{L_T^2 L_x^2 L_v^2}, \end{aligned}$$

using Proposition 4.7. We now observe from (NSF) that, for all  $t \geq 0$  and  $k \in \mathbb{Z}^3$ ,

$$|\partial_t \widehat{g^\varepsilon}(t, k)| \lesssim |k|^2 |\widehat{g^\varepsilon}(t, k)| + |k| \left| \left( \widehat{g^\varepsilon}(t, \cdot) * \widehat{g^\varepsilon}(t, \cdot) \right) (k) \right|.$$

We hence compute

$$\|\partial_t g^\varepsilon\|_{\tilde{L}_T^\infty L_x^2 L_v^2} \lesssim \|g^\varepsilon\|_{\tilde{L}_T^\infty H_x^2 L_v^2} + \|(g^\varepsilon)^2\|_{\tilde{L}_T^\infty H_x^1 L_v^2}.$$

Arguing as in the proof of Proposition 4.7, we have

$$\|(g^\varepsilon)^2\|_{\tilde{L}_T^\infty H_x^1 L_v^2} \lesssim \|g^\varepsilon\|_{\tilde{L}_T^\infty H_x^{\frac{1}{2}} L_v^2} \|g^\varepsilon\|_{\tilde{L}_T^\infty H_x^2 L_v^2},$$

and thus

$$\|\partial_t g^\varepsilon\|_{\tilde{L}_T^\infty L_x^2 L_v^2} \lesssim \left(1 + \|g^\varepsilon\|_{\tilde{L}_T^\infty H_x^{\frac{1}{2}} L_v^2}\right) \|g^\varepsilon\|_{\tilde{L}_T^\infty H_x^2 L_v^2}.$$

Similarly we obtain

$$\|\partial_t g^\varepsilon\|_{L_T^2 L_x^2 L_v^2} \lesssim \|g^\varepsilon\|_{L_T^2 H_x^2 L_v^2} + \|(g^\varepsilon)^2\|_{L_T^2 H_x^1 L_v^2},$$

then we get, as in the proof of Proposition 4.7,

$$\|(g^\varepsilon)^2\|_{L_T^2 H_x^1 L_v^2} \lesssim \|g^\varepsilon\|_{\tilde{L}_T^\infty H_x^{\frac{1}{2}} L_v^2} \|g^\varepsilon\|_{L_T^2 H_x^2 L_v^2},$$

and finally

$$\|\partial_t g^\varepsilon\|_{L_T^2 L_x^2 L_v^2} \lesssim \left(1 + \|g^\varepsilon\|_{\tilde{L}_T^\infty H_x^{\frac{1}{2}} L_v^2}\right) \|g^\varepsilon\|_{L_T^2 H_x^2 L_v^2}.$$

Therefore estimates (3.3) and (3.7) together with Lemma 5.3 yield

$$(5.15) \quad \begin{aligned} \|J_\pm^\varepsilon\|_{\mathcal{X}_T^\varepsilon} &\lesssim \left(\varepsilon^{1-\alpha(\ell+1)} + \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}-\alpha\ell}\right) \\ &\quad \times \|g_{\text{in}}\|_{H_x^{\frac{1}{2}} L_v^2}^2 \left(1 + \|g_{\text{in}}\|_{H_x^{\frac{1}{2}} L_v^2}\right) \exp\left(C\|g_{\text{in}}\|_{H_x^{\frac{1}{2}} L_v^2}^2\right). \end{aligned}$$

Finally gathering (5.13), (5.14) and (5.15) provides (using the restrictions on  $\alpha, \ell$ )

$$(5.16) \quad \|\mathcal{S}^\varepsilon\|_{\mathcal{X}_T^\varepsilon} \leq \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}-2\alpha} \Phi\left(\|g_{\text{in}}\|_{H_x^{\frac{1}{2}} L_v^2}\right)$$

where  $\Phi(z) = C(1+z)z^2 e^{Cz^2}$  and we have used the conditions on  $\alpha$  and  $\ell$ . We hence obtain Proposition 3.2–(3).

**5.4. Estimates on the linear term  $\mathcal{L}^\varepsilon[\cdot]$ .** In this paragraph we prove Proposition 3.2–(4). Consider  $f \in \mathcal{X}_T^\varepsilon$ .

**5.4.1. Linear estimates: high regularity.** First we have, from Corollary 4.3 and Proposition 4.7 and using additionally the fact that  $g^\varepsilon = \mathbf{P}_0 g^\varepsilon$  and  $H_v^{s,*} \subset L_v^2$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \|\mathbf{P}_0^\perp \Psi^\varepsilon[f, g^\varepsilon]\|_{L_I^2 H_x^\ell H_v^{s,*}} + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \|\Psi^{\varepsilon, \#}[f, g^\varepsilon]\|_{L_I^2 H_x^\ell H_v^{s,*}} \\ &\lesssim \|\Gamma_{\text{sym}}[f, g^\varepsilon]\|_{L_I^2 H_x^\ell (H_v^{s,*})'} \\ &\lesssim \|f\|_{L_I^2 H_x^\ell H_v^{s,*}} \|g^\varepsilon\|_{\tilde{L}_I^\infty H_x^\ell L_v^2}. \end{aligned}$$

We then write  $\mathbf{P}_0 \Psi^\varepsilon = \mathbf{P}_0 \Psi^{\varepsilon, \#} + \mathbf{P}_0 \Psi^{\varepsilon, b}$ . We compute, thanks to Proposition 4.5 and Proposition 4.7,

$$\begin{aligned} &\|\Psi^{\varepsilon, b}[f, g^\varepsilon]\|_{L_I^2 H_x^\ell H_v^{s,*}} \\ &\lesssim \|\Gamma_{\text{sym}}[\mathbf{P}_0^\perp f, g^\varepsilon] + \Gamma_{\text{sym}}[\mathbf{P}_0 f, g^\varepsilon]\|_{L_I^2 H_x^{\ell-1} (H_v^{s,*})'} \\ &\lesssim \|\mathbf{P}_0^\perp f\|_{L_I^2 H_x^\ell H_v^{s,*}} \|g^\varepsilon\|_{\tilde{L}_I^\infty H_x^{\frac{1}{2}} L_v^2} + \|\mathbf{P}_0 f\|_{\tilde{L}_I^\infty H_x^{\frac{1}{2}} L_v^2} \|g^\varepsilon\|_{L_I^2 H_x^2 L_v^2} \\ &\quad + \|\mathbf{P}_0^\perp f\|_{L_I^2 H_x^{\frac{3}{2}} H_v^{s,*}} \|g^\varepsilon\|_{\tilde{L}_I^\infty H_x^\ell L_v^2} + \|\mathbf{P}_0 f\|_{\tilde{L}_I^4 H_x^{\ell-\frac{1}{2}} L_v^2} \|g^\varepsilon\|_{\tilde{L}_I^4 H_x^{\frac{1}{2}} L_v^2} \\ &\quad + \|\mathbf{P}_0 f\|_{\tilde{L}_I^\infty H_x^{\frac{1}{2}} L_v^2} \|g^\varepsilon\|_{L_I^2 H_x^\ell L_v^2} \\ &\lesssim \|\mathbf{P}_0^\perp f\|_{L_I^2 H_x^\ell H_v^{s,*} L_v^2} \|g^\varepsilon\|_{\tilde{L}_I^\infty H_x^{\frac{1}{2}} L_v^2} + \|\mathbf{P}_0^\perp f\|_{L_I^2 H_x^{\frac{3}{2}} H_v^{s,*}} \|g^\varepsilon\|_{\tilde{L}_I^\infty H_x^\ell L_v^2} \\ &\quad + \|f\|_{\tilde{L}_I^\infty H_x^{\frac{1}{2}} L_v^2} \|g^\varepsilon\|_{L_I^2 H_x^\ell L_v^2} + \|\mathbf{P}_0 f\|_{\tilde{L}_I^2 H_x^{\ell-1} L_v^2} \|\mathbf{P}_0 f\|_{L_I^2 H_x^\ell H_v^{s,*}} \|g^\varepsilon\|_{\tilde{L}_I^4 H_x^{\frac{1}{2}} L_v^2}, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used the interpolation inequality (3.8) as well as the fact that

$$\begin{aligned} & \|g^\varepsilon\|_{\tilde{L}_I^\infty H_x^{\ell-1+0} L_v^2} \|\mathbf{P}_0^\perp f\|_{L_I^2 H_x^{\frac{3}{2}-0} H_v^{s,*}} + \|g^\varepsilon\|_{\tilde{L}_I^\infty H_x^{\ell-1} L_v^2} \|\mathbf{P}_0^\perp f\|_{L_I^2 H_x^{\frac{3}{2}} H_v^{s,*}} \\ & \lesssim \|g^\varepsilon\|_{\tilde{L}_I^\infty H_x^\ell L_v^2} \|\mathbf{P}_0^\perp f\|_{L_I^2 H_x^{\frac{3}{2}} H_v^{s,*}}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\varepsilon^\beta}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}} \|\mathbf{P}_0^\perp \Psi^\varepsilon[f, g^\varepsilon]\|_{L_I^2 H_x^\ell H_v^{s,*}} + \varepsilon^\beta \|\mathbf{P}_0 \Psi^\varepsilon[f, g^\varepsilon]\|_{L_I^2 H_x^\ell H_v^{s,*}} \\ & \lesssim \varepsilon^\beta \|f\|_{L_I^2 H_x^\ell H_v^{s,*}} \sqrt{\varepsilon} \|g^\varepsilon\|_{\tilde{L}_I^\infty H_x^\ell L_v^2} + \frac{\varepsilon^\beta}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}} \|\mathbf{P}_0^\perp f\|_{L_I^2 H_x^\ell H_v^{s,*}} \sqrt{\varepsilon} \|g^\varepsilon\|_{\tilde{L}_I^\infty H_x^{\frac{1}{2}} L_v^2} \\ & \quad + \|f\|_{\tilde{L}_I^\infty H_x^{\frac{1}{2}} L_v^2} \varepsilon^\beta \|g^\varepsilon\|_{L_I^2 H_x^\ell L_v^2} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}} \|\mathbf{P}_0^\perp f\|_{L_I^2 H_x^{\frac{3}{2}} H_v^{s,*}} \varepsilon^{\beta+\frac{1}{2}} \|g^\varepsilon\|_{\tilde{L}_I^\infty H_x^\ell L_v^2} \\ & \quad + \varepsilon^{\frac{\beta}{2}} \|\mathbf{P}_0 f\|_{\tilde{L}_I^\infty H_x^\ell L_v^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \varepsilon^{\frac{\beta}{2}} \|\mathbf{P}_0 f\|_{L_I^2 H_x^\ell H_v^{s,*}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|g^\varepsilon\|_{\tilde{L}_I^\infty H_x^1 L_v^2}. \end{aligned}$$

We now investigate the  $\tilde{L}_I^\infty$  norm by writing

$$\Psi^\varepsilon[f, g^\varepsilon] = \Psi^\varepsilon[\mathbf{P}_0^\perp f, g^\varepsilon] + \Psi^{\varepsilon, \#}[\mathbf{P}_0 f, g^\varepsilon] + \Psi^{\varepsilon, b}[\mathbf{P}_0 f, g^\varepsilon].$$

From Corollary 4.3 and Proposition 4.7 we have

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon^\beta \|\Psi^\varepsilon[\mathbf{P}_0^\perp f, g^\varepsilon]\|_{\tilde{L}_I^\infty H_x^\ell L_v^2} & \lesssim \varepsilon^\beta \|\Gamma_{\text{sym}}[\mathbf{P}_0^\perp f, g^\varepsilon]\|_{L_I^2 H_x^\ell (H_v^{s,*})} \\ & \lesssim \|\mathbf{P}_0^\perp f\|_{L_I^2 H_x^\ell H_v^{s,*}} \varepsilon^\beta \|g^\varepsilon\|_{\tilde{L}_I^\infty H_x^\ell L_v^2} \\ & \lesssim \frac{\varepsilon^\beta}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}} \|\mathbf{P}_0^\perp f\|_{L_I^2 H_x^\ell H_v^{s,*}} \varepsilon^\beta \|g^\varepsilon\|_{\tilde{L}_I^\infty H_x^\ell L_v^2} \end{aligned}$$

since  $\beta < 1/2$ . Moreover from Proposition 4.6 and using again that  $\beta < 1/2$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon^\beta \|\Psi^{\varepsilon, \#}[\mathbf{P}_0 f, g^\varepsilon]\|_{\tilde{L}_I^\infty H_x^\ell L_v^2} & \lesssim \varepsilon^{\beta+1} \|\Gamma_{\text{sym}}[\mathbf{P}_0 f, g^\varepsilon]\|_{\tilde{L}_I^\infty H_x^\ell L_v^2} \\ & \lesssim \varepsilon^\beta \|\mathbf{P}_0 f\|_{\tilde{L}_I^\infty H_x^\ell L_v^2} \varepsilon^\beta \|g^\varepsilon\|_{\tilde{L}_I^\infty H_x^\ell L_v^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Applying Proposition 4.5 and Proposition 4.8–(2) together with interpolation inequality (3.8), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon^\beta \|\Psi^{\varepsilon, b}[\mathbf{P}_0 f, g^\varepsilon]\|_{\tilde{L}_I^\infty H_x^\ell L_v^2} & \lesssim \varepsilon^\beta \|\Gamma_{\text{sym}}[\mathbf{P}_0 f, g^\varepsilon]\|_{\tilde{L}_I^4 H_x^{\ell-\frac{1}{2}} L_v^2} \\ & \lesssim \varepsilon^\beta \|\mathbf{P}_0 f\|_{\tilde{L}_I^\infty H_x^\ell L_v^2} \|g^\varepsilon\|_{\tilde{L}_I^4 H_x^1 L_v^2} + \varepsilon^\beta \|\mathbf{P}_0 f\|_{\tilde{L}_I^4 H_x^1 L_v^2} \|g^\varepsilon\|_{\tilde{L}_I^\infty H_x^\ell L_v^2} \\ & \lesssim \varepsilon^\beta \|\mathbf{P}_0 f\|_{\tilde{L}_I^\infty H_x^\ell L_v^2} \|g^\varepsilon\|_{\tilde{L}_I^4 H_x^1 L_v^2} \\ & \quad + \|\mathbf{P}_0 f\|_{\tilde{L}_I^\infty H_x^{\frac{1}{2}} L_v^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\mathbf{P}_0 f\|_{L_I^2 H_x^{\frac{3}{2}} L_v^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \varepsilon^\beta \|g^\varepsilon\|_{\tilde{L}_I^\infty H_x^\ell L_v^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Gathering the previous estimates and using the fact that  $\beta < 1/2$  finally yield

$$\begin{aligned} (5.17) \quad & \varepsilon^\beta \|\Psi^\varepsilon[f, g^\varepsilon]\|_{\tilde{L}_I^\infty H_x^\ell L_v^2} + \frac{\varepsilon^\beta}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}} \|\mathbf{P}_0^\perp \Psi^\varepsilon[f, g^\varepsilon]\|_{L_I^2 H_x^\ell H_v^{s,*}} + \varepsilon^\beta \|\mathbf{P}_0 \Psi^\varepsilon[f, g^\varepsilon]\|_{L_I^2 H_x^\ell H_v^{s,*}} \\ & \lesssim \|f\|_{\mathcal{X}_I^\varepsilon} \left( \varepsilon^\beta \|g^\varepsilon\|_{\tilde{L}_I^\infty H_x^\ell L_v^2} + \varepsilon^\beta \|g^\varepsilon\|_{L_I^2 H_x^\ell L_v^2} + \|g^\varepsilon\|_{\tilde{L}_I^4 H_x^1 L_v^2} \right). \end{aligned}$$

5.4.2. *Linear estimates: low regularity.* We have from Corollary 4.3 and Proposition 4.7, as well as from Proposition 4.5,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|\Psi^\varepsilon[f, g^\varepsilon]\|_{\tilde{L}_I^\infty H_x^{\frac{1}{2}} L_v^2} + \|\Psi^{\varepsilon, b}[f, g^\varepsilon]\|_{L_I^2 H_x^{\frac{3}{2}} H_v^{s, *}} \\
& \lesssim \|\Gamma_{\text{sym}}[\mathbf{P}_0^\perp f, g^\varepsilon] + \Gamma_{\text{sym}}[\mathbf{P}_0 f, g^\varepsilon]\|_{L_I^2 H_x^{\frac{1}{2}} (H_v^{s, *})'} \\
& \lesssim \|\mathbf{P}_0^\perp f\|_{L_I^2 H_x^{\frac{3}{2}} H_v^{s, *}} \|g^\varepsilon\|_{\tilde{L}_I^\infty H_x^{\frac{1}{2}} L_v^2} + \|\mathbf{P}_0^\perp f\|_{\tilde{L}_I^\infty H_x^{\frac{1}{2}} L_v^2} \|g^\varepsilon\|_{L_I^2 H_x^{\frac{3}{2}} L_v^2} \\
& \quad + \|\mathbf{P}_0^\perp f\|_{L_I^2 H_x^{\frac{3}{2}-0} H_v^{s, *}} \|g^\varepsilon\|_{\tilde{L}_I^\infty H_x^{\frac{1}{2}+0} L_v^2} \\
& \quad + \|\mathbf{P}_0 f\|_{\tilde{L}_I^4 H_x^1 H_v^{s, *}} \|g^\varepsilon\|_{\tilde{L}_I^4 H_x^1 L_v^2} + \|\mathbf{P}_0 f\|_{\tilde{L}_I^\infty H_x^{\frac{1}{2}} L_v^2} \|g^\varepsilon\|_{L_I^2 H_x^{\frac{3}{2}} L_v^2},
\end{aligned}$$

where we have used that that  $g^\varepsilon = \mathbf{P}_0 g^\varepsilon$  and  $H_v^{s, *} \subset L_v^2$ . Therefore we obtain, using (3.8),

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|\Psi^\varepsilon[f, g^\varepsilon]\|_{\tilde{L}_I^\infty H_x^{\frac{1}{2}} L_v^2} + \|\Psi^{\varepsilon, b}[f, g^\varepsilon]\|_{L_I^2 H_x^{\frac{3}{2}} H_v^{s, *}} \\
& \lesssim \|f\|_{\tilde{L}_I^\infty H_x^{\frac{1}{2}} L_v^2} \|g^\varepsilon\|_{L_I^2 H_x^{\frac{3}{2}} L_v^2} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}} \|\mathbf{P}_0^\perp f\|_{L_I^2 H_x^{\frac{3}{2}} H_v^{s, *}} \sqrt{\varepsilon} \|g^\varepsilon\|_{\tilde{L}_I^\infty H_x^{\frac{1}{2}+0} L_v^2} \\
& \quad + \|\mathbf{P}_0 f\|_{\tilde{L}_I^\infty H_x^{\frac{1}{2}} L_v^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\mathbf{P}_0 f\|_{L_I^2 H_x^{\frac{3}{2}} H_v^{s, *}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|g^\varepsilon\|_{\tilde{L}_I^4 H_x^1 L_v^2}.
\end{aligned}$$

Moreover, still from Corollary 4.3 and Proposition 4.7,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|\mathbf{P}_0^\perp \Psi^\varepsilon[f, g^\varepsilon]\|_{L_I^2 H_x^{\frac{3}{2}} H_v^{s, *}} + \|\Psi^{\varepsilon, \sharp}[f, g^\varepsilon]\|_{L_I^2 H_x^{\frac{3}{2}} H_v^{s, *}} \\
& \lesssim \varepsilon \|\Gamma_{\text{sym}}[\mathbf{P}_0^\perp f, g^\varepsilon] + \Gamma_{\text{sym}}[\mathbf{P}_0 f, g^\varepsilon]\|_{L_I^2 H_x^{\frac{3}{2}} (H_v^{s, *})'} \\
& \lesssim \varepsilon \|\mathbf{P}_0^\perp f\|_{L_I^2 H_x^{\frac{3}{2}} H_v^{s, *}} \|g^\varepsilon\|_{\tilde{L}_I^\infty H_x^\ell L_v^2} + \varepsilon \|\mathbf{P}_0 f\|_{L_I^2 H_x^{\frac{3}{2}} H_v^{s, *}} \|g^\varepsilon\|_{\tilde{L}_I^\infty H_x^\ell L_v^2}.
\end{aligned}$$

This implies

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}} \|\mathbf{P}_0^\perp \Psi^\varepsilon[f, g^\varepsilon]\|_{L_I^2 H_x^{\frac{3}{2}} H_v^{s, *}} & \lesssim \frac{1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}} \|\mathbf{P}_0^\perp f\|_{L_I^2 H_x^{\frac{3}{2}} H_v^{s, *}} \varepsilon \|g^\varepsilon\|_{\tilde{L}_I^\infty H_x^\ell L_v^2} \\
& \quad + \|\mathbf{P}_0 f\|_{L_I^2 H_x^{\frac{3}{2}} H_v^{s, *}} \sqrt{\varepsilon} \|g^\varepsilon\|_{\tilde{L}_I^\infty H_x^\ell L_v^2},
\end{aligned}$$

and also

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\mathbf{P}_0 \Psi^{\varepsilon, \sharp}[f, g^\varepsilon]\|_{L_I^2 H_x^{\frac{3}{2}} H_v^{s, *}} & \lesssim \frac{1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}} \|\mathbf{P}_0^\perp f\|_{L_I^2 H_x^{\frac{3}{2}} H_v^{s, *}} \varepsilon^{\frac{3}{2}} \|g^\varepsilon\|_{\tilde{L}_I^\infty H_x^\ell L_v^2} \\
& \quad + \|\mathbf{P}_0 f\|_{L_I^2 H_x^{\frac{3}{2}} H_v^{s, *}} \varepsilon \|g^\varepsilon\|_{\tilde{L}_I^\infty H_x^\ell L_v^2}.
\end{aligned}$$

Putting together the previous estimates provides

$$\begin{aligned}
(5.18) \quad & \|\Psi^\varepsilon[f, g^\varepsilon]\|_{\tilde{L}_I^\infty H_x^{\frac{1}{2}} L_v^2} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}} \|\mathbf{P}_0^\perp \Psi^\varepsilon[f, g^\varepsilon]\|_{L_I^2 H_x^{\frac{3}{2}} H_v^{s, *}} + \|\mathbf{P}_0 \Psi^\varepsilon[f, g^\varepsilon]\|_{L_I^2 H_x^{\frac{3}{2}} H_v^{s, *}} \\
& \lesssim \|f\|_{\mathcal{X}_I^\varepsilon} \times \left( \sqrt{\varepsilon} \|g^\varepsilon\|_{\tilde{L}_I^\infty H_x^\ell L_v^2} + \|g^\varepsilon\|_{\tilde{L}_I^4 H_x^1 L_v^2} + \|g^\varepsilon\|_{L_I^2 H_x^{\frac{3}{2}} L_v^2} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

5.4.3. *Conclusion.* Gathering estimates (5.17) and (5.18) concludes the proof of Proposition 3.2–(4) since  $\beta < 1/2$ .

5.5. **Estimates on the nonlinear term**  $\Psi^\varepsilon[\cdot, \cdot]$ . In this paragraph we prove Proposition 3.2–(5). Consider  $f_1$  and  $f_2 \in \mathcal{X}_I^\varepsilon$ .

5.5.1. *Nonlinear estimates: high regularity.* Corollary 4.3 and Proposition 4.7 imply, using that  $H_v^{s,*} \subset L_v^2$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \|\mathbf{P}_0^\perp \Psi^\varepsilon[f_1, f_2]\|_{L_I^2 H_x^\ell H_v^{s,*}} + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \|\Psi^{\varepsilon,\sharp}[f_1, f_2]\|_{L_I^2 H_x^\ell H_v^{s,*}} \\ & \lesssim \|\Gamma_{\text{sym}}[f_1, f_2]\|_{L_I^2 H_x^\ell (H_v^{s,*})'} \\ & \lesssim \|f_1\|_{\tilde{L}_I^\infty H_x^\ell L_v^2} \|f_2\|_{L_I^2 H_x^\ell H_v^{s,*}} + \|f_2\|_{L_I^2 H_x^\ell H_v^{s,*}} \|f_1\|_{\tilde{L}_I^\infty H_x^\ell L_v^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover from Proposition 4.5 and Proposition 4.7, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Psi^{\varepsilon,b}[f_1, f_2]\|_{L_I^2 H_x^\ell H_v^{s,*}} & \lesssim \|\Gamma_{\text{sym}}[f_1, f_2]\|_{L_I^2 H_x^{\ell-1} (H_v^{s,*})'} \\ & \lesssim \|f_1\|_{\tilde{L}_I^\infty H_x^\ell L_v^2} \|f_2\|_{L_I^2 H_x^{\frac{3}{2}} H_v^{s,*}} + \|f_1\|_{\tilde{L}_I^\infty H_x^{\frac{1}{2}} L_v^2} \|f_2\|_{L_I^2 H_x^\ell H_v^{s,*}} \\ & \quad + \|f_1\|_{L_I^2 H_x^{\frac{3}{2}} H_v^{s,*}} \|f_2\|_{\tilde{L}_I^\infty H_x^\ell L_v^2} + \|f_1\|_{L_I^2 H_x^\ell H_v^{s,*}} \|f_2\|_{\tilde{L}_I^\infty H_x^{\frac{1}{2}} L_v^2}. \end{aligned}$$

We now turn to the  $L_I^\infty$  norm and we decompose

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi^\varepsilon[f_1, f_2] & = \Psi^\varepsilon[\mathbf{P}_0^\perp f_1, \mathbf{P}_0^\perp f_2] + \Psi^\varepsilon[\mathbf{P}_0^\perp f_1, \mathbf{P}_0 f_2] + \Psi^\varepsilon[\mathbf{P}_0 f_1, \mathbf{P}_0^\perp f_2] \\ & \quad + \Psi^{\varepsilon,\sharp}[\mathbf{P}_0 f_1, \mathbf{P}_0 f_2] + \Psi^{\varepsilon,b}[\mathbf{P}_0 f_1, \mathbf{P}_0 f_2]. \end{aligned}$$

Thanks to Corollary 4.3 and Proposition 4.7 we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Psi^\varepsilon[\mathbf{P}_0^\perp f_1, \mathbf{P}_0^\perp f_2]\|_{\tilde{L}_I^\infty H_x^\ell L_v^2} & \lesssim \|\Gamma_{\text{sym}}[\mathbf{P}_0^\perp f_1, \mathbf{P}_0^\perp f_2]\|_{L_I^2 H_x^\ell (H_v^{s,*})'} \\ & \lesssim \|\mathbf{P}_0^\perp f_1\|_{\tilde{L}_I^\infty H_x^\ell L_v^2} \|\mathbf{P}_0^\perp f_2\|_{L_I^2 H_x^\ell H_v^{s,*}} + \|\mathbf{P}_0^\perp f_1\|_{L_I^2 H_x^\ell H_v^{s,*}} \|\mathbf{P}_0^\perp f_2\|_{\tilde{L}_I^\infty H_x^\ell L_v^2}, \end{aligned}$$

moreover

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Psi^\varepsilon[\mathbf{P}_0^\perp f_1, \mathbf{P}_0 f_2]\|_{\tilde{L}_I^\infty H_x^\ell L_v^2} & \lesssim \|\Gamma_{\text{sym}}[\mathbf{P}_0^\perp f_1, \mathbf{P}_0 f_2]\|_{L_I^2 H_x^\ell (H_v^{s,*})'} \\ & \lesssim \|\mathbf{P}_0^\perp f_1\|_{L_I^2 H_x^\ell H_v^{s,*}} \|\mathbf{P}_0 f_2\|_{\tilde{L}_I^\infty H_x^\ell L_v^2}, \end{aligned}$$

and also

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Psi^\varepsilon[\mathbf{P}_0 f_1, \mathbf{P}_0^\perp f_2]\|_{\tilde{L}_I^\infty H_x^\ell L_v^2} & \lesssim \|\Gamma_{\text{sym}}[\mathbf{P}_0 f_1, \mathbf{P}_0^\perp f_2]\|_{L_I^2 H_x^\ell (H_v^{s,*})'} \\ & \lesssim \|\mathbf{P}_0 f_1\|_{\tilde{L}_I^\infty H_x^\ell L_v^2} \|\mathbf{P}_0^\perp f_2\|_{L_I^2 H_x^\ell H_v^{s,*}}. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover from Proposition 4.6 we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Psi^{\varepsilon,\sharp}[\mathbf{P}_0 f_1, \mathbf{P}_0 f_2]\|_{\tilde{L}_I^\infty H_x^\ell L_v^2} & \lesssim \varepsilon \|\Gamma_{\text{sym}}[\mathbf{P}_0 f_1, \mathbf{P}_0 f_2]\|_{L_I^\infty H_x^\ell L_v^2} \\ & \lesssim \varepsilon \|\mathbf{P}_0 f_1\|_{\tilde{L}_I^\infty H_x^\ell L_v^2} \|\mathbf{P}_0 f_2\|_{\tilde{L}_I^\infty H_x^\ell L_v^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Applying Proposition 4.5 and Proposition 4.8–(1) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Psi^{\varepsilon,b}[\mathbf{P}_0 f_1, \mathbf{P}_0 f_2]\|_{\tilde{L}_I^\infty H_x^\ell L_v^2} & \lesssim \|\Gamma_{\text{sym}}[\mathbf{P}_0 f_1, \mathbf{P}_0 f_2]\|_{\tilde{L}_I^\infty H_x^{\ell-1} L_v^2} \\ & \lesssim \|\mathbf{P}_0 f_1\|_{\tilde{L}_I^\infty H_x^\ell L_v^2} \|\mathbf{P}_0 f_2\|_{\tilde{L}_I^\infty H_x^{\frac{1}{2}} L_v^2} + \|\mathbf{P}_0 f_1\|_{\tilde{L}_I^\infty H_x^{\frac{1}{2}} L_v^2} \|\mathbf{P}_0 f_2\|_{\tilde{L}_I^\infty H_x^\ell L_v^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Gathering the previous estimates, we finally deduce

$$(5.19) \quad \begin{aligned} \varepsilon^\beta \|\Psi^\varepsilon[f_1, f_2]\|_{\tilde{L}_I^\infty H_x^\ell L_v^2} + \frac{\varepsilon^\beta}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}} \|\mathbf{P}_0^\perp \Psi^\varepsilon[f_1, f_2]\|_{L_I^2 H_x^\ell H_v^{s,*}} + \varepsilon^\beta \|\mathbf{P}_0 \Psi^\varepsilon[f_1, f_2]\|_{L_I^2 H_x^\ell H_v^{s,*}} \\ \lesssim \|f_1\|_{\mathcal{X}_I^\varepsilon} \|f_2\|_{\mathcal{X}_I^\varepsilon}. \end{aligned}$$

5.5.2. *Nonlinear estimates: low regularity.* We first compute thanks to Corollary 4.3

$$\|\Psi^\varepsilon[f_1, f_2]\|_{\tilde{L}_I^\infty H_x^{\frac{1}{2}} L_v^2} \lesssim \|\Gamma[f_1, \mathbf{P}_0^\perp f_2] + \Gamma[f_1, \mathbf{P}_0 f_2] + \Gamma[f_2, \mathbf{P}_0^\perp f_1] + \Gamma[f_2, \mathbf{P}_0 f_1]\|_{L_I^2 H_x^{\frac{1}{2}} (H_v^{s,*})'}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \|\mathbf{P}_0^\perp \Psi^\varepsilon[f_1, f_2]\|_{L_I^2 H_x^{\frac{3}{2}} H_v^{s,*}} + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \|\Psi^{\varepsilon,\sharp}[f_1, f_2]\|_{L_I^2 H_x^{\frac{3}{2}} H_v^{s,*}} \\ & \lesssim \|\Gamma[f_1, \mathbf{P}_0^\perp f_2] + \Gamma[f_1, \mathbf{P}_0 f_2] + \Gamma[f_2, \mathbf{P}_0^\perp f_1] + \Gamma[f_2, \mathbf{P}_0 f_1]\|_{L_I^2 H_x^{\frac{3}{2}} (H_v^{s,*})'}. \end{aligned}$$

From Proposition 4.7 we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|\Gamma[f_1, \mathbf{P}_0^\perp f_2] + \Gamma[f_1, \mathbf{P}_0 f_2]\|_{L_I^2 H_x^{\frac{1}{2}}(H_v^{s,*})'} \\
& \lesssim \|f_1\|_{\tilde{L}_I^\infty H_x^{\frac{1}{2}+0} L_v^2} \|\mathbf{P}_0^\perp f_2\|_{L_I^2 H_x^{\frac{3}{2}-0} H_v^{s,*}} \\
(5.20) \quad & + \|f_1\|_{L_I^2 H_x^{\frac{3}{2}} L_v^2} \|\mathbf{P}_0 f_2\|_{\tilde{L}_I^\infty H_x^{\frac{1}{2}} H_v^{s,*}} + \|f_1\|_{\tilde{L}_I^\infty H_x^{\frac{1}{2}} L_v^2} \|\mathbf{P}_0 f_2\|_{L_I^2 H_x^{\frac{3}{2}} H_v^{s,*}} \\
& \lesssim \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}-\beta} \left( \varepsilon^\beta \|f_1\|_{\tilde{L}_I^\infty H_x^\ell L_v^2} \right) \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}} \|\mathbf{P}_0^\perp f_2\|_{L_I^2 H_x^{\frac{3}{2}} H_v^{s,*}} \right) \\
& + \|f_1\|_{L_I^2 H_x^{\frac{3}{2}} L_v^2} \|\mathbf{P}_0 f_2\|_{\tilde{L}_I^\infty H_x^{\frac{1}{2}} H_v^{s,*}} + \|f_1\|_{\tilde{L}_I^\infty H_x^{\frac{1}{2}} L_v^2} \|\mathbf{P}_0 f_2\|_{L_I^2 H_x^{\frac{3}{2}} H_v^{s,*}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Moreover we also obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|\Gamma[f_1, \mathbf{P}_0^\perp f_2] + \Gamma[f_1, \mathbf{P}_0 f_2]\|_{L_I^2 H_x^{\frac{3}{2}}(H_v^{s,*})'} \\
& \lesssim \|f_1\|_{\tilde{L}_I^\infty H_x^{\frac{3}{2}+0} L_v^2} \|\mathbf{P}_0^\perp f_2\|_{L_I^2 H_x^{\frac{3}{2}-0} H_v^{s,*}} + \|f_1\|_{\tilde{L}_I^\infty H_x^\ell L_v^2} \|\mathbf{P}_0^\perp f_2\|_{L_I^2 H_x^{\frac{3}{2}} H_v^{s,*}} \\
& + \|f_1\|_{L_I^2 H_x^{\frac{3}{2}} L_v^2} \|\mathbf{P}_0 f_2\|_{\tilde{L}_I^\infty H_x^\ell L_v^2} + \|f_1\|_{L_I^2 H_x^{\frac{3}{2}-0} L_v^2} \|\mathbf{P}_0 f_2\|_{\tilde{L}_I^\infty H_x^\ell L_v^2},
\end{aligned}$$

from which we deduce

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sqrt{\varepsilon} \|\Gamma[f_1, \mathbf{P}_0^\perp f_2] + \Gamma[f_1, \mathbf{P}_0 f_2]\|_{L_I^2 H_x^{\frac{3}{2}}(H_v^{s,*})'} \\
& \lesssim \varepsilon^{1-\beta} \left( \varepsilon^\beta \|f_1\|_{\tilde{L}_I^\infty H_x^\ell L_v^2} \right) \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}} \|\mathbf{P}_0^\perp f_2\|_{L_I^2 H_x^{\frac{3}{2}} H_v^{s,*}} \right) \\
& + \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}-\beta} \|f_1\|_{L_I^2 H_x^{\frac{3}{2}} L_v^2} \left( \varepsilon^\beta \|\mathbf{P}_0 f_2\|_{\tilde{L}_I^\infty H_x^\ell L_v^2} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Furthermore Proposition 4.5 yields

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\Psi^{\varepsilon,b}[f_1, f_2]\|_{L_I^2 H_x^{\frac{3}{2}} H_v^{s,*}} & \lesssim \|\Gamma[f_1, \mathbf{P}_0^\perp f_2] + \Gamma[f_1, \mathbf{P}_0 f_2]\|_{L_I^2 H_x^{\frac{1}{2}}(H_v^{s,*})'} \\
& + \|\Gamma[f_2, \mathbf{P}_0^\perp f_1] + \Gamma[f_2, \mathbf{P}_0 f_1]\|_{L_I^2 H_x^{\frac{1}{2}}(H_v^{s,*})'}.
\end{aligned}$$

We can then use (5.20) to bound  $\Gamma[f_1, \mathbf{P}_0^\perp f_2] + \Gamma[f_1, \mathbf{P}_0 f_2]$  in  $L_I^2 H_x^{\frac{1}{2}}(H_v^{s,*})'$ . Gathering the previous estimates, and observing that the terms  $\Gamma[f_2, \mathbf{P}_0^\perp f_1]$  and  $\Gamma[f_2, \mathbf{P}_0 f_1]$  can be handled in a similar way, we thus deduce

$$\begin{aligned}
(5.21) \quad \|\Psi^\varepsilon[f_1, f_2]\|_{\tilde{L}_I^\infty H_x^{\frac{1}{2}} L_v^2} & + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}} \|\mathbf{P}_0^\perp \Psi^\varepsilon[f_1, f_2]\|_{L_I^2 H_x^{\frac{3}{2}} H_v^{s,*}} + \|\mathbf{P}_0 \Psi^\varepsilon[f_1, f_2]\|_{L_I^2 H_x^{\frac{3}{2}} H_v^{s,*}} \\
& \lesssim \|f_1\|_{\mathcal{X}_I^\varepsilon} \|f_2\|_{\mathcal{X}_I^\varepsilon}
\end{aligned}$$

since  $\beta < 1/2$ .

5.5.3. *Conclusion.* The bounds obtained in (5.19) and (5.21) yield the continuity estimate given in Proposition 3.2-(5).

#### APPENDIX A. HYPOCOERCIVITY

It is well-known, see for instance [22, 37, 51, 6, 50], that the linearized Boltzmann and Landau collision operators satisfy the following coercive-type inequality

$$(A.1) \quad \langle Lf, f \rangle_{L_v^2} \leq -\lambda_2 \|\mathbf{P}_0^\perp f\|_{H_v^{s,*}}^2,$$

for some  $\lambda_2 > 0$ .

For all  $\varepsilon \in (0, 1]$  and all  $k \in \mathbb{Z}^3$ , we recall that  $\widehat{\Lambda}^\varepsilon(k)$  is the Fourier transform in space of the full linearized operator  $\frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} L - \frac{1}{\varepsilon} v \cdot \nabla_x$ , namely

$$(A.2) \quad \widehat{\Lambda}^\varepsilon(k) := \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} (L - i\varepsilon v \cdot k).$$

We now state a hypocoercive result for  $\widehat{\Lambda}^\varepsilon(k)$  (for a detailed presentation of the subject, we refer to [10] and the references therein, we also point out the papers [56] and [24] in the case of the whole space), as presented in [14, 15].

**Proposition A.1.** *There is an inner product  $\langle\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle\rangle_{L_v^2}$  on  $L_v^2$  (depending on  $k$ ) such that the associate norm  $\|\cdot\|_{L_v^2}$  is equivalent to the standard norm  $\|\cdot\|_{L_v^2}$  on  $L_v^2$  with bounds that are independent of  $k$  and  $\varepsilon$ , and there exists  $\lambda_3 > 0$  such that for every  $f$  satisfying (1.13) and all  $k \in \mathbb{Z}^3$ , there holds*

$$\operatorname{Re}\langle\langle \widehat{\Lambda}^\varepsilon(k)\widehat{f}(k), \widehat{f}(k) \rangle\rangle_{L_v^2} \leq -\lambda_3 \left( \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \|\mathbf{P}_0^\perp \widehat{f}(k)\|_{H_v^{s,*}}^2 + \|\mathbf{P}_0 \widehat{f}(k)\|_{L_v^2}^2 \right).$$

*Proof.* For every  $k \in \mathbb{Z}^3$ , we define

$$\begin{aligned} \psi[f_1, f_2](k) &:= \frac{\delta_1 i}{\langle k \rangle^2} k \theta[\widehat{f}_1(k)] \cdot M[\mathbf{P}_0^\perp \widehat{f}_2(k)] + \frac{\delta_1 i}{\langle k \rangle^2} k \theta[\widehat{f}_2(k)] \cdot M[\mathbf{P}_0^\perp \widehat{f}_1(k)] \\ &\quad + \frac{\delta_2 i}{\langle k \rangle^2} (k \otimes u[\widehat{f}_1(k)])^{\operatorname{sym}} : \left\{ \Theta[\mathbf{P}_0^\perp \widehat{f}_2(k)] + \theta[\widehat{g}(k)] \operatorname{Id} \right\} \\ &\quad + \frac{\delta_2 i}{\langle k \rangle^2} (k \otimes u[\widehat{f}_2(k)])^{\operatorname{sym}} : \left\{ \Theta[\mathbf{P}_0^\perp \widehat{f}_1(k)] + \theta[\widehat{f}(k)] \operatorname{Id} \right\} \\ &\quad + \frac{\delta_3 i}{\langle k \rangle^2} k \rho[\widehat{f}_1(k)] \cdot u[\widehat{f}_2(k)] + \frac{\delta_3 i}{\langle k \rangle^2} k \rho[\widehat{f}_2(k)] \cdot u[\widehat{f}_1(k)], \end{aligned}$$

with constants  $0 < \delta_3 \ll \delta_2 \ll \delta_1 \ll 1$ , where  $\operatorname{Id}$  is the  $3 \times 3$  identity matrix and the moments  $M$  and  $\Theta$  are defined by

$$M[f] := \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f v (|v|^2 - 5) \mu^{\frac{1}{2}}(v) dv, \quad \Theta[f] := \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f (v \otimes v - \operatorname{Id}) \mu^{\frac{1}{2}}(v) dv,$$

and where for vectors  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}^3$  and matrices  $A, B \in \mathbb{R}^{3 \times 3}$ , we denote

$$(a \otimes b)^{\operatorname{sym}} = \frac{1}{2} (a_j b_k + a_k b_j)_{1 \leq j, k \leq 3}, \quad A : B = \sum_{j, k=1}^3 A_{jk} B_{jk}.$$

We then define the inner product  $\langle\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle\rangle_{L_v^2}$  on  $L_v^2$  (depending on  $k$ ) by

$$(A.3) \quad \langle\langle \widehat{f}_1(k), \widehat{f}_2(k) \rangle\rangle_{L_v^2} := \langle \widehat{f}_1(k), \widehat{f}_2(k) \rangle_{L_v^2} + \varepsilon \psi[f_1, f_2](k),$$

and the associated norm

$$(A.4) \quad \|\widehat{f}(k)\|_{L_v^2}^2 := \langle\langle \widehat{f}(k), \widehat{f}(k) \rangle\rangle_{L_v^2}.$$

We then argue as in [56], the only difference being the factor  $\varepsilon$  in the second term of (A.3).  $\square$

Using this hypocoercivity result, we are able to prove Proposition 4.1.

*Proof of Proposition 4.1.*

- (1) Let  $f(t) := U^\varepsilon(t) f_{\text{in}}$  for all  $t \geq 0$ , which satisfies the equation

$$(A.5) \quad \partial_t f = \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} (L - \varepsilon v \cdot \nabla_x) f, \quad f|_{t=0} = f_{\text{in}}.$$

We already observe that  $f(t)$  verifies (1.13) thanks to the conservation properties of  $\Gamma$  (and hence of  $L$ ). Taking the Fourier transform in space of the above equation, we obtain that  $\widehat{f}$  satisfies

$$(A.6) \quad \partial_t \widehat{f}(k) = \widehat{\Lambda}^\varepsilon(k) \widehat{f}(k), \quad \widehat{f}(k)|_{t=0} = \widehat{f}_{\text{in}}(k),$$

for all  $k \in \mathbb{Z}^3$ . Applying Proposition A.1 yields, for all  $t \geq 0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\widehat{f}(k)\|_{L_v^2}^2 &= \operatorname{Re}\langle\langle \widehat{\Lambda}^\varepsilon(k) \widehat{f}(k), \widehat{f}(k) \rangle\rangle_{L_v^2} \\ &\leq -\lambda_3 \left( \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \|\mathbf{P}_0^\perp \widehat{f}(k)\|_{H_v^{s,*}}^2 + \|\mathbf{P}_0 \widehat{f}(k)\|_{L_v^2}^2 \right), \end{aligned}$$

which implies

$$\|\widehat{f}(t, k)\|_{L_v^2}^2 + \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \int_0^t \|\mathbf{P}_0^\perp \widehat{f}(t', k)\|_{H_v^{s,*}}^2 dt' + \int_0^t \|\mathbf{P}_0 \widehat{f}(t', k)\|_{L_v^2}^2 dt' \lesssim \|\widehat{f}_{\text{in}}(k)\|_{L_v^2}^2,$$

where we have used that  $\|\cdot\|_{L_v^2}$  is equivalent to  $\|\cdot\|_{L_v^2}$  independently of  $k$  and  $\varepsilon$ . Taking the supremum in time and then multiplying by  $\langle k \rangle^{2m}$  yields

$$\langle k \rangle^{2m} \|\widehat{f}(k)\|_{L_t^\infty L_v^2}^2 + \frac{\langle k \rangle^{2m}}{\varepsilon} \|\mathbf{P}_0^\perp \widehat{f}(k)\|_{L_t^2 H_v^{s,*}}^2 + \langle k \rangle^{2m} \|\mathbf{P}_0 \widehat{f}(k)\|_{L_t^2 L_v^2}^2 \lesssim \langle k \rangle^{2m} \|\widehat{f}_{\text{in}}(k)\|_{L_v^2}^2.$$

We conclude by summing in  $k$ .

- (2) Denote

$$h(t) := \int_0^t U^\varepsilon(t-t') S(t') dt'$$

which is the solution to

$$(A.7) \quad \partial_t h = \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} (L - \varepsilon v \cdot \nabla_x) h + S, \quad h|_{t=0} = 0.$$

Taking the Fourier transform in space gives

$$(A.8) \quad \partial_t \widehat{h}(k) = \widehat{\Lambda}^\varepsilon(k) \widehat{h}(k) + \widehat{S}(k), \quad \widehat{h}(k)|_{t=0} = 0,$$

for all  $k \in \mathbb{Z}^3$ . From the definition of (A.3) and the hypothesis  $\mathbf{P}_0 S = 0$ , we observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \widehat{S}(k), \widehat{h}(k) \rangle_{L_v^2} &= \langle \widehat{S}(k), \widehat{h}(k) \rangle_{L_v^2} + \varepsilon \Psi[S, h](k) \\ &= \langle \widehat{S}(k), \mathbf{P}_0^\perp \widehat{h}(k) \rangle_{L_v^2} + \varepsilon \frac{\delta_1 i}{1 + |k|^2} k \theta[\widehat{h}(k)] \cdot M[\mathbf{P}_0^\perp \widehat{S}(k)] \\ &\quad + \varepsilon \frac{\delta_2 i}{1 + |k|^2} (k \otimes u[\widehat{h}(k)])^{\text{sym}} : \Theta[\mathbf{P}_0^\perp \widehat{S}(k)]. \end{aligned}$$

Observing that for any polynomial  $p = p(v)$  we have

$$\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \widehat{S}(k) p(v) \mu^{\frac{1}{2}}(v) dv \right| \lesssim \|\widehat{S}(k)\|_{(H_v^{s,*})'},$$

we get

$$|\Psi[S, h](k)| \lesssim \|\mathbf{P}_0^\perp \widehat{S}(k)\|_{(H_v^{s,*})'} \frac{|k|}{\langle k \rangle} \|\mathbf{P}_0 \widehat{h}(k)\|_{L_v^2}.$$

By duality, we also have

$$\langle \widehat{S}(k), \mathbf{P}_0^\perp \widehat{h}(k) \rangle_{L_v^2} \lesssim \|\widehat{S}(k)\|_{(H_v^{s,*})'} \|\mathbf{P}_0^\perp \widehat{h}(k)\|_{H_v^{s,*}},$$

therefore gathering previous estimates yields

$$(A.9) \quad \langle \widehat{S}(k), \widehat{h}(k) \rangle_{L_v^2} \lesssim \varepsilon \|\widehat{S}(k)\|_{(H_v^{s,*})'} \left( \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \|\mathbf{P}_0^\perp \widehat{h}(k)\|_{H_v^{s,*}} + \|\mathbf{P}_0 \widehat{h}(k)\|_{L_v^2} \right).$$

Using Proposition A.1 and arguing as in the proof of Proposition 4.1–(1) we have, for all  $t \geq 0$  and all  $k \in \mathbb{Z}^3$ ,

$$(A.10) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\widehat{h}(k)\|_{L_v^2}^2 &\leq -\lambda_3 \left( \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \|\mathbf{P}_0^\perp \widehat{h}(k)\|_{H_v^{s,*}}^2 + \|\mathbf{P}_0 \widehat{h}(k)\|_{L_v^2}^2 \right) \\ &\quad + \varepsilon C \|\widehat{S}(k)\|_{(H_v^{s,*})'} \left( \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \|\mathbf{P}_0^\perp \widehat{h}(k)\|_{H_v^{s,*}} + \|\mathbf{P}_0 \widehat{h}(k)\|_{L_v^2} \right) \\ &\leq -\frac{\lambda_3}{2} \left( \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \|\mathbf{P}_0^\perp \widehat{h}(k)\|_{H_v^{s,*}}^2 + \|\mathbf{P}_0 \widehat{h}(k)\|_{L_v^2}^2 \right) + C \varepsilon^2 \|\widehat{S}(k)\|_{(H_v^{s,*})'}^2, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used Young's inequality in last line. This implies

$$\begin{aligned} \|\widehat{h}(t, k)\|_{L_v^2}^2 + \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \int_0^t \|\mathbf{P}_0^\perp \widehat{h}(t', k)\|_{H_v^{s,*}}^2 dt' + \int_0^t \|\mathbf{P}_0 \widehat{h}(t', k)\|_{L_v^2}^2 dt' \\ \lesssim \varepsilon^2 \int_0^t \|\widehat{S}(t', k)\|_{(H_v^{s,*})'}^2 dt'. \end{aligned}$$

Taking the supremum in time and then multiplying by  $\langle k \rangle^{2m}$  yields

$$\begin{aligned} \langle k \rangle^{2m} \|\widehat{h}(k)\|_{L_t^\infty L_v^2}^2 + \frac{\langle k \rangle^{2m}}{\varepsilon^2} \|\mathbf{P}_0^\perp \widehat{h}(k)\|_{L_t^2 H_v^{s,*}}^2 + \langle k \rangle^{2m} \|\mathbf{P}_0 \widehat{h}(k)\|_{L_t^2 L_v^2}^2 \\ \lesssim \varepsilon^2 \langle k \rangle^{2m} \|\widehat{S}(k)\|_{L_t^2(H_v^{s,*})}^2, \end{aligned}$$

and we conclude by summing in  $k$ . Proposition 4.1 is proved.  $\square$

## REFERENCES

- [1] ALEXANDRE, R., MORIMOTO, Y., UKAI, S., XU, C.-J., AND YANG, T. The Boltzmann equation without angular cutoff in the whole space: I, Global existence for soft potential. *J. Funct. Anal.* 262, 3 (2012), 915–1010.
- [2] ALEXANDRE, R., MORIMOTO, Y., UKAI, S., XU, C.-J., AND YANG, T. Local existence with mild regularity for the Boltzmann equation. *Kinet. Relat. Models* 6, 4 (2013), 1011–1041.
- [3] ALONSO, R., LODS, B., AND TRISTANI, I. Fluid dynamic limit of Boltzmann equation for granular hard-spheres in a nearly elastic regime. To appear in Mémoires de la SMF, *arXiv preprint arXiv:2008.05173*, 2024.
- [4] ARSÉNIO, D. On the global existence of mild solutions to the Boltzmann equation for small data in  $L^p$ . *Comm. Math. Phys.* 302, 2 (2011), 453–476.
- [5] BAHOURI, H., CHEMIN, J.-Y., AND DANCHIN, R. *Fourier analysis and nonlinear partial differential equations*, vol. 343 of *Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences]*. Springer, Heidelberg, 2011.
- [6] BARANGER, C., AND MOUHOT, C. Explicit spectral gap estimates for the linearized Boltzmann and Landau operators with hard potentials. *Rev. Mat. Iberoamericana* 21, 3 (2005), 819–841.
- [7] BARDOS, C., GOLSE, F., AND LEVERMORE, C. D. Fluid dynamic limits of kinetic equations. II. Convergence proofs for the Boltzmann equation. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* 46, 5 (1993), 667–753.
- [8] BARDOS, C., GOLSE, F., AND LEVERMORE, D. Fluid dynamic limits of kinetic equations. I. Formal derivations. *J. Statist. Phys.* 63, 1-2 (1991), 323–344.
- [9] BARDOS, C., AND UKAI, S. The classical incompressible Navier-Stokes limit of the Boltzmann equation. *Math. Models Methods Appl. Sci.* 1, 2 (1991), 235–257.
- [10] BERNOU, A., CARRAPATOSO, K., MISCHLER, S., AND TRISTANI, I. Hypocoercivity for kinetic linear equations in bounded domains with general Maxwell boundary condition. *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré C Anal. Non Linéaire* 40, 2 (2023), 287–338.
- [11] BRIANT, M. From the Boltzmann equation to the incompressible Navier-Stokes equations on the torus: a quantitative error estimate. *J. Differential Equations* 259, 11 (2015), 6072–6141.
- [12] BRIANT, M., MERINO-ACEITUNO, S., AND MOUHOT, C. From Boltzmann to incompressible Navier-Stokes in Sobolev spaces with polynomial weight. *Anal. Appl. (Singap.)* 17, 1 (2019), 85–116.
- [13] CAFLISCH, R. E. The fluid dynamic limit of the nonlinear Boltzmann equation. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* 33, 5 (1980), 651–666.
- [14] CAO, C., AND CARRAPATOSO, K. Hydrodynamic limit for the non-cutoff Boltzmann equation. *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré C Anal. Non Linéaire* (2024), published online first.
- [15] CARRAPATOSO, K., AND GERVAIS, P. Noncutoff Boltzmann equation with soft potentials in the whole space. *Pure Appl. Anal.* 6, 1 (2024), 253–303.
- [16] CARRAPATOSO, K., RACHID, M., AND TRISTANI, I. Regularization estimates and hydrodynamical limit for the Landau equation. *J. Math. Pures Appl. (9)* 163 (2022), 334–432.
- [17] CERCIGNANI, C., ILLNER, R., AND PULVIRENTI, M. *The mathematical theory of dilute gases*, vol. 106 of *Applied Mathematical Sciences*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1994.
- [18] CHAPMAN, S., AND COWLING, T. G. *The mathematical theory of non-uniform gases: An account of the kinetic theory of viscosity, thermal conduction, and diffusion in gases*. Cambridge University Press, New York, 1960.
- [19] CHEMIN, J.-Y. Remarques sur l’existence globale pour le système de Navier-Stokes incompressible. *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* 23, 1 (1992), 20–28.
- [20] CHEMIN, J.-Y., AND LERNER, N. Flot de champs de vecteurs non lipschitziens et équations de Navier-Stokes. *J. Differential Equations* 121, 2 (1995), 314–328.
- [21] DE MASI, A., ESPOSITO, R., AND LEBOWITZ, J. L. Incompressible Navier-Stokes and Euler limits of the Boltzmann equation. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* 42, 8 (1989), 1189–1214.
- [22] DEGOND, P., AND LEMOU, M. Dispersion relations for the linearized Fokker-Planck equation. *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.* 138, 2 (1997), 137–167.
- [23] DIPERNA, R. J., AND LIONS, P.-L. On the Cauchy problem for Boltzmann equations: global existence and weak stability. *Ann. of Math. (2)* 130, 2 (1989), 321–366.

- [24] DUAN, R. On the Cauchy problem for the Boltzmann equation in the whole space: global existence and uniform stability in  $L^2_\xi(H^N_x)$ . *J. Differential Equations* 244, 12 (2008), 3204–3234.
- [25] DUTRIFOY, A., AND HMIDI, T. The incompressible limit of solutions of the two-dimensional compressible Euler system with degenerating initial data. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* 57, 9 (2004), 1159–1177.
- [26] ELLIS, R. S., AND PINSKY, M. A. The first and second fluid approximations to the linearized Boltzmann equation. *J. Math. Pures Appl. (9)* 54 (1975), 125–156.
- [27] GALLAGHER, I., AND TRISTANI, I. On the convergence of smooth solutions from Boltzmann to Navier-Stokes. *Ann. H. Lebesgue* 3 (2020), 561–614.
- [28] GALLAGHER, ISABELLE, I. D., AND PLANCHON, F. Asymptotics and stability for global solutions to the Navier-Stokes equations. *Ann. Inst. Fourier* 53, 5 (2003), 1387–1424.
- [29] GERVAIS, P. A spectral study of the linearized boltzmann operator in  $L^2$ -spaces with polynomial and Gaussian weights. *Kinetic & Related Models* 14, 4 (2021), 725–747.
- [30] GERVAIS, P. On the convergence from Boltzmann to Navier-Stokes-Fourier for general initial data. *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* 55, 2 (2023), 805–848.
- [31] GERVAIS, P., AND LODS, B. Hydrodynamic limits for kinetic equations preserving mass, momentum and energy: a spectral and unified approach in the presence of a spectral gap. *Ann. Henri Lebesgue* 7 (2024), 969–1098.
- [32] GOLSE, F., AND SAINT-RAYMOND, L. The Navier-Stokes limit of the Boltzmann equation for bounded collision kernels. *Invent. Math.* 155, 1 (2004), 81–161.
- [33] GOLSE, F., AND SAINT-RAYMOND, L. The incompressible Navier-Stokes limit of the Boltzmann equation for hard cutoff potentials. *J. Math. Pures Appl. (9)* 91, 5 (2009), 508–552.
- [34] GRAD, H. Asymptotic theory of the Boltzmann equation. II. In *Rarefied Gas Dynamics (Proc. 3rd Internat. Sympos., Palais de l'UNESCO, Paris, 1962), Vol. I* (1963), Academic Press, New York, pp. 26–59.
- [35] GRAD, H. Asymptotic equivalence of the Navier-Stokes and nonlinear Boltzmann equations. In *Proc. Sympos. Appl. Math., Vol. XVII* (1965), Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., pp. 154–183.
- [36] GRESSMAN, P. T., AND STRAIN, R. M. Global classical solutions of the Boltzmann equation without angular cut-off. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* 24, 3 (2011), 771–847.
- [37] GUO, Y. The Landau equation in a periodic box. *Comm. Math. Phys.* 231, 3 (2002), 391–434.
- [38] GUO, Y. Classical solutions to the Boltzmann equation for molecules with an angular cutoff. *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.* 169, 4 (2003), 305–353.
- [39] GUO, Y. Boltzmann diffusive limit beyond the Navier-Stokes approximation. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* 59, 5 (2006), 626–687.
- [40] HÉRAU, F., TONON, D., AND TRISTANI, I. Regularization estimates and Cauchy theory for inhomogeneous Boltzmann equation for hard potentials without cut-off. *Comm. Math. Phys.* 377, 1 (2020), 697–771.
- [41] HILBERT, D. *Sur les problèmes futurs des mathématiques*. Les Grands Classiques Gauthier-Villars. [Gauthier-Villars Great Classics]. Éditions Jacques Gabay, Sceaux, 1990. Les 23 problèmes. [The 23 problems], Translated from the 1900 German original by M. L. Laugel and revised by the author, Reprint of the 1902 French translation.
- [42] JIANG, N., XU, C.-J., AND ZHAO, H. Incompressible Navier-Stokes-Fourier limit from the Boltzmann equation: classical solutions. *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* 67, 5 (2018), 1817–1855.
- [43] LACHOWICZ, M. On the initial layer and the existence theorem for the nonlinear Boltzmann equation. *Math. Methods Appl. Sci.* 9, 3 (1987), 342–366.
- [44] LANDAU, L. D. Die kinetische Gleichung für den Fall Coulombscher Wechselwirkung. *Phys. Z. Sowjet* 10 154 (1936).
- [45] LEMARIÉ-RIEUSSET, P. G. *Recent developments in the Navier-Stokes problem*, vol. 431 of *Chapman & Hall/CRC Research Notes in Mathematics*. Chapman & Hall/CRC, Boca Raton, FL, 2002.
- [46] LEMARIÉ-RIEUSSET, P. G. *The Navier-Stokes problem in the 21st century*. CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, 2016.
- [47] LEVERMORE, C. D., AND MASMOUDI, N. From the Boltzmann equation to an incompressible Navier-Stokes-Fourier system. *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.* 196, 3 (2010), 753–809.
- [48] LIONS, P.-L., AND MASMOUDI, N. From the Boltzmann equations to the equations of incompressible fluid mechanics. I, II. *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.* 158, 3 (2001), 173–193, 195–211.
- [49] MAXWELL, J. C. On the dynamical theory of gases. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London* 157 (1867), 49–88.
- [50] MOUHOT, C. Explicit coercivity estimates for the linearized Boltzmann and Landau operators. *Comm. Partial Differential Equations* 31, 7-9 (2006), 1321–1348.
- [51] MOUHOT, C., AND STRAIN, R. M. Spectral gap and coercivity estimates for linearized Boltzmann collision operators without angular cutoff. *J. Math. Pures Appl. (9)* 87, 5 (2007), 515–535.
- [52] NICOLAENKO, B. Dispersion Laws for Plane Wave Propagation. *Courant Institute of Mathematical Sciences, New York University The Boltzmann equation* (1971), 125–172.

- [53] NISHIDA, T. Fluid dynamical limit of the nonlinear Boltzmann equation to the level of the compressible Euler equation. *Comm. Math. Phys.* 61, 2 (1978), 119–148.
- [54] RACHID, M. Incompressible Navier-Stokes-Fourier limit from the Landau equation. *Kinetic & Related Models* 14, 4 (2021), 599–638.
- [55] SAINT-RAYMOND, L. *Hydrodynamic limits of the Boltzmann equation*, vol. 1971 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2009.
- [56] STRAIN, R. M. Optimal time decay of the non cut-off Boltzmann equation in the whole space. *Kinet. Relat. Models* 5, 3 (2012), 583–613.
- [57] UKAI, S. Solutions of the Boltzmann equation. *Studies in Mathematics and its Applications* 18 (1986), 37–96.
- [58] VILLANI, C. A review of mathematical topics in collisional kinetic theory. In *Handbook of mathematical fluid dynamics, Vol. I*. North-Holland, Amsterdam, 2002, pp. 71–305.
- [59] YANG, T., AND YU, H. Spectrum analysis of some kinetic equations. *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.* 222, 2 (2016), 731–768.
- [60] YANG, T., AND YU, H. Spectrum structure and decay rate estimates on the Landau equation with Coulomb potential. *Sci. China Math.* 66, 1 (2023), 37–78.

(K. Carrapatoso) CENTRE DE MATHÉMATIQUES LAURENT SCHWARTZ, ÉCOLE POLYTECHNIQUE, INSTITUT POLYTECHNIQUE DE PARIS, 91128 PALAISEAU CEDEX, FRANCE  
*Email address:* kleber.carrapatoso@polytechnique.edu

(I. Gallagher) DMA, ÉCOLE NORMALE SUPÉRIEURE, CNRS, PSL UNIVERSITY, 75005 PARIS, FRANCE AND UFR DE MATHÉMATIQUES, UNIVERSITÉ PARIS CITÉ, 75013 PARIS, FRANCE  
*Email address:* isabelle.gallagher@ens.fr

(I. Tristani) UNIVERSITÉ CÔTE D’AZUR, CNRS, LJAD, PARC VALROSE, F-06108 NICE, FRANCE  
*Email address:* isabelle.tristani@univ-cotedazur.fr